#### **APPENDIX A**

## LIST OF PREDETERMINED QUALITY INDICATORS FOR HOSPITALIZED NON-SURGICAL STROKE ELDERS

List of predetermined quality indicators for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders

| Quality aspect                    | Quality indicator   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1. Management of the patient unit | 1.1 Proportion between licensed and unlicensed staff 1.2 Nursing care hours per length of stay of non-surgical stroke elders 1.3 Proportion of registered and unregistered nurses 1.4 Nursing practice guidelines for caring for non-surgical stroke elders 1.5 The number of short training courses on caring for non-surgical stroke elders 1.6 Multidisciplinary care system in caring for non-surgical stroke elders 1.7 Area for rehabilitation of non-surgical stroke elders 1.8 Health education guidelines for non-surgical stroke elders/ families 1.9 Area for health education activity for non-surgical stroke elders with families/caregivers 1.10 Activity for knowledge sharing among nursing staff in the topic related to non-surgical stroke elders' care 1.11 Quality improvement system in caring for non-surgical stroke elders 1.12 Prevention guidelines for |
| 2. Nursing staff qualification    | accidents/injury in elderly patients 2.1 Nurses' knowledge in stroke care 2.2 Nurses' knowledge in elderly care 2.3 Nurses' characteristics and competency in non-surgical stroke elders' care 2.4 Nurses' experiences in training courses on nursing care for non-surgical stroke elders 2.5 Nurses' competencies in dealing with caring families 2.6 Nurses' skill in using equipment in non- surgical stroke elders' care 2.7 Policy to promote relationship between nurses and non-surgical stroke elders 2.8 Satisfaction of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers toward personality and nursing interaction  |

| Quality aspect                                      | Quality indicator  |
|---|--|
|   | 2.9 Satisfaction of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers toward nurses' caring behavior 2.10 Satisfaction of nurses' in providing care for non-surgical stroke elders 2.11 Nursing service evaluation system  |
| 3. Nursing care activity                            | <ul> <li>2.11 Nursing service evaluation system</li> <li>3.1 Nursing care plan for non-surgical stroke elders covering holistic care</li> <li>3.2 Nursing care plan for non-surgical stroke elders covering four aspects of health care</li> <li>3.3 Assessment of vital sign of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.4 Assessment of Neurological -sign of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.5 Assessment and monitoring of non-surgical stroke elders in the critical phase</li> <li>3.6 Assessment of motor power and motion in non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.7 Assessment of swallowing ability of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.8 Assessment of nutritional status of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.9 Assessment Excretion of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.10 Promoting motor power of muscles and joints</li> <li>3.11 Promoting non-surgical stroke elders' activity in daily life</li> <li>3.12 Nursing care of non-surgical stroke elders in the issues of hygiene care, nutritional care, fluid-medication care, excretion care, psychosocial care, and spiritual care</li> <li>3.13 Assessment of anxiety and depression of non-surgical stroke elders</li> <li>3.14 Prevention of complications in the issues</li> </ul> |
| 4. Encouraging family participation in patient care | of pneumonia, urinary tract infection, pressure sores, and joint stiffness 4.1 Guidelines for promoting family participation in caring for non-surgical stroke elders 4.2 Percentage of families and caregivers who received encouragement participation in non-surgical stroke elders' care   |

| On Parameter                                  | O1'4'1'4  |
|---|---|
| Quanty aspect                                 | Quality indicator   |
| 5. Planning for discharge and continuing care | 4.3 Percentage of families and caregivers who participated in the care of non-surgical stroke elders 4.4 Policy regarding the staying of families/caregivers at night time 4.5 Area for families/caregivers to stay in hospitals in order participation in care 4.6 Satisfaction of family in participating in patient care 5.1 Guidelines for planning the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders 5.2 Discharge planning for non-surgical stroke elders and families/caregivers covers rehabilitation techniques, promoting activity in daily life, feeding, medicine, complication prevention, home situation 5.3 Nurse preparation of families and caregivers before the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders 5.4 Nurse provision of education to non-surgical stroke elders/ families/ caregivers for caring for patients at home 5.5 Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who were satisfied with discharge planning 5.6 Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received advice before discharge 5.7 Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received advice for stopping smoking 5.8 Non-surgical stroke elders' knowledge of self-care after discharge 5.9 Referral system for elderly patients who have suffered strokes after discharge 5.10 The consultation channel for non-surgical stroke elders, families/caregivers |
|   | after discharge 5.11 Number of non-surgical stroke elders with information who refer to the health care organization in the community 5.12 Number of non-surgical stroke elders'  |
| 6. Nursing care outcome                       | return of information from community back to the hospital  1. Aspirate pneumonia rate  2. Urinary tract infection rate  3. Pressure ulcer rate  4. Joint stiffness rate   |

| Quality aspect | Quality indicator   |
|----------------|---|
| Quality aspect | Quality indicator  5. Fall/injury rate 6. Drug adverse rate 7. Deep vein thrombosis rate 8. Mortality rate of non-surgical stroke elders 9. Medical error in the care of non-surgical stroke elders 10. Readmission rate of non-surgical stroke elders within 28 days 11. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received nursing care completely followed by nursing guidelines 12. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders   |
|                | who had monitored and recorded signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure 13. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had rehabilitation planning 14. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been taught about improving activity in daily life 15. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been assessed for activity in daily life before discharge 16. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been assessed for disability before |
|                | discharge from hospital 17. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received fluid, nutrition and medicine under physician's orders 18. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had increased intra-cranial pressure after admission 19. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who have increase ability in daily living activity 20. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders, families/caregivers complaining  |

### APPENDIX B RESULTS OF DELPHI STUDY ROUND ONE

**(TABLE B1-B6)** 

Table B1

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of management of the patient unit aspect

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance   |                 |           |        | Feasib | oility |          |
|--|----|------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR      | level           | MD        | M      | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|  |    | Co   | onsensus | indicato | ors (Interquart | ile range | ≤ 1.5) |        |        |          |
| 1. Nursing practice guidelines for caring for non-surgical stroke elders (re-wording "nursing practice guideline" from "nursing document") | 6  | 6.14 | 0.98     | 1.25     | Greatest        | 6         | 6.34   | 0.76   | 1.25   | Greatest |
| 2. The number of short training courses for caring for non-surgical stroke elders  | 7  | 6.45 | 0.82     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 6.30   | 0.63   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| 3.Multi-<br>disciplinary care<br>system in caring<br>for non-surgical<br>stroke elders   | 7  | 6.66 | 0.82     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 6.38   | 0.63   | 1.50   | Greatest |
| 4. Area for rehabilitation of non-surgical stroke elders   | 6  | 5.98 | 0.77     | 1.25     | Greatest        | 5         | 5.12   | 0.98   | 1.5    | Greatest |
| 5. Health<br>education<br>guidelines for<br>non-surgical<br>stroke<br>elders/families  | 7  | 6.84 | 0.64     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 6.46   | 0.89   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| 6. Area for health education activity for non-surgical stroke elders with families/caregivers  | 6  | 5.44 | 0.93     | 1.25     | Greatest        | 6         | 5.96   | 1.34   | 1.5    | Greatest |

Table B1 (continued)

|   |    |      | Impoi   | tance     |                   |            |              | Feasib | oility |                   |
|---|----|------|---------|-----------|-------------------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR       | level             | MD         | M            | SD     | IQR    | Level             |
|   |    |      |         |           |                   |            |              |        |        |                   |
|   |    | Co   | nsensus | indicate  | ors (Interquarti  | le range   | $\leq 1.5$ ) |        |        |                   |
| 7. Activity for knowledge sharing among nursing staff in the topic relating to the care of non-surgical stroke elders   | 6  | 5.67 | 0.98    | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6          | 6.07         | 1.20   | 1.0    | Greatest          |
| 8. Prevention guidelines for accidents/injury in elderly patients   | 6  | 6.74 | 0.93    | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6          | 6.25         | 1.02   | 1.5    | Greatest          |
|   |    | Non- | consens | us indic  | ators (Interqua   | rtile rang | ge > 1.5)    | )      |        |                   |
| 1. Proportion of licensed and unlicensed staff (re-wording from staff mix)  | 5  | 4.93 | 1.36    | 2.0       | Great             | 5          | 5.26         | 0.9    | 2.0    | Somewhat<br>great |
| 2. Nursing care<br>hours per length<br>of stay of non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders                                     | 5  | 5.67 | 0.9     | 2.0       | Great             | 5          | 5.93         | 0.98   | 2.5    | Great             |
| 3. Proportion of registered nurses and non-surgical stroke elders   | 4  | 4.64 | 1.18    | 2.0       | Somewhat<br>great | 4          | 4.71         | 1.36   | 2.25   | Somewhat<br>great |
| 4. Quality improvement system in caring for non-surgical stroke elders  | 5  | 5.86 | 1.05    | 2.0       | Great             | 5          | 5.24         | 1.48   | 2.0    | Great             |
|   |    | ]    | New Ind | icator fi | om the experts    | ' sugges   | tion         |        |        |                   |
| Policy in non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders' care<br>Prevention<br>guidelines for<br>drug alerts in<br>elderly patients |    |      |         |           |                   |            |              |        |        |                   |

Table B2

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of nursing staff qualification aspect

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance   |                 |          |        | Feasi |      |          |
|---|----|------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|-------|------|----------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR      | level           | MD       | M      | SD    | IQR  | Level    |
|   |    | Con  | nsensus | Indicato | rs (Interquarti | le range | ≤ 1.5) |       |      |          |
| 1.Nurses'<br>characteristics<br>and competency<br>in non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>care                              | 6  | 5.96 | 1.14    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 6        | 6.17   | 1.18  | 1.25 | Greatest |
| 2 Nurses' experiences in training courses on nursing care for non-surgical stroke elders                                | 7  | 6.68 | 0.89    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 6        | 6.06   | 1.15  | 1.5  | Greatest |
| 3. Policy to promote relationship between nurses and non-surgical stroke elders   | 6  | 6.24 | 1.04    | 1.5      | Great           | 6        | 6.08   | 1.25  | 1.25 | Great    |
| 4. Nurses' competencies in dealing with caring families   | 6  | 6.21 | 0.93    | 1.5      | Greatest        | 6        | 6.79   | 1.07  | 1.5  | Greatest |
| 5. Satisfaction of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers toward personality and nursing interaction       | 7  | 6.96 | 0.79    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7        | 7.08   | 0.98  | 0.5  | Greatest |
| 6. Satisfaction<br>of non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>families and<br>caregivers<br>toward nurses'<br>caring behavior | 7  | 6.79 | 1.14    | 1.25     | Greatest        | 7        | 7.12   | 1.31  | 1.5  | Greatest |
| 7. Satisfaction of nurse in providing care for non-surgical stroke elders   | 7  | 6.94 | 0.92    | 1.25     | Greatest        | 7        | 7.34   | 0.86  | 1.5  | Greatest |

Table B2 (continued)

|  |    |      | Impo    | rtance    |                   |           |          | Feasi | bility |                   |
|--|----|------|---------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD      | IQR       | level             | MD        | M        | SD    | IQR    | Level             |
|  |    | Non- | consens | us Indica | ators (Interqua   | rtile ran | ge > 1.5 |       |        |                   |
| 1. Nurses' knowledge of stroke care  | 5  | 5.93 | 1.29    | 1.5       | Somewhat great    | 4         | 5.48     | 1.27  | 2.0    | Moderate          |
| 2. Nurses' knowledge in elderly care   | 5  | 5.42 | 1.06    | 2.0       | Somewhat<br>great | 4         | 5. 59    | 1.20  | 2.0    | Moderate          |
| 3. Nurses' skill<br>in using<br>equipment in<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>care | 5  | 4.98 | 1.18    | 2.0       | Somewhat<br>great | 5         | 5.22     | 1.69  | 2.25   | Somewhat<br>great |
| 4. Nursing service evaluation system   | 5  | 4.89 | 1.05    | 1.25      | Great             | 5         | 4.96     | 1.42  | 1.0    | Great             |

Table B3

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of nursing care activity aspect

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance |                 |          |        | Feasi | bility |          |
|---|----|------|---------|--------|-----------------|----------|--------|-------|--------|----------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR    | level           | MD       | M      | SD    | IQR    | Level    |
|   |    | Co   | nsensus |        | rs (Interquarti | le range | ≤ 1.5) |       |        |          |
| 1 Assessment<br>and monitoring<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders in<br>critical phase  | 7  | 6.68 | 0.85    | 0.50   | Greatest        | 7        | 6.78   | 0.61  | 0.75   | Greatest |
| 2. Assessment of non-surgical stroke elders' motor power and motion   | 6  | 5.87 | 1.01    | 1.5    | Greatest        | 6        | 6.04   | .89   | 1.5    | Greatest |
| 3. Promoting motor power of muscle and joints   | 7  | 7.10 | 0.75    | 1.25   | Greatest        | 7        | 7.04   | 0.82  | 0.25   | Greatest |
| 4. Nursing care of non-surgical stroke elders in the issues of hygiene care, nutritional care, fluid-medication care, excretion care, psychosocial care and | 7  | 7.22 | 0.94    | 0.25   | Greatest        | 6        | 6.98   | 0.86  | 1.0    | Greatest |
| spiritual care 5. Assessment of non-surgical stroke elders' nutritional status  | 5  | 4.88 | 0.95    | 1.5    | Moderate        | 5        | 4.76   | 0.82  | 1.5    | Moderate |
| 6. Assessment of non-surgical stroke elders' anxiety and depression   | 7  | 6.89 | 1.12    | 1.25   | Greatest        | 6        | 6.02   | 1.37  | 2.0    | Greatest |
| 7. Prevention of complication in the issues of pneumonia, urinary tract infection, pressure sores, and joint stiffness                                      | 7  | 6.52 | 1.15    | 1.0    | Greatest        | 7        | 6.78   | 1.06  | 1.0    | Greatest |

Table B3 (continued)

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance   |                 |            |          | Feasi          | bility |          |
|---|----|------|---------|----------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------|----------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR      | level           | MD         | M        | SD             | IQR    | Level    |
|   |    | Co   | nsensus | Indicate | ors (Interquart | ile range  | ≤ 1.5)   |                |        |          |
| 8. Promoting non-surgical stroke elders' activity in daily life   | 7  | 7.10 | 1.02    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7          | 6.88     | 0.94           | 1.0    | Greatest |
|   |    | Non- | consens | us Indic | ators (Interqua | artile ran | ge > 1.5 | <del>(</del> ) |        |          |
| 1. Nursing care plans for elderly patients                        | 5  | 4.94 | 0.96    | 2.0      | Great           | 5          | 5.20     | 1.21           | 2.25   | Great    |
| 2. Nursing care plan for stroke patients                          | 7  | 6.84 | 1.02    | 1.75     | Greatest        | 7          | 6.68     | 1.14           | 1.75   | Greatest |
| 3. Assessment of vital sign of non-surgical stroke elders         | 7  | 6.96 | 1.2     | 1.75     | Greatest        | 7          | 6.68     | 1.07           | 1.75   | Greatest |
| 4. Assessment of neuro-logical sign of non-surgical stroke elders | 7  | 6.92 | 0.87    | 1.50     | Greatest        | 7          | 7.08     | 0.92           | 1.75   | Greatest |
| 5. Assessment of excretion function of non-surgical stroke elders | 7  | 6.98 | 0.67    | 1.75     | Greatest        | 6          | 6.22     | 1.02           | 1.75   | Greatest |
| 6. Assessment swallowing ability of non-surgical stroke elders    | 4  | 3.94 | 1.04    | 2.0      | Great           | 4          | 4.16     | 1.12           | 2.25   | Moderate |

Table B4

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of encouraging family participation in patient care aspect

|   |    |      | Impo     | rtance   |                  |            |           | Feasit | oility |                  |
|---|----|------|----------|----------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD       | IQR      | Level            | MD         | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level            |
|   |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicat  | ors (Interquarti | ile range  | ≤ 1.5)    |        |        |                  |
| 1. Guidelines<br>for promoting<br>family<br>participation in<br>caring for non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders          | 7  | 6.86 | 1.08     | 1.5      | Greatest         | 6          | 5.94      | 1.26   | 1.0    | Greatest         |
| 2. Policy regarding the staying of families and caregivers at night time  | 7  | 6.78 | 0.98     | 1.0      | Greatest         | 7          | 6.89      | 1.04   | 1.25   | Greatest         |
| 3. Area for families and caregiver to stay in hospitals in order participation in care                                | 7  | 6.82 | 0.79     | 0.5      | Greatest         | 7          | 6.94      | 0.88   | 1.0    | Greatest         |
|   |    | Non- | consens  | us Indic | ators (Inter-qua | artile rar | nge > 1.5 | 5)     |        |                  |
| 1. Percentage of families and caregivers who received encouragement participation in non-surgical stroke elders' care | 3  | 2.98 | 0.98     | 2.0      | Somewhat<br>Less | 3          | 2.78      | .68    | 2.0    | Somewhat<br>less |
| 2. Percentage of<br>families and<br>caregivers who<br>participated in<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>care       | 3  | 2.76 | 0.60     | 2.0      | Somewhat<br>less | 3          | 3.04      | 0.74   | 2.25   | Somewhat<br>less |
| 3. Satisfaction of family in participation in patient care  | 3  | 2.98 | 0.92     | 3.0      | Somewhat<br>less | 3          | 2.62      | 1.08   | 2.50   | Somewhat<br>less |

Table B5

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of planning for discharge and continuing care aspect

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance   |                 |           | Feasibility |      |      |          |  |  |
|--|----|------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|------|------|----------|--|--|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR      | level           | MD        | M           | SD   | IQR  | Level    |  |  |
|  |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicate | ors (Interquart | ile range | : ≤ 1.5)    |      |      |          |  |  |
| 1. Guidelines<br>for planning the<br>discharge of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders   | 7  | 6.96 | 0.74     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 6.88        | 1.04 | 1.25 | Greatest |  |  |
| 2. Nurses' preparation of families and caregivers before the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders   | 7  | 6.89 | 0.55     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 6.84        | 1.07 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 3. Nurses' provision of education to non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers for caring for patients at home   | 7  | 6.88 | 0.74     | 1.0      | Greatest        | 7         | 7.02        | 1.08 | 0.75 | Greatest |  |  |
| 4. Discharge planning for non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers covers rehabilitation techniques, promoting activity in daily life, feeding, medicine, complication prevention, home situation | 7  | 6.90 | 0.96     | 1.25     | Greatest        | 6         | 5.86        | 0.89 | 1.5  | Greatest |  |  |

Table B5 (continued)

|   |    |              | Impo     | rtance    |                   |            |              | Feasil | oility |                   |
|---|----|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Indicator   | MD | M            | SD       | IQR       | level             | MD         | M            | SD     | IQR    | Level             |
|   |    | Co           | onsensus | s Indicat | ors (Interquarti  | ile range  | : ≤ 1.5)     |        |        |                   |
| 5. Referral system for elderly patients who have suffered strokes after discharge 6. The consultation                 | 7  | 7.12<br>4.86 | 0.87     | 0.25      | Greatest<br>Great | 7          | 7.04<br>4.92 | 0.86   | 0.50   | Greatest<br>Great |
| channel for non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders, families<br>and caregivers<br>after discharge                          |    |              |          |           |                   |            |              |        |        |                   |
|   |    | Non-         | -consens | sus Indic | ators (Interqua   | ırtile ran | ge > 1.5     | 5)     |        |                   |
| 1. Percentage of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>who received<br>advice before                                    | 4  | 3.96         | 0.76     | 1.75      | Moderate          | 4          | 4.12         | 0.63   | 2.0    | Moderate          |
| discharge 2. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received advice for stopping smoking                        | 3  | 2.87         | 1.28     | 2.0       | Somewhat<br>less  | 3          | 2.92         | 1.14   | 2.0    | Somewhat less     |
| 3. Number of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>informed with<br>reference to<br>health care<br>organization in      | 2  | 1.88         | 0.99     | 2.0       | Less              | 2          | 1.67         | 1.03   | 2.5    | Less              |
| community 4. Number of non-surgical stroke elders informed with respect to return from community back to the hospital | 2  | 1.96         | 1.05     | 2.25      | Less              | 2          | 1.98         | 1.34   | 2.5    | Less              |

Table B5 (continued)

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance   |                 |             |           | Feasil | oility |       |
|--|----|------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR      | level           | MD          | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level |
|  |    | Non  | -consens | sus Indi | cators (Interqu | ıartile raı | nge > 1.5 | )      |        |       |
| 5. Non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>knowledge of<br>self care after<br>discharge      | 5  | 4.92 | 0.52     | 2.0      | Great           | 5           | 4.68      | 1.08   | 2.25   | Great |
| 6. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who were satisfied with discharge planning | 5  | 4.82 | 1.24     | 2.0      | Great           | 5           | 4.74      | 0.98   | 2.0    | Great |

Table B6

Analysis of round one: importance and feasibility of nursing care outcome aspect

|   |    |      | Impo     | rtance    |                 |            |          | Feasib | oility |                  |
|---|----|------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|------------------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD       | IQR       | level           | MD         | M        | SD     | IQR    | Level            |
|   |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicate  | ors (Interquart | ile range  | ≤ 1.5)   |        |        |                  |
| 1. Aspirate pneumonia rate  | 7  | 6.74 | 0.68     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 6          | 6.22     | 0.53   | 1.0    | Greatest         |
| 2. Urinary tract infection rate   | 6  | 5.84 | 0.58     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 6          | 5.89     | 0.60   | 1.5    | Greatest         |
| 3. Pressure ulcer rate  | 6  | 5.96 | 0.43     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 6          | 5.78     | 0.40   | 1.25   | Greatest         |
| 4. Joint stiffness rate   | 4  | 4.12 | 0.66     | 1.5       | Moderate        | 4          | 3.96     | 0.84   | 1.5    | Moderate         |
| 5. Fall/injury rate   | 7  | 6.90 | 0.37     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 6          | 6.25     | 0.52   | 1.0    | Greatest         |
| 6. Drug adverse rate  | 4  | 3.78 | 0.64     | 1.5       | Moderate        | 4          | 3.62     | 0.71   | 1.5    | Moderate         |
| 7. Deep vein thrombosis rate  | 5  | 4.96 | 0.89     | 1.25      | Great           | 5          | 4.87     | 0.35   | 1.0    | Great            |
| 8. Mortality rate of non-surgical stroke elders   | 4  | 3.92 | 0.78     | 1.5       | Moderate        | 4          | 3.89     | 0.95   | 1.5    | Moderate         |
| 9. Medical error<br>in non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>care   | 7  | 7.12 | 0.83     | 0.25      | Greatest        | 6          | 5.94     | 0.69   | 1.0    | Greatest         |
| 10. Readmission rate of non- surgical stroke elders within 28 days  | 6  | 5.93 | 0.51     | 1.25      | Great           | 5          | 5.08     | 0.69   | 1.5    | Somewhat<br>less |
|   |    | Non- | consens  | sus Indic | ators (Interqua | artile ran | ge > 1.5 | )      |        |                  |
| 1. Percentage of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>who received<br>nursing care<br>completely<br>following the<br>nursing<br>guidelines | 2  | 2.12 | 1.16     | 2.0       | Less            | 2          | 1.87     | 1.38   | 2.0    | Less             |

Table B6 (continued)

|  |       |      | Impo | rtance |                  |    |      | Feasib | vility |                  |
|--|-------|------|------|--------|------------------|----|------|--------|--------|------------------|
| Indicator  | MD    | M    | SD   | IQR    | level            | MD | M    | SD     | IQR    | Level            |
| marcaror   | , , , |      |      |        | eators (Interqua |    |      |        | TQI    | <u> </u>         |
| 2. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had monitored and recorded signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure | 2     | 1.84 | 1.56 | 2.5    | Less             | 2  | 1.95 | 0.83   | 2.5    | Less             |
| 3. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had rehabilitation planning  | 3     | 2.68 | 1.22 | 2.5    | Somewhat less    | 2  | 1.96 | 1.04   | 2.5    | Less             |
| 4. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been taught about improving activity in daily life                           | 2     | 2    | 1.02 | 2.0    | Less             | 2  | 1.98 | 0.98   | 2.0    | Less             |
| 5. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been assessed for activity in daily life before discharge                    | 4     | 3.90 | 0.83 | 1.75   | Moderate         | 3  | 2.74 | 0.72   | 2.0    | Somewhat<br>less |
| 6. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who had been assessed for disability before discharge from hospital                  | 4     | 3.73 | 0.94 | 2.0    | Moderate         | 3  | 2.87 | 1.08   | 2.0    | Somewhat<br>less |
| 7. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders who received fluid, nutrition and medicine under physicians' orders                  | 4     | 3.95 | 1.06 | 2.0    | Somewhat<br>less | 2  | 1.98 | 0.72   | 2.5    | Less             |

Table B6 (continued)

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance     |                  |            |          | Feasil | oility |          |
|---|----|------|---------|------------|------------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR        | level            | MD         | M        | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|   |    | Non- | consens | sus Indic  | cators (Interqua | artile ran | ge > 1.5 | )      |        |          |
| 8. Percentage of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>who had<br>increased intra-<br>cranial pressure<br>after admission | 5  | 4.12 | 0.97    | 2.0        | Great            | 4          | 3.87     | 1.04   | 2.0    | Moderate |
| 9. Percentage of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders<br>who increased<br>their ability in<br>daily living<br>activity      | 4  | 3.68 | 0.95    | 1.75       | Moderate         | 4          | 3.76     | 1.04   | 1.75   | Moderate |
| 10. Percentage of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers who complained                                    | 3  | 2.88 | 1.17    | 2.5        | Somewhat<br>less | 2          | 2.12     | 1.33   | 2.5    | Less     |
|   |    | ]    | New Inc | licator fi | rom the experts  | s' sugge   | stion    |        |        |          |
| The number of research studies and publications achieved by nursing staff   |    |      |         |            |                  |            |          |        |        |          |

# APPENDIX C RESULTS OF DELPHI STUDY ROUND TWO

(TABLE C1-C6)

Table C1

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of management of the patient unit aspect

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance    |                   |           |           | Feasib | oility |                |
|--|----|------|----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR       | level             | MD        | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level          |
|  |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicat   | ors (Interquarti  | le range  | e ≤ 1.5)  |        |        |                |
| 1. Nursing practice guidelines for the caring of non-surgical stroke elders                                    | 7  | 6.72 | 0.63     | 0         | Greatest          | 7         | 6.45      | 1.21   | 1.0    | Greatest       |
| 2. Policy in<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>care   | 5  | 4.86 | 0.98     | 1.0       | Somewhat<br>great | 5         | 4.78      | 1.20   | 1.50   | Somewhat great |
| 3. Health education guidelines for non-surgical stroke elders and families                                     | 7  | 6.45 | 0.95     | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6         | 5.9       | 1.26   | 1.25   | Great          |
| 4. Activity for knowledge sharing among nursing staff in the topic relating to nonsurgical stroke elders' care | 7  | 6.55 | 0.63     | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6.5       | 6.07      | 1.09   | 1.0    | Greatest       |
| 5. Prevention guidelines for accidents/injury in elderly patients  | 6  | 6.04 | 0.96     | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6         | 5.71      | 1.33   | 1.0    | Great          |
| 6. Prevention guidelines for drug alerts in elderly patients   | 7  | 6.34 | 0.86     | 1.0       | Greatest          | 7         | 6.31      | 0.89   | 1.0    | Greatest       |
|  |    | Non  | -consens | sus Indic | cators (Interqua  | rtile ran | ige > 1.5 | )      |        |                |
| 1. Area for<br>rehabilitation of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders  | 5  | 5.28 | 0.92     | 1.5       | Great             | 5         | 5.31      | 0.87   | 2.0    | Great          |

Table C1 (continued)

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance    |                   |            |           | Feasil | oility |                  |
|---|----|------|---------|-----------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR       | level             | MD         | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level            |
|   |    | Non- | consens | sus Indic | eators (Interqua  | artile ran | ige > 1.5 | )      |        |                  |
| 2. Area for health education activity for non-surgical stroke elders with families and caregivers | 6  | 6.38 | 1.05    | 1.0       | Greatest          | 6          | 5.66      | 0.95   | 2.0    | Greatest         |
| 3. The number of short training courses for caring for non-surgical stroke elders                 | 5  | 4.62 | 1.12    | 1.75      | Great             | 5          | 5.10      | 1.04   | 1.75   | Great            |
| 4. Multidisciplinar y care system in caring for non- surgical stroke elders                       | 4  | 4.34 | 1.04    | 1.5       | Somewhat<br>great | 4          | 4.20      | 0.87   | 1.75   | Somewha<br>great |

Table C2

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of nursing staff qualification aspect

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance    |                 |            |          | Feasil | oility |          |
|--|----|------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR       | level           | MD         | M        | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|  |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicate  | ors (Interquart | ile range  | : ≤ 1.5) |        |        |          |
| 1. Nurses' characteristics and competency in non-surgical stroke elders' care                                      | 7  | 6.50 | 1.20     | 0         | Greatest        | 7          | 6.20     | 1.12   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| 2. Nurses' experiences in training courses on nursing care for non-surgical stroke elders                          | 5  | 5.24 | 0.89     | 1.25      | Great           | 5          | 5.12     | 1.51   | 1.5    | Great    |
| 3. Satisfaction of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers towards personality and nursing interaction | 7  | 6.68 | 0.84     | 0.25      | Greatest        | 7          | 6.48     | 0.68   | 0.25   | Greatest |
|  |    | Non- | consens  | sus Indic | ators (Interqu  | artile ran | ge > 1.5 | )      |        |          |
| 1. Policy to promote relationship between nurses and non-surgical stroke elders                                    | 5  | 4.86 | 1.12     | 1.25      | Great           | 5          | 4.74     | 1.30   | 1.75   | Great    |
| 2. Satisfaction of non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers towards nurses' caring behavior             | 4  | 3.32 | 1.08     | 1.50      | Great           | 4          | 4.16     | 0.84   | 1.75   | Great    |
| 3. Satisfaction of nurse in providing care for non-surgical stroke elders  | 5  | 4.56 | 1.34     | 1.75      | Great           | 5          | 4.82     | 1.08   | 1.75   | Greatest |

### Table C2 (continued)

|  |    |      | Impo    | rtance    |                 |            |           | Feasil | oility |          |
|--|----|------|---------|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator                                      | MD | M    | SD      | IQR       | level           | MD         | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|  |    | Non- | consens | sus Indic | ators (Interqua | artile ran | ige > 1.5 | )      |        |          |
| 4. Nurses' competencies in caring for families | 4  | 4.21 | .93     | 1.5       | Greatest        | 5          | 4.79      | 1.07   | 1.75   | Greatest |

Table C3

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of nursing care process aspect

|   |    |      | Impo     | rtance    |                 |            |           | Feasil | oility |          |
|---|----|------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD       | IQR       | level           | MD         | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|   |    | Co   | onsensus | Indicato  | ors (Interquart | ile range  | e ≤ 1.5)  |        |        |          |
| 1. Assessment<br>and monitoring<br>of non-surgical<br>stroke elders in<br>critical phase  | 7  | 6.46 | 0.76     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 6          | 5.62      | 1.12   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| 2. Promoting motor power of non-surgical stroke elders' muscles and joints  | 7  | 6.66 | 0.55     | 1         | Greatest        | 6          | 6.30      | 0.90   | 1      | Greatest |
| 3. Nursing care of non-surgical stroke elders in the issues of hygiene care, nutritional care, fluid-medication care, excretion care, psychosocial care and | 7  | 6.60 | 0.74     | 1         | Greatest        | 6          | 6.28      | 1.08   | 1      | Greatest |
| spiritual care 4. Prevention of complications in the issues of pneumonia, urinary tract infection, pressure sores, and joint                                | 7  | 6.84 | 0.75     | 1.0       | Greatest        | 7          | 6.51      | 1.32   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| stiffness 5. Promoting non-surgical stroke elders' activity in daily life   | 7  | 6.90 | 0.99     | 0.25      | Greatest        | 7          | 6.82      | 0.68   | 0.25   | Greatest |
|   |    | Non- | consens  | sus Indic | ators (Interqu  | artile ran | ige > 1.5 | )      |        |          |
| 1. Assessment of non-surgical stroke elders' motor power and motion   | 6  | 6.12 | 0.67     | 1.5       | Greatest        | 6          | 6.20      | 1.12   | 1.75   | Greatest |

Table C3 (continued)

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance     |                |            |           | Feasit | oility |          |
|--|----|------|----------|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR        | level          | MD         | M         | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|  |    | Non- | -consens | sus Indica | ators (Interqu | artile ran | ige > 1.5 | )      |        |          |
| 2. Assessment of non-surgical stroke elders' anxiety and depression        | 6  | 6.38 | 0.98     | 1.25       | Greatest       | 6          | 6.10      | 0.98   | 1.75   | Greatest |
| 3 Assessment of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders'<br>nutritional<br>status | 6  | 6.24 | 1.04     | 1.50       | Greatest       | 6          | 5.98      | 1.24   | 1.75   | Greatest |

Table C4

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of encouraging family participation in patient care aspect

|   |    |      | Impo    | rtance   |                 |           |          | Feasit | oility |         |
|---|----|------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Indicator   | MD | M    | SD      | IQR      | level           | MD        | M        | SD     | IQR    | Level   |
|   |    | Co   | nsensus | Indicate | ors (Interquart | ile range | 2 ≤ 1.5) |        |        |         |
| Or promoting family participation in caring for non-surgical stroke                         | 7  | 6.57 | 0.63    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 6         | 6.14     | 0.85   | 1.0    | Greates |
| 2. Policy regarding the staying of caregivers at high time                                  | 5  | 5.14 | 1.24    | 1.25     | Great           | 5         | 5.26     | 0.98   | 1.25   | Great   |
| A. Area for Families and Exaregivers to Estay in hospitals on order Exarticipation in Exare | 7  | 6.3  | 0.87    | 1.0      | Greatest        | 6         | 5.7      | 1.16   | 1.0    | Greates |

Table C5

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of planning for discharge and continuing care aspect

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance     |                 | Feasibility |          |      |      |          |  |
|--|----|------|----------|------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|------|------|----------|--|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR        | level           | MD          | M        | SD   | IQR  | Level    |  |
|  |    | Co   | onsensus | s Indicato | ors (Interquart | ile range   | e ≤ 1.5) |      |      |          |  |
| 1. Guidelines<br>for planning the<br>discharge of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders                                     | 7  | 6.73 | 0.45     | 1.0        | Greatest        | 7           | 6.35     | 0.98 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
| 2. Nurse preparation of families and caregivers before the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders                     | 7  | 6.54 | 0.58     | 1.0        | Greatest        | 6           | 5.54     | 1.13 | 1.25 | Greatest |  |
| 3. Nurse provision of education to non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers for caring for patients at home | 7  | 6.68 | 0.48     | 0.50       | Greatest        | 7           | 6.41     | 0.80 | 0.50 | Greatest |  |
| 4. Referral system for elderly patients who have suffered strokes after discharge                                      | 7  | 6.55 | 0.63     | 0.25       | Greatest        | 6           | 6.07     | 1.19 | 0.75 | Greatest |  |

Table C5 (continued)

|  |    |      | Impo     | rtance     |               | Feasibility |           |      |      |       |  |  |
|--|----|------|----------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| Indicator  | MD | M    | SD       | IQR        | level         | MD          | M         | SD   | IQR  | Level |  |  |
|  |    | Non- | -consens | sus Indica | tors (Interqu | artile rar  | nge > 1.5 | ()   |      |       |  |  |
| 1. Discharge planning for non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers covers rehabilitation techniques, promoting activity in daily life, feeding, medicine, complication prevention, home situation | 5  | 4.90 | 0.96     | 1.5        | Great         | 5           | 4.86      | 0.89 | 1.75 | Great |  |  |
| 2. The consultation channel for non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers after discharge  | 5  | 4.45 | 0.95     | 1.50       | Great         | 4           | 4.15      | 1.12 | 2.0  | Great |  |  |

Table C6

Analysis of round two: importance and feasibility of nursing care outcomes aspect

|   | Importance Feasibility |      |          |           |                  |           |        |      |      |                   |  |  |
|---|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------------------|--|--|
| Indicator   | MD                     | M    | SD       | IQR       | level            | MD        | M      | SD   | IQR  | Level             |  |  |
|   |                        | Co   | onsensus | s Indicat | ors (Interquarti | ile range | ≤ 1.5) |      |      |                   |  |  |
| 1. Aspirated pneumonia rate   | 7                      | 6.74 | 0.68     | 1.0       | Greatest         | 6         | 6.22   | 0.53 | 1.0  | Greatest          |  |  |
| 2. Urinary tract infection rate   | 6                      | 5.84 | 0.58     | 1.0       | Greatest         | 6         | 5.89   | 0.60 | 1.5  | Greatest          |  |  |
| 3. Pressure ulcer rate  | 6                      | 5.96 | 0.43     | 1.0       | Greatest         | 6         | 5.78   | 0.40 | 1.25 | Greatest          |  |  |
| 4. Joint stiffness rate   | 5                      | 4.62 | 0.66     | 1.5       | Somewhat great   | 5         | 4.96   | 0.84 | 1.5  | Somewhat great    |  |  |
| 5. Fall/injury rate   | 7                      | 6.90 | 0.37     | 1.0       | Greatest         | 6         | 6.25   | 0.52 | 1.0  | Greatest          |  |  |
| 6. Drug adverse rate  | 5                      | 4.78 | 0.64     | 1.5       | Somewhat great   | 5         | 4.62   | 0.71 | 1.0  | Somewhat<br>great |  |  |
| Non-consensus Indicators (Interquartile range > 1.5)                              |                        |      |          |           |                  |           |        |      |      |                   |  |  |
| 1. Deep vein thrombosis rate  | 5                      | 4.96 | 0.89     | 1.75      | Great            | 5         | 4.87   | 0.35 | 1.0  | Great             |  |  |
| 2. Mortality rate of non-surgical stroke elders                                   | 4                      | 3.92 | 0.78     | 1.5       | Moderate         | 4         | 3.89   | 0.95 | 1.75 | Moderate          |  |  |
| 3. Medical error in non-surgical stroke elders' care                              | 7                      | 7.12 | 0.83     | 0.25      | Greatest         | 6         | 5.94   | 0.69 | 1.75 | Greatest          |  |  |
| 4. Readmission rate of non-surgical stroke elders within 28                       | 6                      | 5.93 | 0.51     | 1.75      | Great            | 5         | 5.08   | 0.69 | 1.5  | Somewhat less     |  |  |
| days 5. The number of research studies and publications achieved by nursing staff | 4                      | 3.98 | 0.67     | 2.0       | Moderate         | 4         | 4.04   | 1.12 | 2.25 | Moderate          |  |  |

### APPENDIX D

### RESULTS OF DELPHI STUDY ROUND THREE

(TABLE D1-D6)

Table D1

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance, and feasibility of management of the patient unit aspect

| Indicator   | Validity |        | Importance Feasibility |         |           |               |              |      |      |      |          |  |
|---|----------|--------|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------|--------------|------|------|------|----------|--|
|   | level    | MD     | M                      | SD      | IQR       | level         | MD           | M    | SD   | IQR  | Level    |  |
|   |          | Cons   | ensus Iı               | ndicato | rs (Inter | quartile rang | $ge \le 1.5$ |      |      |      |          |  |
| 1. Nursing practice guidelines for caring for non-surgical stroke elders  | Highest  | 7      | 6.52                   | 0.68    | 0.25      | Greatest      | 7            | 6.84 | 0.92 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
| 2. Health education guidelines for non-surgical stroke elders, families and caregivers  | Highest  | 7      | 6.68                   | 0.96    | 0.50      | Greatest      | 6            | 6.08 | 1.02 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
| 3. Activity for<br>knowledge<br>sharing among<br>nursing staff in<br>the topic relating<br>to non-surgical<br>stroke elders' care | High     | 6      | 6.32                   | 0.62    | 0.75      | Greatest      | 6            | 6.43 | 0.74 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
| 4. Prevention guidelines for accidents/injury   | High     | 6      | 6.04                   | 0.90    | 1.0       | Greatest      | 6            | 5.97 | 1.04 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
| in elderly patients 5. Prevention guidelines for drug alerts in elderly patients  | High     | 7      | 6.86                   | 0.78    | 1.0       | Greatest      | 6            | 6.32 | 0.92 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |
|   |          | Non-co | nsensus                | Indica  | tors (Int | erquartile ra | nge > 1.     | 5)   |      |      |          |  |
| Policy in non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders' care   | Moderate | 4      | 4.34                   | 1.22    | 1.75      | Great         | 5            | 5.21 | 0.74 | 1.75 | Great    |  |

Table D2

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance, and feasibility of nursing staff qualification aspect

| Indicator  | Validity |        |          | Impor    | tance     |                | Feasibility  |      |      |      |          |  |  |
|--|----------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|----------|--|--|
|  | level    | MD     | M        | SD       | IQR       | level          | MD           | M    | SD   | IQR  | Level    |  |  |
|  |          | Cons   | ensus Iı | ndicato  | rs (Inter | quartile rang  | $ge \le 1.5$ |      |      |      |          |  |  |
| 1. Nurses' characteristics and competency in non-surgical stroke elders' care  | Highest  | 7      | 6.56     | 1.14     | 0         | Greatest       | 7            | 6.20 | 1.12 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 2. Satisfaction of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders,<br>families and<br>caregivers toward<br>personality and<br>nursing<br>interaction | Highest  | 7      | 6.34     | 0.84     | 0.25      | Greatest       | 7            | 6.78 | 0.90 | 0.50 | Greatest |  |  |
|  |          | Non-co | nsensus  | s Indica | tors (Int | terquartile ra | nge > 1.     | 5)   |      |      |          |  |  |
| Nurses' experiences in training courses on nursing care for non-surgical stroke elders   | Moderate | 5      | 5.20     | 1.22     | 1.50      | Great          | 5            | 5.34 | 1.21 | 1.75 | Great    |  |  |

Table D3

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance and feasibility of nursing care activity aspect

| Indicator  | Validity |        |          | Import   | ance      |                | Feasibility  |      |      |      |          |  |  |
|--|----------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|----------|--|--|
|  | level    | MD     | M        | SD       | IQR       | level          | MD           | M    | SD   | IQR  | Level    |  |  |
|  |          | Cons   | sensus I | ndicato  | rs (Inter | quartile rang  | $ge \le 1.5$ |      |      |      |          |  |  |
| 1. Assessment<br>and monitoring of<br>non-surgical<br>stroke elders in<br>the critical phase   | Highest  | 7      | 6.67     | 1.22     | 1.0       | Greatest       | 6            | 6.32 | 1.04 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 2. Promoting motor power of non-surgical stroke elders' muscles and joints   | Highest  | 7      | 6.84     | 1.05     | 1.0       | Greatest       | 6            | 6.21 | 0.90 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 3. Nursing care of non-surgical stroke elders in the issues of hygiene care, nutritional care, fluid-medication care, excretion care, psychosocial care and spiritual care | Highest  | 7      | 6.78     | 0.74     | 1.0       | Greatest       | 6            | 6.08 | 1.18 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 4. Prevention of complications in the issues of pneumonia, urinary tract infection, pressure sores, and joint stiffness  | Highest  | 7      | 6.92     | 0.85     | 1.0       | Greatest       | 7            | 6.81 | 0.72 | 1.0  | Greatest |  |  |
| 5. Promoting non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders' activity in<br>daily life  | High     | 7      | 6.66     | 0.91     | 0.25      | Greatest       | 7            | 6.94 | 0.96 | 0.25 | Greatest |  |  |
|  |          | Non-co | onsensu  | s Indica | itors (In | terquartile ra | inge > 1.    | .5)  |      |      |          |  |  |

-

Table D4

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance, and feasibility of encouraging family participation in patient care aspect

| Indicator  | Validity   |    |      | Import | tance |          | Feasibility |      |      |      |         |  |  |  |
|--|--|----|------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|--|
|  | level  | MD | M    | SD     | IQR   | level    | MD          | M    | SD   | IQR  | Level   |  |  |  |
|  | Consensus Indicators (Interquartile range $\leq 1.5$ ) |    |      |        |       |          |             |      |      |      |         |  |  |  |
| 1. Guidelines<br>for promoting<br>family<br>participation in<br>caring for non-<br>surgical stroke<br>elders | Highest  | 7  | 6.82 | 0.63   | 1.0   | Greatest | 6           | 6.16 | 0.75 | 1.25 | Greates |  |  |  |
| 2. Policy regarding the staying of families/caregiv ers at night time  | High   | 6  | 5.84 | 1.24   | 1.25  | Greatest | 5           | 5.30 | 1.18 | 1.5  | Great   |  |  |  |
| 3. Area for families/ caregivers' stays in hospitals in order participation in care                          | High   | 7  | 6.34 | 0.87   | 1.0   | Greatest | 6           | 6.04 | 1.34 | 1.0  | Greates |  |  |  |

Non-consensus Indicators (Interquartile range > 1.5)

.

Table D5

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance, and feasibility of planning for discharge and continuing care aspect

| Indicator   | Validity |      |          | Import  | ance     |                |           |      | Feasibil | ity  |          |
|---|----------|------|----------|---------|----------|----------------|-----------|------|----------|------|----------|
|   | level    | MD   | M        | SD      | IQR      | level          | MD        | M    | SD       | IQR  | Level    |
|   |          | Cons | sensus I | ndicato | rs (Inte | rquartile rang | ge ≤ 1.5) |      |          |      |          |
| 1. Guidelines for planning the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders                                | Highest  | 7    | 6.89     | 1.05    | 1        | Greatest       | 7         | 6.75 | 1.43     | 1    | Greatest |
| 2. Nurses' preparation of families and caregivers before the discharge of non-surgical stroke elders  | Highest  | 7    | 6.70     | 0.86    | 1        | Greatest       | 6         | 6.14 | 1.19     | 1.25 | Greatest |
| 3. Nurse provision of education to non-surgical stroke elders and families/caregiver s for caring for | Highest  | 7    | 6.98     | 0.78    | 0.50     | Greatest       | 7         | 6.64 | 0.81     | 0.50 | Greatest |
| patients at home 4. Referral system for Elderly patients who have suffered strokes after discharge    | Highest  | 7    | 6.65     | 1.23    | 0.25     | Greatest       | 6         | 6.42 | 1.04     | 0.75 | Greatest |

Non-consensus Indicators (Interquartile range > 1.5)

-

Table D6

Analysis of round three: face validity, importance, and feasibility of nursing care outcome aspect

| Indicator                       | Validity |    |         | Import  | tance     |               |               |      | Feasib | oility |          |
|---------------------------------|----------|----|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|---------------|------|--------|--------|----------|
|                                 | level    | MD | M       | SD      | IQR       | level         | MD            | M    | SD     | IQR    | Level    |
|                                 |          | Co | nsensus | Indicat | tors (Int | erquartile ra | $nge \le 1.5$ | 5)   |        |        |          |
| 1. Aspirated pneumonia rate     | Highest  | 6  | 6.14    | 0.68    | 0         | Greatest      | 7             | 6.92 | 0.53   | 0      | Greatest |
| 2. Urinary tract infection rate | Highest  | 6  | 5.84    | 0.58    | 1.0       | Greatest      | 6             | 5.89 | 0.60   | 1.5    | Greatest |
| 3. Pressure ulcer rate          | Highest  | 7  | 6.76    | 1.03    | 0.50      | Greatest      | 6             | 5.78 | 0.48   | 1.25   | Greatest |
| 4. Joint stiffness rate         | High     | 5  | 5.12    | 0.66    | 1.25      | Great         | 5             | 4.96 | 0.84   | 1.50   | Great    |
| 5. Fall/injury rate             | Highest  | 7  | 6.96    | 097     | 1.25      | Greatest      | 7             | 6.85 | 0.52   | 1.0    | Greatest |
| 6. Drug adverse rate            | High     | 5  | 5.28    | 0.64    | 1.50      | Great         | 5             | 5.22 | 0.68   | 1.25   | Great    |

Non-consensus Indicators (Interquartile range > 1.5)

.

# APPENDIX E LIST OF EXPERTS

### **APPENDIX E1**

### **EXPERT OF INTERVIEW**

- Assistant Professor Penchun Leartrat, PhD, RN
   Medical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing, KhonKaen University
- Miss Uma Juntawises, MSN, APN
   Coordinator of stroke care, Songklanagarind Hospiatl, Songkhla
- Assistant Professor Pornchai Sathirapunya, MD, Neurologist
   Head of Neuromedical division, Medical department, Songklanagarind Hospital,
   Songkhla
- Mr Kiatisuk Ratchaboriruk, MD, Neurologist
   Head of Neuromedical division, Medical department, Hat-Yai Regional Hospital,
   Songkhla

### **APPENDIX E2**

#### **EXPERT OF DELPHI STUDY**

### Expert of quality management

- Associate Professor Rachanee Sujijantararat, DSN, RN Faculty of Nursing, Mahidol University
- Associate Professor Boonjai Srisatidnarakul, PhD, RN
   Faculty of Nursing, Chulalongkorn University
- 3. Associate Professor Thavat Chanchayanon, MD, Anesthetist Head of Quality Center, Songklanagarind Hospital, Songkhla
- 4. Assistant Professor Surachat Ngorsuraches, PhD, Pharmacist Faculty of Pharmacy, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla
- Mrs Somsamai Suteerasarn, PhD, RN
   Director of Nursing Service Department, Songklanagarind Hospital, Songkhla
- Mrs Wallapa Kochapakdee, DSN, RN
   Vice President, Thaksin University, Songkhla

8. Mrs Patama Vajamun, PhD, RN

- 7. Miss Wannee Tapaneeyakorn, PhD, RN
  Director Assistant of Academic Service and Nursing Professional,
  Phraboromaratchonnee Nursing College (Bangkok), Bangkok
- Head of Primary Care Unit Nakornratchaseema Regional Hospital,
  Nakornratchaseema

9. Miss Vimolratana Limranangkura, RN

Vice President of Academic Service and Director of Nursing Service Department,
The Prasat Neurological Institute, Bangkok

10. Mrs Suparnee Narkvichien, MSN, RN

Head of Medical patient unit, Klang Hospital, Bangkok

10. Mrs Charoonluk Pongcharoen, MSN, APN

Committee of Hospital Quality Management, Case Managers of Stroke Care,

Chaoprayayommarach Hospital, Karnchanaburee

12. Mrs Rattanaporn Tungyingyong, MSN, APN

Head of Holistic Care Center, Head of Medical Patient Unit and Head of Medical Intensive care unit, Supasittiprasong Regional Hospital, Ubonrachatanee

### Expert of stroke care:

- Associate Professor Salee Chalermwannapong, MSN, RN
   Nursing Administration Department, Faculty of Nursing, Prince of Songkla
   University, Songkhla
- Assistant Professor Penchun Leartrat, PhD, RN
   Medical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing, KhonKaen University,
   KhonKaen
- Assistant Professor Pornchai Satirapunya, MD, Neurologist.
   Head of Neuromedical Devision, Medical Department, Songklanagarind Hospital,
   Songkhla

4. Mrs Tanyaluk Bunlikitul, PhD, RN

Nursing instructor, Fundamental Nursing Department, College of Nursing Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok

5. Mrs Totsaporn Khampolsiri, PhD, RN

Nursing instructor, Medical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing,

Chiangmai University, Chiangmai

6. Miss Uma Juntawises, MSN, APN

Care Coordinator of stroke, Songklanagarind Hospiatl, Songkhla

7. Mrs Kannika Angkun, MSN, APN

Chairperson of Stroke Care Improvement Project

Head of Medical Patient unit, Hat Yai Regional Hospital, Songkhla

8. Mr Kiatisuk Ratchaboriruk, MD, Neurologist

Head of Neuromedical Devision, Medical Departmet, Hat-Yai Regional Hospital, Songkhla

9. Mr Suchart Harnchaipibulkul, MD, Neurologist

Vice President of Research Department,

The Prasat Neurological Institute, Bangkok

10. Mrs Prayoon Churnratanakul, RN

Head Nurse of Stroke Unit, Division of Medical Nursing, Department of Nursing, Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok

11. Mrs Ratanarudee Devahastin, RN

Head Nurse of Stroke Unit, Division of Medical Nursing, Department of Nursing, Chulalongkorn Hospital, Bangkok Associate Professor Nijsri Charnnarong, MD, Neurologist
 Medical Department, Chulalongkorn University

## Expert of elderly care:

- Professor Pranom Othaganont, Ed.D, RN
   Dean of Nursing Faculty, Naresuan University, Pitsanuloke
- Associate Professor Prasert Aussuntachai, MD, Gerontologist
   Medical Department, Faculty of Medicine, Mahidol University. (Siriraj), Bangkok.
- Associate Professor Prakong Intarasombut, MSN, RN
   Nursing Division, Faculty of Medicine, Mahidol University (Rama), Bangkok
- Associate Professor Vilaivan Thongcharoen, MSN, RN
   Fundamental Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing,
   Mahidol University, (Siriraj), Bangkok.
- Assistant Professor Jiraporn Kespitchayawattana, PhD, RN
   Faculty of Nursing, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok
- Assistant Professor Porntip Malathu, PhD, RN, Certificate in Gerontology
   Nursing Division, Faculty of Medicine, Mahidol University (Rama), Bangkok
- 7. Assistant Professor Waree Kungjai, PhD, RN
  Fundamental Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing,
  Burapha University, Chonburee
- Mrs Raweewan Paokanha, PhD, RN
   Fundamental of Nursing Science Department, Faculty of Nursing,
   Burapha University, Chonburee

9. Miss Virapun Wirojratana, PhD, RN (Gerontologist)

Fundamental Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing,

Mahidol University (Siriraj), Bangkok.

10. Miss Prapai Boonchareonlert, MSN, RN

Head of Medical Intensive Care Unit, The Prasat Neurological Institute, Bangkok

11. Miss Auemporn Kanjanarungsrichai, MSN, RN

Head of Medical Patient Unit, Nakornratchaseema Regional Hospital,

Nakornratchaseema

12. Mrs Nalinee Pasukuntapak, MSN, RN

Head of Medical Care Unit, Prasat Neurological Institute, Bangkok

### **APPENDIX E3**

### EXPERT OF CONTENT VALIDITY TESTING

- 1. Mrs Somsamai Suteerasarn, PhD, RN
  - Director of Nursing Service Department, Songklanagarind Hospital, Songkhla
- 2. Mrs Wallapa Kochapakdee, DSN, RN
  - Vice President, Thaksin University, Songkhla
- 3. Mrs Promot Thongsuk, PhD, RN
  - Faculty of Nursing, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla
- 4. Miss Uma Juntawises, MSN, APN
  - Coordinator of stroke care, Songklanagarind Hospiatl, Songkhla
- 5. Mrs Kannika Angkun, MSN, APN
  - Chairperson of Stroke Care Improvement Project
  - Head of Medical Patient unit, Hat Yai Regional Hospital, Songkhla

# APPENDIX F QUESTIONAIRE

## FOCUS GROUP INTERVIEW GUIDE

|  | Part I: | Participants' | demographical | l data |
|--|---------|---------------|---------------|--------|
|--|---------|---------------|---------------|--------|

| 1. | NameLast name  |
|----|--|
| 2. | Education level ( ) Bachelor degree in                     |
|    | ( ) Master degree in                                       |
|    | ( ) Other, please identify                                 |
| 3. | Workplace  |
| 4. | Position of work   |
| 5. | Duration of work on caring for elderly stroke patientyears |
| 6. | Experiences related to caring for elderly stroke patient   |
|    |  |
|    | -  |

# Part II: Focus group interview questions

- 1. What do you think about nursing care for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders at the present?
- 2. What should be the most significant activity and the best care that nurses give to hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders?
- 3. What should be the characteristics of good nurse and good care in providing care for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders?

## SEMI-STRUCTURE INTERVIEW GUIDE

## (EXPERT)

## Part I: Experts' demographical data

|        | 1. NameLast name   |
|--------|--|
|        | 2. Academic level  |
|        | 3. Agedyears   |
|        | 4. Education level ( ) Bachelor degree in                                  |
|        | ( ) Master degree in   |
|        | ( ) Doctoral degree in   |
|        | ( ) Other, please identify   |
|        | 5. Work Position   |
|        | 6. Duration of this work positionyears                                     |
|        | 7. Experiences (including year) and outcomes in quality care management or |
| stroke | care or elderly care   |
|        | ¬  |
|        |  |

## Part II: Interview questions

- 1. What do you think about current nursing care for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders?
- 2. What should be the most significant activity and the best things that nurses do for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders?
- 3. What should be the characteristics of good nurse and good care for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders?

## SEMI-STRUCTURE INTERVIEW GUIDE

## (NON-SURGICAL STROKE ELDER)

| Date/ time of interview  |
|--|
| Part I: Non-surgical stroke elder's demographical data                         |
| 1. Name of Patient unit  |
| 2. Code of patientsexageyears  |
| 3. Medical Diagnosis   |
| 5. Date of admission   |
| 6. General health status   |
|  |
| 7. Coma score  |
| 8. Communication ability   |
| Part II: Interview questions   |
| 1. What do you think and feel about the nursing care you received during       |
| hospitalization?   |
| 2. What should be the most significant activity and best things that nurses do |
| for you?   |
| 3. What should be the characteristics of good nurse and the good care that you |
| expect?  |

## SEMI-STRUCTURE INTERVIEW GUIDE

## (NON-SURGICAL STROKE ELDER' S FAMILY)

| Date/ time of interview   |
|---|
| Part I: Non-surgical stroke elder's family demographical data                   |
| 1. Name of Patient unit   |
| 2. Code of patientCode of family member   |
| 3. Sex of participant   |
| 4. The relationship between the patient and this family Member                  |
| 5. Period of time/ day for caring the patient                                   |
|   |
| 7. Caring activity  |
|   |
|   |
| Part II: Interview questions  |
| 1) What do you think and feel about the nursing care that your spouse/ father   |
| received during hospitalization?  |
| 2. What should be the most significant activity and the best things that nurses |

- do for your spouse/ father?

  3. What should be the characteristics of good nurse and the good care that you
- 3. What should be the characteristics of good nurse and the good care that you expect in providing care for spouse/ father?

# DELPHI QUESTIONAIRE ROUND ONE

# Part I: Experts' demographical data

| 1. | NameLast name   |
|----|---|
| 2. | Academic level  |
| 3. | Agedyears   |
| 4. | Education level ( ) Bachelor degree in                                  |
|    | ( ) Master degree in  |
|    | ( ) Doctoral degree in  |
|    | ( ) Other, please identify  |
| 5. | Workplace   |
| 6. | Position of work  |
| 7. | Duration of work on this positionyears                                  |
| 8. | Experiences (including year) and outcomes in quality care management or |
|    | stroke care or elderly care   |
|    | 1   |
|    | 2   |
|    | 3   |
|    | 4   |
|    | 5   |
|    | 6   |
|    | 7   |

# Part II: Experts' Opinion and suggestion of nursing quality indicator for nonsurgical stroke elders

## Meaning of variable

*Important* means the indicator is a significant component in measuring quality of nursing care hospitalized non-surgery stroke elder.

Feasibility means the possibility to use the indicators in the real situation The 7 level of agreement

- 1 = Less importance/ Less feasibility
- 2 Importance/ Feasibility
- 3 = Less importance/ less feasibility
- = Moderate importance/ Moderate feasibility
- 5 = great Importance/ feasibility
- 6 = Great important/ Great feasibility
- = Greatest importance/ Greatest feasibility

**Instruction:** Please choose the level of important and feasibility of each indicator that you agree and give the suggestion of each indicator in the suggestion column.

| Indicator                       |   | ] | lmp | ort | anc | e |   |   |   | Fea | sib | ility | y | Suggestion & Reason |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-------|---|---------------------|--|--|
|                                 | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4   | 5     | 6 | 7                   |  |  |
| Management of the patient unit: |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |   |                     |  |  |

1.1 Proportion between

licensed and unlicensed

1.2 Nursing care hours per length of stay of nonsurgical stroke elders 1.3 Proportion of

registered & unregistered nurses

| Indicator  | Importance |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Fea | asib | ility | Suggestion & Reason |   |        |
|--|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|------|-------|---------------------|---|--------|
|  | 1          | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4    | 5     | 6                   | 7 | Reason |
| 2. Nursing staff qualification: 2.1 Nurses' knowledge in                       |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| stroke care 2.2 Nurses' knowledge in   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| elderly care 2.3 Nurses' characteristics                                       |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| and competency in non-<br>surgical stroke elders'                              |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| care :   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| :  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| 3. Nursing care activity: 3.1 Nursing care plan for                            |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| non-surgical stroke elders covering holistic care                              |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| 3.2 Nursing care plan for non-surgical stroke elders                           |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| covering four dimensions of health care  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| :  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| New indicator add:   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| 4. Encouraging family participation in patient                                 |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| <b>care:</b> 4.1 Guidelines for  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| promoting family participation in caring for                                   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| non-surgical stroke elders<br>4.2 Percentage of families<br>and caregivers who |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| received encouragement to participate in non-                                  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| surgical stroke elders'  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| :<br>:   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |
| :<br>New indicator add:  |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |      |       |                     |   |        |

# DELPHI QUESTIONAIRE ROUND TWO

# Experts' Opinion and suggestion of nursing quality indicator for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders

**Instruction:** Please choose the level of important and feasibility of each indicator that you agree and give the suggestion of each indicator in the suggestion column.

| Indicator                    |   | - | Imp | ort | anc | e |   |   | - | Fea | sib | ility | Suggestion & Reason |   |  |
|------------------------------|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-------|---------------------|---|--|
|                              | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3   | 4   | 5     | 6                   | 7 |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| 6. Nursing care outcome:     |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| 6.1 Aspirate pneumonia       |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| rate                         |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| 6.2 Urinary tract infection  |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| rate 6.3 Pressure ulcer rate |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| :                            |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |
| New indicator add:           |   |   |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |     |       |                     |   |  |

The meanings of variables and of level of agreement are as similar as round one Delphi questionnaire

### **DELPHI QUESTIONAIRE ROUND THREE**

Experts' opinion of face validity and experts' confirmation of importance and feasibility level of nursing quality indicator for hospitalized non-surgical stroke elders

### **Meaning of variables**

Face validity means the indicators accurately reflect quality aspect of nursing care for hospitalized non-surgery stroke elder and validity was achieved by the experts' consensus

The 7 level of agreement: 1 = Less importance/ feasibility/ valid

2 = Importance/ Feasibility/ Valid

3 = Less importance/ feasibility/ valid

4 = Moderate importance/ feasibility/ valid

5 = Great Importance/ feasibility/ valid

6 = Great important/ feasibility/ valid

7 = Greatest importance/ feasibility/ valid

**Instruction:** To confirm the level of opinion of the importance and feasibility of each indicator that the experts chose in the round two.

In each indicator, the median score ( $\Delta$ ), and the interquartile range  $\Leftrightarrow$  ) of the group of expert panels' score in round two were presented. In addition, the expert's own score of round two was identified ( $\bullet$ ).

The expert can decide on confirmation to **change** or **non-change** the opinion by put  $(\mathbf{x})$  in the column and giving the reason.

| Indicator   | Face Validity |   |   |   |   |  |   |   | Importance |   |   |   |             |                    |   |   | Fe | Reason & |                    |                    |            |
|---|---------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|------------|---|---|---|-------------|--------------------|---|---|----|----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
|   | 1             | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 7 | 1 | 2          | 3 | 4 |   | 6           | 7                  | 1 | 2 | 3  |          | 6                  | 7                  | Suggestion |
| 1.Magement of the patient unit: 1.1 Nursing practice guidelines for caring for non- surgical stroke elders : :                                      |               |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |            |   |   |   | •           | <b>\rightarrow</b> |   |   |    |          | •                  | <b>\rightarrow</b> |            |
| 4. Encouraging family participation in patient care: 4.1 Guidelines for promoting family participation in caring for non-surgical stroke elders : : |               |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |            |   |   | Δ | <b>\Phi</b> |                    |   |   |    | Δ.       | <b>\rightarrow</b> |                    |            |

## **CONTENT VALIDITY FORM**

**Instruction:** Please determine the relevancy of each to the construct, and conciseness.

Please give the suggestion in the gray space under each item

Relevancy 1 = Not relevance Conciseness Yes = Concise  $2 = \text{Relevance} \qquad \qquad \text{No} = \text{Redundant}$  3 = Quite relevance 4 = Very relevance

|   |  | ] | Rele | vanc | Conciseness |     |    |  |
|---|--|---|------|------|-------------|-----|----|--|
| Objective   | Item   | 1 | 2    | 3    | 4           | Yes | No |  |
| 1. To test the applicability of the nursing practice guidelines for caring for non-surgical stroke elders | 1. Does the nursing practice guidelines for caring for non-surgical stroke elders applicable in hospital setting |   |      |      |             |     |    |  |
|   |  |   |      |      |             |     |    |  |
| :   |  |   |      |      |             |     |    |  |
| :   |  |   |      |      |             |     |    |  |

## INDICATOR APPLICABLE QUESTIONAIRE

**Instruction:** This indicator applicability questionnaire composed of two sections, i.e.

1) Demographic data form, 2) Indicators' applicability testing

# Part I: Demographical data

| 1. | Date of data collection       |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 2. | Hospital's name               |
| 3. | Patient unit's name           |
| 4. | Participant's name            |
| 5. | Participant's work's position |

# Part II: Indicators' applicability testing

|                | Occurrence of information |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----|-----------------|------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Quality        | Audit                     | ing | Obser           | ving | Interv          | iewing | Remark |
| indicator      | Document                  |     | Patient/ family |      | patient/ family |        |        |
|                | Yes                       | No  | Yes             | No   | Yes             | No     | ]      |
| 1. Nursing     |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
| practice       |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
| guidelines     |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
| for caring for |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
| non-surgical   |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |
| stroke elders  |                           |     |                 |      |                 |        |        |

# APPENDIX G PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

APPENDIX G1

INFORMED CONSENT FORM

Thesis Title: The Development of Nursing Quality Indicators for Hospitalized Non-

Surgery Stroke Elders

My name is Pratyanan Thiangchanya, I am a nursing instructor and currently

enrolled to complete doctoral study at Nursing Faculty, Prince of Songkla University.

The objective of this study is to develop nursing quality indicator for hospitalized

non- surgery stroke elders. The knowledge enhanced of this study will be important,

as it will lead to improve quality of nursing care for this patients' group.

I would like to encourage you to join in this study, which will be acted using

participation in the interview. The interview will take place in hospital setting with a

tape- recorded, and the period will be used not more than one hour. During the

interview, you may turn down to answer any questions, and demand that the tape-

recorded will be turn off. No name will present on the transcribed interviews. Extracts

of the interview will be used in the research report, but you will not be distinguished

in the any way. Participations of this study is voluntary and consent can be withdrawn

at any time without negative consequence of benefits.

If there are any question or concerns you have regarding this research, please

do not falter to make contact with me or the advisors.

Pratyanan Thiangchanya

Student: Pratyanan Thiangchanya, Mobile Phone No. 087-3922640

Advisory team: Associated Professor Dr. Sunuttra Taboonpong: 074-286548

Professor Dr. Sanguansin Rattanalert: 074-451727

Assistance Professor Dr. Kathryn Burks

โครงการวิจัยเรื่อง: การพัฒนาตัวชี้วัดคุณภาพการพยาบาลผู้สูงอายุที่ป่วยเป็นโรคหลอดเลือดสมองพิการและ

ไม่ได้ผ่าตัด

เรียน ผู้ป่วย และญาติผู้คูแล ที่นับถือ

ดิฉันขอเล่ารายละเอียดของโครงการวิจัยที่กำลังทำอยู่ และขอเรียนเชิญท่านและญาติผู้ดูแลเข้าร่วมใน โครงการนี้ จากภาวะความเจ็บป่วยของท่านที่ต้องเข้ารับการรักษาในโรงพยาบาลครั้งนี้ พยาบาลเป็นบุคลากรทาง สุขภาพที่มีความสำคัญในการจัดูแลท่าน การพัฒนาคุณภาพการพยาบาลต้องอาศัยข้อมูลพื้นฐานหลายประการ โดยเฉพาะ ความคิดเห็นและการรับรู้ของผู้ป่วยและญาติจะมีประโยชน์อย่างยิ่งในการจัดการพยาบาลที่มีคุณภาพ ในการนี้ คิฉันนางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ยงจรรยา นักศึกษาหลักสูตรปริญญาเอก สาขาการพยาบาล มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลานครินทร์ จึงสนใจที่จะศึกษาการรับรู้ของท่านและญาติผู้ดูแลเกี่ยวกับคุณภาพการพยาบาลที่ ท่านได้รับ ผลการศึกษาที่ได้จะนำไปเป็นแนวทางในการกำหนดตัวชี้วัดเพื่อพัฒนาคุณภาพการพยาบาลต่อไป

ในการนี้ดิฉันจึงใคร่ขอเรียนเชิญท่านและญาติผู้ดูแลเข้ามามีส่วนร่วมในการศึกษานี้ โดยขอสัมภาษณ์ ท่านขณะพักรักษาในโรงพยาบาล การสัมภาษณ์จะมีการจดบันทึกและบันทึกเทปการสนทนา โดยข้อมูลส่วนตัว ของท่านและญาติผู้ดูแลจะถูกเก็บไว้เป็นความลับ ส่วนความคิดเห็นที่เกี่ยวข้องกับคุณภาพการพยาบาลจะนำเสนอ ในภาพรวม

การเข้าร่วมโครงการนี้ ขึ้นกับความสมัครใจของท่าน และเมื่อเข้าร่วมโครงการแล้ว ท่านมีสิทธิที่จะตอบ หรือไม่ตอบคำถามก็ได้ รวมทั้งมีสิทธิถอนตัวจากการศึกษาได้ โดยไม่มีผลใดๆ เกี่ยวข้องกับการรักษาพยาบาล ถ้า ท่านต้องการข้อมูลใดๆ เพิ่มเติม สามารถซักถามจากคิฉันหรือคณะอาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาได้อย่างเต็มที่

นางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ยงจรรยา

นักศึกษา: นางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ยงจรรยา โทรศัพท์ 087-3922640

อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา: รองศาสตราจารย์ คร.สุนุตตรา ตะบูนพงศ์ โทรศัพท์ 074-286548

ศาสตราจารย์ นายแพทย์สงวนสิน รัตนเลิศ โทรศัพท์ 074-451727

Assistance Professor Dr. Kathryn Burks

# APPENDIX G2

# PARTICIPANT' S CONSENT FORM

| I   | have read the above            | we information  |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| of this consented form. I understand the p  | ourpose and the methodolog     | y of the study  |
| "The Development of Nursing Quality         | Indicators for Hospitalized    | Non-Surgical    |
| Stroke Elders." I have the opportunity to a | ask the questions. I understan | nd my right to  |
| direct any future questions that I may have | e to the committee of Institu  | tional Review   |
| Board of Nursing Faculty, Prince of Songk   | la University. I have received | d a copy of the |
| consent form. I hereby give the permission  | n to be interviewed and tap    | be-recorded. I  |
| understand that my participation is volu    | ntary and I may refuse my      | y consent and   |
| terminate my participation at any time with | out provoking any penalty.     |                 |
|   |                                |                 |
|   |                                |                 |
| Place/date                                  | (                              | )               |
|   | Participant                    |                 |
|   |                                |                 |
| Place/date                                  | (Pratyanan Thiang              |                 |

| ชื่อโครงการ การพัฒนาตัวชี้วัดคุณภาพการพยาบาลผู้สูงอายุ              | ที่ป่วยเป็นโรคหลอดเลือดสมองพิการและ ไม่ไ       | ด้ผ่าตัด |
|---|--|----------|
| ข้าพเจ้าใต่   | จ้รับทราบจากผู้วิจัย ชื่อ นางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ย | งจรรยา   |
| นักศึกษาคณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลานครินา                      | ทร์ ซึ่งได้ลงนามด้านท้ายของหนังสือนี้ถึงราย    | ละเอียค  |
| และวิธีการศึกษาวิจัยเรื่อง "การพัฒนาตัวชี้วัดคุณภาพการท             | งยาบาลผู้สูงอายุที่ป่วยเป็นโรคหลอดเลือดสมเ     | องพิการ  |
| และไม่ได้ผ่าตัด''   |  |          |
| ข้าพเจ้ายินดีเข้าร่วมในการศึกษาครั้งนี้โคยสมัค                      | รใจ และรับรู้สิทธิที่จะปฏิเสธการเข้าร่วมวิจัย  | ยได้ตาม  |
| ต้องการ โดยไม่จำเป็นต้องแจ้งเหตุผล ซึ่งไม่มีผลใดๆ ต่อก <sup>า</sup> | ารรักษาของข้าพเจ้า                             |          |
| ข้าพเจ้ายินดีให้ข้อมูลของข้าพเจ้าแก่ผู้วิจัยเพื่อเร็                | ป็นประโยชน์ต่อการศึกษา โดยผู้วิจัยรับรองว่     | าจะเก็บ  |
| ข้อมูลเป็นความลับและจะเปิดเผยเฉพาะข้อมูลสรุปผลการวิ                 | วิจัย  |          |
| ข้าพเจ้ายินดีเข้าร่วมการศึกษาครั้งนี้ ภายใต้เงื่อนไ                 | ขที่ระบุไว้แล้วข้างต้น                         |          |
| สถานที่/วันที่  |  |          |
|   | ผู้เข้าร่วมวิจัย                               |          |
| สถานที่/วันที่  | (นางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ยงจรรยา)                   |          |

## **APPENDIX G3**

## INSTITUETIONAL REVIEW BOARD' RESULTS

Faculty of Nursing, Prince of Songkla Universit;

| Criteria for Approval of Institutional Review Board Mrs. Pratyanan Thiangchanya     |   |             |  |  |
|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| Please determine all of the following items for research approval regarding ethical | components (                            | (issues)    |  |  |
|   | Yes                                     | No          |  |  |
| . There are risks to subjects   | Ċ                                       | Ø           |  |  |
| If any, please identify   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | •••••       |  |  |
|   | ••••••                                  | •••••       |  |  |
| . Research plan provides adequate monitoring for risks                              |   | Ø           |  |  |
| The appropriateness of subject selection  | <b>3</b>                                |             |  |  |
| (Sampling, equity of selection)   |   |             |  |  |
| Respect to subject's risks to clearly identified                                    |   |             |  |  |
| Informed consent is presented   | Q                                       |             |  |  |
| Confidentiality of data is maintained throughout the research process               | <b>D</b>                                |             |  |  |
| Results   | •                                       |             |  |  |
| ☐ Exempt  |   |             |  |  |
| Need to be approved by IRB  |   |             |  |  |
| Notify the researches to correct as follow:   |   |             |  |  |
| - Propose informed consent in the proposal  | •••••                                   | •••••       |  |  |
|   | ••••••                                  | •••••       |  |  |
|   | ······································  |             |  |  |
| Evaluator's Signature Aumyn   | Chrow.                                  | rlit        |  |  |
| ( Assac. Prof. Dr. Ara  |   | alit)       |  |  |
| Date 3 August   | !00 <i>5</i>                            |             |  |  |
| Chair of IRB  |   |             |  |  |
| The researcher has already corrected as follow:                                     |   |             |  |  |
| 1   | •                                       |             |  |  |
| 2   |   | *********** |  |  |
| 3   |   |             |  |  |
|   | *************************************** | ••••••      |  |  |

Researcher



## Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee

# Prasat Neurological Institute, Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand

| Development of Nursing Quality Indicators for Hospitalized Non-Surgical Stroke Elderly (Project No. 49013) |
|--|
| Pratyanan Thiangchanya   |
| Prasat Neurological Institute  |
| Brief Dissertation Proposal Semi-structure interview guideline Informed consent form                       |
|  |

We also confirm that we are an ethics committee constituted in agreement and in accordance with the ICH GCP.

The Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee Prasat Neurological Institute, Department of dedical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand had reviewed Thai and/or English protocol. In ethical concern, the committee has reviewed and approved for implementation of the research study as above mention, therefore the Thai potocol will be mainly conduct.

S. Howelight 1-1-1 Chairman

(Mr. Suchat Hanchaiphiboolkul)

(Ms. Pimchanok Puthkhao)

Secretary

Chairman

# APPENDIX H DATA COLLECTION REQUEST-RETURN LETTER



ที่ ศธ.0521.1.05/ 1874

กณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลานครินทร์ ตู้ ปณ. 9 ปทฝ.คอหงส์ อ.หาคใหญ่ จ.สงขลา 90112

3 กรกฎาคม 2549

เรื่อง ขอความอนุเคราะห์ผู้ทรงคุณวุฒิตรวจสอบเครื่องมือวิจัย เรียน ผู้อำนวยการวิทยาลัยพยาบาลสภากาชาคไทย สิ่งที่ส่งมาด้วย โครงร่างวิทยานิพนธ์ และเครื่องมือวิจัย จำนวน 1 ชุค

ค้วย นางปรัชญานันท์ เที่ยงจรรยา รหัสนักศึกษา 4658004 นักศึกษาหลักสูตร ปรัชญาดุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาการพยาบาล (นานาชาติ) คณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลา นครินทร์ มีความประสงค์ที่จะทำวิทยานิพนธ์ เรื่อง "Development of Nursing Quality Indicators for Hospitalized Non-Surgical Stroke Elders" โดยมี รองศาสตราจารย์ คร.สุนุตตรา ตะบูนพงศ์ เป็น อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ ซึ่งในกระบวนการสร้างเครื่องมือวิจัยในเรื่องนี้จำเป็นต้องมีการ ตรวจสอบความตรงทางภาษาและความเหมาะสมของภาษาของเครื่องมือวิจัย ตลอดจนแนวคำถาม ต่าง ๆ เพื่อให้มีความเหมาะสมและครอบคลุมครบถ้วนในประเด็นที่ศึกษาดังกล่าว

คณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ จึงใคร่ขอความอนุเคราะห์จาก คร.ธัญญลักษณ์ โอบอ้อม เป็น ผู้ทรงคุณวุฒิตรวจสอบเครื่องมือวิจัยของการทำวิทยานิพนธ์คังกล่าว

จึงเรียนมาเพื่อโปรคพิจารณาให้ความอนุเคราะห์ค้วย จะเป็นพระคุณยิ่ง

ขอแสคงความนับถือ

(รองศาสตราจารย์ คร.อรัญญา เชาวลิต)

คณบคืกณะพยาบาลศาสตร์

สำนักงานเลขานุการ โทรศัพท์ 0-7428-6561 โทรสาร 0-7421-2901

สำเนาเรียน คร.ชัญญูลักษณ์ โอบอ้อม

สภากาชาดไทย

วิทยาลัยพยาบาล่สภากาช ถนนพระราม 4 เขตปทุมวัน กรุงเทพฯ 10330

31 กรกฎาคม 2549

เรื่อง ยินดีให้บุคลากรเป็นผู้ทรงคุณวุฒิตรวจสอบเครื่องมือวิจัย

เรียน คณบดีคณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลานครินทร์

อ้างถึง หนังสือที่ ศธ.0521.1.05/1874 ลงวันที่ 3 กรกฎาคม 2549

ตามหนังสือที่อ้างถึง ขออนุมัติให้ อาจารย์ ดร.ธัญลักษณ์ โอบอ้อม เป็นผู้ทรงคุณวุฒิ ตรวจสอบเครื่องมือวิจัยของนางปรัชญานั้นท์ เที่ยงจรรยา นักศึกษาหลักสูตรปรัชญาดุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาการพยาบาล (นานาชาติ) คณะพยาบาลศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยสงขลานครินทร์ รายละเอียด ดังความแจ้งแล้ว นั้น

วิทยาลัยพยาบาลสภากาชาดไทย ยินดีให้ความร่วมมือ ทั้งนี้ ได้แจ้งให้ผู้เกี่ยวข้องทราบ ด้วยแล้ว

จึงเรียนมาเพื่อโปรดทราบ

· suchisher any entrangence continue.

ขอแสดงความนับถือ

อ.ละ.อัพลักษณ์ หารอันเกษะการคระบากเลืองเครื่องคือรังบาร

(ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ชุลีพร เชาวน์เมธากิจ)

วง เล่าการรณ์ และ กับสามายก เล่าค่ะมามา ว พหายสารบรรณ์ และ กับสามายการ เล่าค่ะมามา ว AAFINGANIANA

ผู้อำนวยการวิทยาลัยพยาบาลสภากาชาดไทย

โทร 0 2256 4092-99 ต่อ 114

โทรสาร 0 2256 4090

รหัสแฟัม 3/4 ให้ข้อมูลเพื่อการวิทยานิพนธ์

File การโต้-ดอบ