#### CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| Contents  | (11) |
| List of Tables  | (14) |
| List of Illustrations                                 | (16) |
| List of Abbreviations and Symbols                     | (19) |
| Chapter   |      |
| 1. Introduction                                       | 1    |
| 1.1 General Introduction                              | 1    |
| 1.1.1 Antioxidants                                    | 4    |
| 1.1.2 Cytotoxicity                                    | 6    |
| 1.2 Rational of this study                            | 6    |
| 1.3 Review of Literatures                             | 7    |
| 1.3.1 Phyllanthus emblica Linn.                       | 7    |
| 1.3.2 Terminalia chebula Retz.                        | 8    |
| 1.3.3 Terminalia bellerica Gaertn.                    | 9    |
| 1.4 Chemical constituents of the investigated species | 11   |
| 1.5 Biological activities of the investigated species | 33   |
| 1.6 Objectives  | 64   |
| 2. Research Methodology                               | 65   |
| 2.1 Instruments                                       | 65   |
| 2.2 Plant Materials                                   | 66   |
| 2.3 Preparation of plant extracts                     | 66   |
| 2.3.1 Water extracts                                  | 66   |
| 2.3.2 Ethanolic extracts                              | 67   |
| 2.4 Assay for antioxidant activity                    | 69   |
| 2.4.1 DPPH radical scavenging assay                   | 69   |
| 2.4.2 Lipid peroxidation of liposome assay            | 70   |

# **CONTENTS (Continued)**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 2.5 The content of total phenolic compounds                             | 73   |
| 2.6 In vitro assay for cytotoxic activity                               | 74   |
| 2.6.1 Human cell lines  | 74   |
| 2.6.2 Testing procedure   | 75   |
| 2.7 Bioassay-guided fractionation                                       | 76   |
| 2.8 Isolation of chemical constituents from Phyllanthus emblica Linn.   | 77   |
| 2.8.1 FA2   | 77   |
| 2.8.2 FA3   | 77   |
| 2.8.3 FA4   | 78   |
| 2.9 Quantitative determination of gallic acid using HPLC                | 82   |
| 2.9.1 Reagents  | 82   |
| 2.9.2 Chromatographic condition   | 82   |
| 2.9.3 Preparation of plant sample                                       | 82   |
| 3. Results and Discussion   | 83   |
| 3.1 Screening of biological activity of crude extracts                  | 83   |
| 3.1.1 Free radical scavenging activity                                  | 85   |
| 3.1.2 Lipid peroxidation of liposome assay                              | 87   |
| 3.1.3 Total phenolic contents   | 92   |
| 3.1.4 Cytotoxic activity  | 93   |
| 3.2 Antioxidant and cytotoxic activity of bioassay-guided fractionation | 102  |
| 3.3 Analysis of chemical composition and structure determination of     | 104  |
| the isolated compounds  |      |
| 3.3.1 Structure elucidation of the isolated compounds                   | 104  |
| 3.3.1.1 C2  | 104  |
| 3.3.1.2 C3  | 105  |
| 3.3.1.3 C4  | 110  |

# **CONTENTS (Continued)**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 3.3.1.4 C5                                    | 115  |
| 3.4 Discussion on phytochemical investigation | 120  |
| 3.5 Activities of the isolated compounds      | 122  |
| 3.5.1 Free radical scavenging activity        | 122  |
| 3.5.2 Cytotoxic activity                      | 123  |
| 3.6 Determination of gallic acid              | 125  |
| 3.6.1 Standardization of fingerprint          | 125  |
| 3.6.2 HPLC sample analysis and recovery       | 125  |
| 4. Conclusions                                | 129  |
| Bibliography                                  | 132  |
| Vitae   | 143  |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1-1   | Chemical constituents found in Phyllanthus emblica Linn.                            | 11   |
| 1-2   | Chemical constituents found in Terminalia chebula Retz.                             | 16   |
| 1-3   | Chemical constituents found in Terminalia bellerica Gaertn.                         | 18   |
| 1-4   | Biological activities of the investigated species                                   | 33   |
| 2-1   | Plants and part of plants used in these study                                       | 67   |
| 3-1   | Percentage yields of the water and ethanolic extracts from                          | 84   |
|       | the investigated spices and Triphala formula  |      |
| 3-2   | $EC_{50}$ (µg/ml) of plant extracts and its formula on DPPH assay (n=3)             | 85   |
| 3-3   | $EC_{50}$ (µg/ml) of plant extracts and its formula on liposome assay (n=3)         | 87   |
| 3-4   | Gallic acid equivalents (GAE) of plant extracts and its formula                     | 92   |
|       | on Folin-Ciocalteu's assay (n=3)  |      |
| 3-5   | Percent survival of cancerous cells (Mean $\pm$ SEM) (breast cancer cell            | 94   |
|       | line = MCF-7, cervical cancer cell line = Hela and prostate cancer                  |      |
|       | cell line = PC3) treated with extract concentration 50 $\mu$ g/ml exposure          |      |
|       | time 72 hr (n = 6)  |      |
| 3-6   | Cytotoxicity activity (IC <sub>50</sub> $\mu$ g/ml ± SEM) of plant extracts against | 96   |
|       | three types of cancer cell (MCF-7, Hela and PC3) and one type of                    |      |
|       | normal cells (MRC5) at exposure time 72 hr (n=4)                                    |      |
| 3-7   | $IC_{50}$ (µg/ml) ± SEM of the fractions from <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> Linn.      | 102  |
|       | separated by vacuum liquid chromatography scavenge DPPH radical                     |      |
|       | and also against three types of cancer cell lines at exposure time 72 hr            |      |
|       | (n=2)   |      |
| 3-8   | NMR spectral data (500 MHz for $^{1}$ H) of C2 ( $\beta$ -sitosterol) in            | 107  |
|       | $CDCl_3$ and C3 ( $\beta$ -sitosterol-3- <i>O</i> - $\beta$ -D- glucopyranoside)    |      |
|       | in CDCl <sub>3</sub> :CD <sub>3</sub> OD  |      |

(14)

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 3-9   | NMR spectral data (500 MHz for $^{1}$ H and 125 MHz for $^{13}$ C) of  | 111  |
|       | 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in CDCl <sub>3</sub>                           |      |
| 3-10  | NMR spectral data (500 MHz for $^{1}$ H and 125 MHz for $^{13}$ C) of  | 116  |
|       | gallic acid in CD <sub>3</sub> OD                                      |      |
| 3-11  | $\text{EC}_{50}$ (µg/ml) of pure compounds on DPPH assay (n=3) and     | 122  |
|       | lipid peroxidation assay   |      |
| 3-12  | $IC_{50}$ (µM) value of compounds tested against breast, cervical      | 124  |
|       | and prostate cancer cell lines (MCF-7, Hela and PC3) and               |      |
|       | normal cell lines (MRC5) (mean <u>+</u> SEM) exposure time 72 hr (n=3) |      |
| 3-13  | The regression equation for the plant extracts and Triphala formula    | 126  |
| 3-14  | Gallic acid contents of three plants and Triphala formula by           | 127  |
|       | HPLC analysis  |      |

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1-1    | Phyllanthus emblica Linn.  | 8    |
| 1-2    | Terminalia chebula Retz.   | 9    |
| 1-3    | Terminalia bellerica Gaertn.   | 10   |
| 1-4    | Structures of some chemical constituents found in P. emblica                           | 20   |
| 1-5    | Structures of some chemical constituents found in T. chebula                           | 27   |
| 1-6    | Structures of some chemical constituents found in T. bellerica                         | 31   |
| 2-1    | Fruit of <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> Linn.  | 67   |
| 2-2    | Fruit of Terminalia chebula Retz.  | 68   |
| 2-3    | Fruit of Terminalia bellerica Gaertn.  | 68   |
| 2-4    | The reaction between thiobarbituric acid and malonaldehyde                             | 71   |
|        | to produce the pink coloured product   |      |
| 2-5    | Flow chart for separation compounds from FA2 and FA3 of                                | 80   |
|        | the ethanolic extract of Phyllanthus emblica   |      |
| 2-6    | Flow chart for separation compounds from FA4 of the ethanolic                          | 81   |
|        | extract of Phyllanthus emblica   |      |
| 3-1    | Comparative histogram of antioxidant activity of Triphala formula                      | 91   |
|        | and its 3 ingredients on DPPH radical scavenging and                                   |      |
|        | lipid peroxidation assay, used BHT as positive control for DPPH assay                  |      |
|        | (EC <sub>50</sub> of BHT = 12.65 $\mu$ g/ml) and propyl gallate (1x10 <sup>-4</sup> M) |      |
|        | is positive control for lipid peroxidation assay (%inhibition                          |      |
|        | at this concentration =80-82%)   |      |
| 3-2    | Histogram comparing $IC_{50}(\mu g/ml)$ of three plants and                            | 98   |
|        | Triphala formula on cell lines (n=4) exposure time 72 hr using                         |      |
|        | student t-test from Prism to compare the significant difference                        |      |
|        | between normal cell (MRC5) and each cancer cell  |      |
|        | (MCF-7, Hela and PC3)  |      |

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3-3    | Histogram comparing $EC_{50}$ (µg/ml) of each fractions on DPPH assay        | 103  |
| 3-4    | Histogram comparing IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ g/ml) of each fractions against | 103  |
|        | three cancer cell lines at exposure time 72 hr                               |      |
| 3-5    | Structure of ß-sitosterol  | 105  |
| 3-6    | Structure of ß-sitosterol-3-O-ß-D-glucopyranoside                            | 106  |
| 3-7    | <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ß-sitosterol in CDCl <sub>3</sub>             | 107  |
| 3-8    | <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ß-sitosterol-3-O-ß-D-glucopyranoside          | 108  |
|        | in CDCl <sub>3</sub> :CD <sub>3</sub> OD                                     |      |
| 3-9    | Structure of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural   | 110  |
| 3-10   | <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in CDCl <sub>3</sub>  | 112  |
| 3-11   | <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in CDCl <sub>3</sub> | 113  |
| 3-12   | EIMS spectrum of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural                                     | 114  |
| 3-13   | Structure of gallic acid   | 115  |
| 3-14   | <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of gallic acid in CD <sub>3</sub> OD             | 117  |
| 3-15   | <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of gallic acid in CD <sub>3</sub> OD            | 118  |
| 3-16   | EIMS spectrum of gallic acid   | 119  |
| 3-17   | The chemical structure of four compounds isolated from                       | 121  |
|        | the ethanolic extracts of the pulp of P. emblica fruit.                      |      |
| 3-18   | $IC_{50}$ values ( $\mu M)$ and SEM of cytotoxic compounds isolated          | 124  |
|        | from the ethanolic extracts of P. emblica against breast,                    |      |
|        | cervical and prostate cancer cell lines (MCF-7, Hela and PC3)                |      |
|        | and normal cell lines (MRC5) at exposure time 72 hr                          |      |
| 3-19   | Standard curve of gallic acid, the y value is the peak area                  | 126  |
|        | of analytes and the x value is the concentration of the analysis             |      |
|        | $(\mu g/ml)$   |      |
| 3-20   | Percentage of gallic acid contents of three plants and Triphala formula      | 127  |
|        |  |      |

(17)

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3-21   | Typical chromatograms of gallic acid (a), Triphala (b),                  | 128  |
|        | <i>P. emblica</i> (c), <i>T. chebula</i> (d) and <i>T. bellerica</i> (e) |      |
|        | recored at 280 nm  |      |

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| А                   | = | absorbance (for DPPH assay)   |
|---------------------|---|---|
| amu                 | = | atomic mass unit  |
| В                   | = | absorbance of the blank mixture (liposome only)                         |
| BHT                 | = | butylated hydroxytoluene  |
| br                  | = | broad (for NMR spectra)   |
| br d                | = | broad doublet (for NMR spectra)   |
| С                   | = | total content of phenolic compounds (mg/g plant extract) in GAE         |
| С                   | = | the concentration of gallic acid established from the calibration curve |
|                     |   | $(\mu g/ml)$  |
| °C                  | = | degree Celsius  |
| CC                  | = | column chromatography   |
| CDCl <sub>3</sub>   | = | deuterochloroform   |
| CD <sub>3</sub> OD  | = | deuteromethanol   |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub>   | = | chloroform  |
| <sup>13</sup> C NMR | = | carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance                                    |
| CO <sub>2</sub>     | = | carbondioxide   |
| cm                  | = | centimeter  |
| d                   | = | doublet (for NMR spectra)   |
| dd                  | = | doublet of doublet (for NMR spectra)                                    |
| DMSO                | = | dimethyl sulphoxide   |
| DNA                 | = | deoxyribonucleic acid   |
| DPPH                | = | 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl   |
| EA                  | = | absorbance due to the extract alone (for liposome assay)                |
| EC <sub>50</sub>    | = | concentration causing 50% effective activity                            |
| EDTA                | = | ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid  |
| EI-MS               | = | electron impact mass spectroscopy                                       |
| ET                  | = | absorbance of the extract test mixture (for liposome assay)             |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS (Continued)

| EtOH               | = | ethanol  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| EtOAc              | = | ethyl acetate  |
| FeCl <sub>3</sub>  | = | Ferric cholide   |
| g                  | = | gram   |
| GAE                | = | gallic acid equivalents  |
| FRM                | = | Absorbance of the full reaction mixture (liposome and iron source plus |
|                    |   | solvent without the test substance)                                    |
| FTNMR              | = | fourier transform nuclear magnetic resonance                           |
| <sup>1</sup> H-NMR | = | proton nuclear magnetic resonance                                      |
| HC1                | = | hydrochloric acid  |
| hex                | = | hexane   |
| $H_2O_2$           | = | hydrogen peroxide  |
| hr                 | = | hour   |
| Hz                 | = | hertz  |
| IC <sub>50</sub>   | = | concentration causing 50% inhibitory effect                            |
| In                 | = | inch   |
| J                  | = | nuclear spin-spin coupling constant (in Hz)                            |
| Kg                 | = | kilogram   |
| 1                  | = | litre  |
| М                  | = | molar (concentration)  |
| M+                 | = | molecular ion  |
| т                  | = | the weight of plant extract (mg)                                       |
| m                  | = | meter  |
| m                  | = | multiplet (for NMR spectra)  |
| MDA                | = | malonaldehyde  |
| mg                 | = | milligram  |
| MHz                | = | megahertz  |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS (Continued)

| min   | = | minute                     |
|-------|---|----------------------------|
| ml    | = | milliliter                 |
| mm    | = | millimeter                 |
| mM    | = | millimolar                 |
| mol   | = | mole                       |
| MS    | = | mass spectroscopy          |
| MW    | = | molecular weight           |
| m/z   | = | mass to charge ratio       |
| μg    | = | microgram                  |
| μl    | = | microliter                 |
| μm    | = | micromolar                 |
| NCI   | = | national cancer institute  |
| nm    | = | nanometer                  |
| nM    | = | nanomolar                  |
| NMR   | = | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| $O_2$ | = | oxygen                     |
| OD    | = | optical density            |
| PBS   | = | phosphate buffer saline    |
| ppm   | = | part per million           |
| rpm   | = | round per minute           |
| S     | = | singlet (for NMR spectra)  |
| sec   | = | second                     |
| SEM   | = | standard error of the mean |
| SRB   | = | sulphorhodamine B          |
| t     | = | triplet (for NMR spectra)  |
| TBA   | = | thiobarbituric acid        |
| TCA   | = | trichloroacetic acid       |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS (Continued)

| TLC    | = | thin-layer chromatography                |
|--------|---|--|
| TMS    | = | tetramethysilane                         |
| ROS    | = | reactive oxygen species                  |
| UV     | = | ultraviolet                              |
| UV-vis | = | ultraviolet and visible spectrometry     |
| V      | = | the volume of extract (ml)               |
| VLC    | = | vacuum liquid chromatography             |
| w/w    | = | weight/weight                            |
| δ      | = | chemical shift (in ppm, for NMR spectra) |
| λ      | = | wavelength (for UV spectra)              |