

## CONTENT

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b> .....	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xi
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1 Rationale for the Study .....	1
1.2 Purpose of the Study .....	5
1.3 Research Questions .....	5
1.4 Expected Results .....	6
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	7
2.1 Terminology: Cohesion and Coherence .....	7
2.2 Related Research on Cohesion and Coherence .....	11
2.3 Linguistic and Cultural Factors Influencing Writing Patterns in ESL/EFL Learners .....	16
2.4 Writing Skills and Assessment .....	18
2.4.1 Writing Skills .....	18
2.4.2 Assessment in Writing .....	19
2.5 The Importance of Cohesion and Different Modes of Writing ..	20
<b>3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b> .....	22
3.1 Subjects of the Study .....	22
3.2 Research Instrument .....	23
3.2.1 The pilot of the Instrument .....	24
3.2.1.1 Pilot Procedure .....	24
3.2.1.2 Results of the Pilot Study .....	25
3.3 Data Collection .....	26
3.4 Data Analysis .....	27

## CONTENT (Continued)

	<b>Page</b>
3.4.1 Coherence Analysis .....	28
3.4.2 Cohesion Analysis .....	31
<b>4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION</b> .....	<b>34</b>
4.1 Background Data .....	34
4.1.1 Background Data of the Malaysian Respondents .....	34
4.1.2 Background Data of the Thai Respondents .....	36
4.1.3 Comparative Analysis of the Background Data .....	38
4.2 Coherence Analysis .....	39
4.3 Cohesion Analysis .....	42
4.4 Discussion of Results .....	48
4.4.1 A Comparison of Types and Frequencies of Cohesive Devices in Essays written by Malaysian and Thai Students .....	48
4.4.2 Cohesion and Coherence Characteristics in the Malaysian and Thai Essays .....	50
4.4.3 Cohesion and Writing Quality .....	51
<b>5. SUMMARY, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>54</b>
5.1 Summary of the Study .....	54
5.2 Pedagogical Implications .....	55
5.3 Limitations of the Study .....	57
5.4 Recommendations for Future Research .....	57
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>59</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	<b>67</b>
A. TRADITIONAL COMPOSITION SCORING GUIDE .....	68
B. HOLISTIC SCORING GUIDE BY BAMBERGE (1984) .....	90
C. TEST OF WRITTEN ENGLISH SCORING GUIDE (BAILEY, 1998) .....	73
D. COHESION SCORING GUIDE .....	76
E. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT .....	84
F. SAMPLE ESSAY 1 (Holistic Score = 6) .....	88

**CONTENT (Continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
G. SAMPLE ESSAY 2 (Holistic Score = 1) .....	90
H. COMPOSITE SUMMARY OF COHESION ANALYSIS OF MALAYSIAN NARRATIVE ESSAYS .....	92
I. COMPOSITE SUMMARY OF COHESION ANALYSIS OF THAI NARRATIVE ESSAYS .....	94
J. COMPOSITE SUMMARY OF COHESION ANALYSIS OF MALAYSIAN ARGUMENTATIVE ESSAYS .....	96
K. COMPOSITE SUMMARY OF COHESION ANALYSIS OF THAI ARGUMENTATIVE ESSAYS .....	98
<b>VITAE</b> .....	<b>100</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.1.1 Demographic Information of the Malaysian Respondents ....	35
4.1.2 Demographic Information of the Thai Respondents .....	37
4.1.3 Comparative Analysis of the Background Data .....	38
4.2.1 Coherence Analysis of the Malaysian Narrative Essays .....	40
4.2.2 Coherence Analysis of the Thai Narrative Essays .....	40
4.2.3 Coherence Analysis of the Malaysian Argumentative Essays .....	40
4.2.4 Coherence Analysis of the Thai Argumentative Essays .....	41
4.2.5 A Comparison of Coherence Analysis of Malaysian and Thai Essays .....	42
4.3.1 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties Across Narrative Essays By Malaysian and Thai students .....	43
4.3.2 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties Across Argumentative Essays Written by Malaysian and Thai students .....	44
4.3.3 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties in High and Low-Rated Narrative Essays Written by Malaysian students .....	45
4.3.4 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties in High and Low-Rated Narrative Essays Written by Thai students .....	46
4.3.5 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties in High and Low-Rated Argumentative Essays Written by Malaysian students .....	46
4.3.6 Mean Frequency of Cohesive Ties in High and Low-Rated Argumentative Essays Written by Thai students .....	47