## CHAPTER 3

## RESULTS

### 3.1 General characteristics of water quality in the study area

## Total arsenic (As)

The total arsenic values in water samples from the six sampling locations were shown in Figure 5. Among the water samples measured, total arsenic values ranged from $0.30 \pm 0.01$ to $167.85 \pm 0.96 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). The highest concentration of total arsenic occurred in April at location 5 and the lowest in October at location 4 (Figure 6). The highest mean value was $84.41 \pm 13.95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5, followed by location $1(69.31 \pm 5.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, and the lowest values of $0.92 \pm 0.35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ were for location 4 . The mean value of total arsenic was the highest in June ( $62.06 \pm 21.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, followed by April $(50.35 \pm 25.79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and May $(46.17 \pm 24.53 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, whilst the lowest mean value was in November $(23.39 \pm 6.43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ (Appendix CI). For all sampling locations, it may be observed that locations 1, 3 and 5 had high fluctuation during the sampling periods and obviously showed the highest values during the dry period. The highest value during the dry period was recorded in April at location 5 (167.85 $\pm 0.96$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$, followed by February at location $1(98.96 \pm 0.96 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. The mean values for total arsenic in High Arsenic Contaminated Ponds (HACP) - location $1(69.31 \pm 5.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, location 3 $(39.06 \pm 3.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and location $5(84.41 \pm 13.95 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ were higher than that of the Low Arsenic Contaminated Ponds (LACP) - location $2(13.64 \pm 0.54 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, location $4(0.92 \pm 0.35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and location $6(7.24 \pm 0.48 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. In addition, total arsenic values showed high variation in HACP and less in LACP. The HACP values ranged from $19.00 \pm 0.03$ to $167.85 \pm 0.96 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, whilst the LACP values ranged from $0.30 \pm 0.01$ to $16.08 \pm 0.20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

## Water temperature

Temperature generally showed little variability during the time of sampling. The maximum-minimum values were in the range of $28.3 \pm 0.3$ to $33.6 \pm 0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Appendix B). Of the mean values the highest were in April $\left(33.6 \pm 0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the lowest in December $(28.3 \pm 0.3$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Appendix CII). As for observations on seasonal variations the highest values were determined during the dry period, and the lowest in the heavy rainy period (Figure 7).

## Light intensity

Light intensity ranged from $466.9 \pm 84.2$ to $2,002.7 \pm 345.6$ lux (Appendix B). The mean values of light intensity were also calculated and the sample mean indicated that light intensity was significantly different depending on the season. The light intensity records show discreet seasonal difference, with minimum values during in December ( $466.9 \pm 84.2$ lux) and maximum values during in September ( $2,002.7 \pm 345.6$ lux) and March ( $1,747.1 \pm 344.9$ lux) (Figure 8; Appendix CIII).


Figure 5. Boxplot of arsenic concentration presented in sampling locations in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province.


Figure 6. Temporal patterns of total arsenic (mean $\pm$ SE; n=3) from July 2004 to June 2005.
$\longrightarrow-$ location 1, ——— location 2, $\_-$location 3, $\triangle$ location 4,

-     - location 5, $-\square$ location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.


Figure 7. Temporal patterns of water temperature (mean $\pm$ SE; n=6) from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 8. Temporal patterns of light intensity (mean $\pm$ SE; n=6) from July 2004 to June 2005. mean values for all sampling locations, $L=$ light rainy period, $H$ = heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.

## Conductivity

The conductivity of water varied from $21.17 \pm 0.32$ to $275.80 \pm 1.65 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ (Appendix B). The lowest conductivity value was detected in January at location 2, whilst the highest conductivity was in June at location 6 . The mean conductivity values at locations 5 $(140.28 \pm 16.08 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm})$ and $6(183.10 \pm 18.99 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm})$ were significantly higher than at the other locations. June and May fall in the light rainy period when conductivity values are high. The mean values in June and May were $141.39 \pm 32.48$ and $126.97 \pm 34.44 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$, respectively. The conductivity values showed high variability in locations 5 and 6 . They ranged from $84.50 \pm 14.25$ to $214.40 \pm 0.30 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ with a mean value of $141.59 \pm 16.08 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ and $119.13 \pm 0.73$ to $275.80 \pm 1.65 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ with a mean value of $185.15 \pm 18.99 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ for locations 5 and 6 , respectively. The observed conductivity values in locations 3 and 4 showed moderate changes. They ranged from $46.73 \pm 1.15$ to $114.50 \pm 1.95 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ with a mean value of $67.34 \pm 7.30 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ and $38.10 \pm 0.70$ to $89.33 \pm 0.90 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ with a mean value of $57.71 \pm 5.58 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ for locations 3 and 4, respectively. In addition, the conductivity of location 2 was the lowest and demonstrated little fluctuation, ranging from $21.17 \pm 0.32$ to $50.50 \pm 1.19 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$, with the mean recorded at $29.61 \pm 2.94$ $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ (Figure 9; Appendix CIV).

## pH

The pH values varied greatly from $4.32 \pm 0.12$ to $8.28 \pm 0.13$ (Appendix B). The lowest pH value was detected in April at location 2, whilst the highest pH value was in March at location 3. The mean pH value at location $2(6.00 \pm 0.32)$ was lower than the others, whereas at location 6 the mean $\mathrm{pH}(6.67 \pm 0.28)$ was higher than the others. The highest mean pH levels were in March ( $7.86 \pm 0.12$ ), followed by July ( $7.37 \pm 0.24$ ) and the lowest in April and May ( $5.40 \pm 0.36$ and $5.40 \pm 0.20$, respectively). The changes in pH values were difficult to explain and were not totally dependent upon seasonal changes. Additionally, pH values generally had a similar pattern in all locations during the sampling period. They ranged from $5.19 \pm 0.43$ to $7.60 \pm 0.21$ for location 1, $4.32 \pm 0.13$ to $7.88 \pm 0.12$ for location $2,4.43 \pm 0.05$ to $8.28 \pm 0.13$ for location 3, $4.79 \pm 0.13$ to $8.05 \pm 0.10$ for location $4,5.21 \pm 0.03$ to $8.10 \pm 0.08$ for location 5 and $5.33 \pm 0.04$ to
$8.02 \pm 0.18$ for location 6 . The mean values for those sampling locations were $6.37 \pm 0.23$, $6.00 \pm 0.32,6.24 \pm 0.33,6.10 \pm 0.24,6.66 \pm 0.26,6.67 \pm 0.28$, respectively (Figure 10; Appendix CV).

## Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

DO ranged from $2.02 \pm 0.55$ to $7.86 \pm 0.39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). It was least in December at location 1, and highest in September at location 6. Values at location 4 were generally slightly higher than at the other locations, and the mean value at location 4 was $6.13 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The overall mean value of DO was highest in September ( $7.19 \pm 0.22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and lowest in April $(4.66 \pm 0.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$. DO levels can changed dramatically and appear not to be affected by seasonal changes. DO concentrations in water at different sampling locations during different periods of time appear to be variable. They ranged from $2.02 \pm 0.55$ to $6.67 \pm 0.46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $1,3.67 \pm 1.71$ to $7.56 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $2,3.20 \pm 0.42$ to $6.91 \pm 0.65 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $3,4.98 \pm 0.62$ to $7.38 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $4,4.03 \pm 0.40$ to $7.30 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5 and $4.50 \pm 0.14$ to $7.86 \pm 0.40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 6 . The mean values for those sampling locations were $4.56 \pm 0.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 5.97 \pm 0.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 5.17 \pm 0.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 6.13 \pm 0.20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}, 5.68 \pm 0.33 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, $5.70 \pm 0.30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively (Appendix CVI). From these observations, it seems that the surface waters were normally saturated with oxygen ( $>4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), except during July and December at location 1 when measurements showed a slightly lower value (Figure 11).


Figure 9. Temporal patterns of conductivity (mean $\pm$ SE; $\mathbf{n}=3$ ) from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 10. Temporal patterns of $\mathbf{p H}$ (mean $\pm$ SE; n=3) from July 2004 to June 2005.

- location 1, $-0-$ location 2, $\_$location 3, $\triangle$ location 4,
- location 5, - - location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.


Figure 11. Temporal patterns of Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mean $\pm$ SE; n=3) from July 2004 to June 2005.


-     - location 5, - - location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.


## Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

TSS values ranged from 1.5 to $296.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). Location 5 had a high concentration in October ( $296.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and November ( $236.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). A low value of 1.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ was recorded on January at location 4 . The mean value of location 5 was the highest (75.4 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and this was in contrast with other locations where the means were low: 6.6, 7.1, 10.4, $2.9,9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at locations $1,2,3,4,6$, respectively. The mean value of TSS was highest in October ( $55.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and lowest in February $(6.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ (Appendix CVII). TSS values at the sampling locations were generally low during the study period, except at location 5 which was distinctly different to the other locations. TSS values in location 5 generally increased during the rainy periods (both in the light rainy period and the heavy rainy period), whereas there was no differences in TSS values at the other locations during the changes in season. They ranged from 11 to $296.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, with a mean value of $75.4 \pm 30.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5 , and 1.5 to $22.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, with a mean value of $7.3 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for the remaining sampling locations (Figure 12).

## Biochemical Oxygen Demand ( $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ )

Maximum-minimum values of $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ were in the range of 3.36 to $5.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B), respectively. The results showed that the $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ values were highest in May at location 6, and lowest in April at location 4. The highest mean $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ value was at location 3 $(2.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$, whilst location 5 had the lowest mean value $(1.77 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$. In addition, the mean reached its highest value in May ( $3.57 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), followed by September ( $2.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and July ( 2.51 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), respectively. $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ concentrations tended to increase during May at all sampling locations, and decreased again in June, whereas values in other months seem to have no consistency. $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ values generally showed little variability in space and time. They ranged from 0.90 to $3.36 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $1,0.63$ to $3.48 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 2, 0.70 to $3.84 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 3, 0.20 to $3.39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $4,0.40$ to $3.61 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5 and 0.63 to 5.18 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 6 . The mean values for those sampling locations were $2.18 \pm 0.24,1.80 \pm 0.26$, $2.32 \pm 0.25,2.06 \pm 0.27,1.77 \pm 0.32$ and $2.07 \pm 0.34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively (Figure 13; Appendix CVIII).


Figure 12. Temporal patterns of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (mean $\pm \mathbf{S E} ; \mathbf{n}=3$ ) from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 13. Temporal patterns of Biochemical Oxygen Demand ( $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ ) (mean $\pm \mathbf{S E} ; \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3}$ ) from July 2004 to June 2005.

- location 1, -O- location 2, $\simeq-$ location 3, $\triangle$ location 4,
$\rightarrow-$ location 5, $-\square-$ location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.


## Nitrogen

Concentrations of nitrite-nitrogen were always below the detection level of the method used ( $0.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

Nitrate-nitrogen concentrations ranged from $0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.24 \pm 0.001$ $m \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). The highest value was found in February at location 6. At location 1 values were higher than at the other locations with a mean of $0.08 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$. The overall mean values of nitrate-nitrogen were highest in February ( $0.12 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}$ followed by June $\left(0.11 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}\right)$, and lowest in November and December $(0.01 \pm 0.002$ and $0.01 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively) (Appendix CIX). The lowest values were generally found during the heavy rainy period (December 2004 to January 2005), except for location 1(Figure 14).

Ammonia-nitrogen concentrations were always low ranging from undetectable values to $0.09 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). The highest concentration was found in July at location 6, whereas in some months, at all sampling locations, ammonia-nitrogen was below the detectable level. At location 1, ammonia-nitrogen concentrations were higher than at the other locations with a mean value of $0.03 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$. It was also shown that the mean value of ammonia-nitrogen was highest in December ( $0.06 \pm 0.006 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ ), followed by July ( $0.04 \pm 0.016 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ ) (Appendix CX ). In this study, ammonia-nitrogen levels were slightly higher than those of nitrate-nitrogen. The ammonia-nitrogen tended to be higher in all sampling locations during the heavy rainy period (December), however, nitrate-nitrogen were generally low in December and increased markedly in February (Figure 15).

Overall, nitrate-nitrogen and ammonia-nitrogen are varied from time to time but not obviously from location to location. Nitrate-nitrogen ranged from $0.01 \pm 0$ to $0.23 \pm 0.001$, $0.01 \pm 0.001$ to $0.07 \pm 0.001,0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.12 \pm 0.002,0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.08 \pm 0.001,0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.20 \pm 0.003$ and $0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.24 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively. The mean nitrate-nitrogen values for those sampling locations were $0.08 \pm 0.02$, $0.04 \pm 0.01,0.05 \pm 0.01,0.04 \pm 0.01,0.06 \pm 0.02$ and $0.06 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. Additionally, ammonia-nitrogen ranged from 0 to $0.08 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 1,0 to $0.06 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 2, 0 to $0.06 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 3,0 to $0.05 \pm 0.000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 4,0 to $0.08 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5 and 0 to
$0.09 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 6. The mean ammonia-nitrogen values for those sampling locations were $0.03 \pm 0.01,0.81 \pm 0.01,0.02 \pm 0.01,0.01 \pm 0.00,0.02 \pm 0.01$ and $0.02 \pm 0.01$ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH} 33-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively.

## Dissolved phosphorus ( $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}-\mathbf{P}$ )

Dissolved phosphorus levels ranged from $0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.24 \pm 0.001$ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B). The highest value was found in May at location 5, whereas the lowest values were found in almost all months at location 4 and in some months at locations 2, 5, and 6. Maximum and minimum values of the means were $0.04 \pm 0.002,0.04 \pm 0.008$ at locations 1 and 5 , and $0.01 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ at locations 4 and 6 , respectively. It was also found that the mean of dissolved phosphorus was the highest in October and May ( $0.04 \pm 0.01$ and $0.04 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mg}$ $\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively), followed by September and March ( $0.03 \pm 0.01$ and $0.03 \pm 0.01$ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively) and the lowest values were in July, August, November, December, January, February, April and June ( $0.02 \pm 0.01,0.02 \pm 0.01,0.02 \pm 0.00,0.02 \pm 0.010 .02 \pm 0.01$, $0.02 \pm 0.01,0.02 \pm 0.01,0.02 \pm 0.00 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}{ }_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively). Dissolved phosphorus concentrations changed seasonally at all sampling locations, except location 4. They also tended to increase during the rainy periods (mainly in October and December) (Figure 16).

Of all the sampling locations, location 4 had the lowest dissolved phosphorus values $\left(0.01 \pm 0.000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ at all times. Dissolved phosphorus ranged from $0.03 \pm 0.001$ to
 $0.02 \pm 0.001$ to $0.05 \pm 0.000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ for location $3,0.01 \pm 0.000$ to $0.10 \pm 0.000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 5 and $0.01 \pm 0$ to $0.02 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 6 . The mean values for those sampling locations were $0.04 \pm 0.002,0.02 \pm 0.002,0.03 \pm 0.003,0.04 \pm 0.008$ and $0.01 \pm 0.001$ $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively (Appendix CXI).

## Chlorophyll a

Chlorophyll $a$ levels were highly variable during the study period ranging between $1.0 \pm 0.9$ and $71.0 \pm 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Appendix B), and achieving a maximum value in July at location 3. The lowest value was present in May at location 5 at the time when total suspended solids at that location were at its highest value. The highest mean value of chlorophyll $a$ concentrations was $28.3 \pm 5.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at location 3, whilst the lowest mean value was $4.4 \pm 0.6$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ at location 4. July had the highest mean value of chlorophyll $a$ concentrations at $29.1 \pm$ $11.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and February the lowest mean value of chlorophyll $a(8.7 \pm 2.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. Significant levels of chlorophyll $a$ were found in locations 1, 2, 3 and 6 (the average values were $21.9 \pm 5.3$, $10.8 \pm 1.1,28.3 \pm 5.3,23.3 \pm 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ respectively). Whereas, the average values in locations 4 and 5 were $5.4 \pm 0.6,4.4 \pm 0.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ respectively. In general, chlorophyll $a$ concentrations were relatively low and seemed to follow a similar pattern to the number and density of the phytoplankton during the heavy rainy period (November and December).

For chlorophyll $a$ values, locations 1 and 3 varied significantly more than other locations. They ranged from $3.7 \pm 0.9$ to $58.7 \pm 3.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ with a mean value of $21.9 \pm 5.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 1 and $3.0 \pm 0.6$ to $71.0 \pm 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ with a mean value of $28.3 \pm 5.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for location 3. Whereas, the chlorophyll $a$ of location 2 ranged from $5.0 \pm 0$ to $17.0 \pm 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, location 4 ranged from $2.0 \pm 0.7$ to $8.0 \pm 0.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, location 5 ranged from $1.0 \pm 0.0$ to $11.3 \pm 1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and location 6 ranged from $11.3 \pm 0.3$ to $39.0 \pm 1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. The mean values of the remaining locations were $10.8 \pm 1.1,4.4 \pm 0.6,5.4 \pm 0.9$ and $23.3 \pm 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively (Figure 17; Appendix CXII).

Referring to the methods modified by the Applied Algal Research Laboratory, Chiang Mai University (Peerapornpisal et al., 2004), by altering the amounts of DO, BOD, conductivity, nitrate-nitrogen, ammonia-nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus and chlorophyll $a$ (Appendix D), all sampling locations seem to have a similar limnological behaviour. According to the magnitude of those parameters, locations 3 and 5 can be classified as having oligomesotrophic status, whilst other locations showed some differences in water quality at some sampling periods (Table 3).


Figure 14. Temporal patterns of nitrate-nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{N}\right)($ mean $\pm \mathbf{S E} ; \mathbf{n}=3)$ from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 15. Temporal patterns of ammonia-nitrogen $\left(\mathbf{N H}_{3}-\mathbf{N}\right)$ (mean $\pm$ SE; n=3) from July 2004 to June 2005.
$\longrightarrow-$ location 1, —— location 2, $\_-$location 3, $\triangle$ location 4,

-     - location 5, - - location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.


Figure 16. Temporal patterns of dissolved phosphorus $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{\mathbf{3}^{-}} \mathbf{- P}\right)(\operatorname{mean} \pm \mathbf{S E} ; \mathbf{n}=3)$ from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 17. Temporal patterns of chlorophyll $a$ (mean $\pm$ SE; n=3) from July 2004 to June 2005.
$\longrightarrow$ location 1, - location 2, $\mp$ location 3, $\triangle$ location 4,

- location 5, - - location 6,
$L=$ light rainy period, $H=$ heavy rainy period, $D=$ dry period.

Table 3. Water quality status of sampling locations in arsenic contaminated waters determined from July 2004 to June 2005.

| Months | Location 1 | Location 2 | Location 3 | Location 4 | Location 5 | Location 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | Mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| August | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| September | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| October | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| November | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| December | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| January | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| February | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| March | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Mesotrophic |
| April | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic |
| May | Mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Mesotrophic |
| June | Mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Oligo-mesotrophic | Mesotrophic |

### 3.2 Species composition and diversity of phytoplankton in arsenic contaminated waters

During these investigations, the composition of phytoplankton showed a remarkable diversity. A total of seventy-eight genera of phytoplankton were identified. Of the taxa, Chlorophyceae was the largest group with 40 genera, followed by Cyanophyceae (18 genera), Bacillariophyceae (11 genera), Euglenophyceae (4 genera), Chrysophyceae (3 genera) and Pyrrophyceae (2 genera). A floristic list is given in Table 4. The Chlorophyceae comprised of 51.3 \% (Figure 18) of the total taxa, followed by the Cyanophyceae 23.1 \%, Bacillariophyceae $14.1 \%$, Euglenophyceae 5.1 \%, Chrysophyceae 3.8 \% and Pyrrophyceae 2.6 \%. Comparison of the genera number of the phytoplankton flora between High Arsenic Contaminated Ponds (HACP) and Low Arsenic Contaminated Ponds (LACP) revealed little differences. The number of genera in the former was 75 and the latter was 72 genera (Figure 19). The highest number of taxa (64) was recorded in location 1, whereas locations 5 and 6 had the lowest number of taxa (54) (Figure 20).

Obviously, a decrease of phytoplankton species diversity observed in this study was correlated with rain intensity (Figure 21). Chlorophytes and cyanophytes were found mainly in phytoplankton assemblage and their genera number decreased during the heavy rainy period (November to December 2004) (Figures 22-23). During the heavy rainy period, the chlorophytes constituted the richest with 27 genera or $45.8 \%$ of the total genera number. Thirteen genera of cyanophytes were found, constituting around $22.0 \%$ of the total genera number. In the dry period, 17 genera of cyanophytes and 29 genera of chlorophytes were identified adding up to around 25.8 $\%$ and $43.9 \%$ of the total genera number, respectively. The number of chlorophyte genera increased remarkably during the light rainy period (40 genera), estimated at $55.6 \%$ of the total genera number, whilst genera number of cyanophytes (13 genera) increased only slightly, estimated at $18.1 \%$ of the total genera number (Table 5 and Figures $24 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ).

Table 4. Spatial and temporal occurrence of taxa registered from sampling locations in the arsenic contaminated waters from July 2004 to June 2005 : Jan=January, Feb=Febuary, Mar=March, Apr=April, May=May, Jun=June, Jul=July, Aug=August, Sep=September, Oct=October, Nov=November, Dec=December, HACP=high arsenic contaminated ponds, LACP $=$ low arsenic contaminated ponds, exc=except.

|  | Spatial occurrence |  | Temporal occurrence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HACP | LACP |  |
| Division Cyanophyta |  |  |  |
| Anabaena spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Mar, May |
| Anabaenopsis sp. | 5 | 4,6 | Jul, Aug, Feb, Apr, May, Jun |
| Anacystis sp. | - | 6 | Jul |
| Aphanocapsa sp. | 1,3 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Nov, Dec, May |
| Calothrix sp. | 5 | 2 | Apr, May |
| Chroococcus spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Cylindrospermopsis sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Dec |
| Cylindrospermum sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Dec |
| Gloeocapsa sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Microcystis spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Merismopedia spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Oscillatoria spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Phormidium spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Raphidiopsis sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Sep, Nov, Dec |
| Spirulina sp. | 1,3 | 2,6 | Oct, Dec, Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, Jun |
| Synechococcus sp. | 1 | 6 | Mar, May |
| Tolypothrix sp. | 1,5 | 6 | Mar, Apr, May |
| Trichodesmium sp. | 1,3,5 | - | Oct, Dec, Feb, Mar, May |
| Division Chlorophyta |  |  |  |
| Ankistrodesmus spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Botryococcus sp. | 3,5 | 2,4 | All months exc Jul, Dec, May, Jun |
| Chlorella sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Chlorococcum sp. | 1,5 | 2,4,6 | Jul, Sep, Oct, Nov, Jan, Apr |
| Chodatella sp. | 1 | 4 | Jul, May |
| Clamydomonas sp. | 1 | 6 | Jul |
| Closterium sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Jul, Aug, Dec |
| Coelastrum spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,6 | All months |

Table 4. (continued)

| Cosmarium spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crucigenia spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Crucigeniella sp. | 1,3 | 2,4 | Oct, Nov, Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun |
| Cylindrocystis sp. | 1 | 2,4,6 | Sep, Jan, Feb, May, Jun |
| Dictyosphaerium sp. | 1,3 | 4 | Jul, Dec, Apr, May |
| Elakatothrix sp. | - | 4 | Aug, Feb |
| Euastrum spp. | 1 | 2,6 | All months exc Aug, Apr, May |
| Eudorina sp. | 1,5 | 6 | Feb, Mar |
| Gloeocystis sp. | 3,5 | 2,4,6 | Sep, Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun |
| Golenkinia sp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Gonatozygon sp. | 1 | 4 | Jan, May, Jun |
| Micractinium sp. | 1,3 | 2,4 | Oct, Feb, Jun |
| Monoraphidium spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Mougeotia spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,6 | All months exc Jul, Oct, Jan |
| Nephrocytium sp. | 3 | - | Jul |
| Netrium sp. | 5 | - | Sep, May |
| Oedogonium spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Oct |
| Oocystis spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct, Feb, Apr, May, Jun |
| Pandorina spp. | 1,3 | 2 | Jul, Mar, Apr, Jun |
| Pediastrum spp. | 1 | 2,4,6 | All months exc Feb, May |
| Penium sp. | 1,5 | - | Jul |
| Phaeodactylum sp. | 1 | 2,6 | Aug, Sep, Jun |
| Scenedesmus spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Spirogyra sp. | 1,3 | 2 | Nov, Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May |
| Spirotaenia sp. | 1 | - | Jul, Sep |
| Staurastrum spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Staurodesmus spp. | 1,5 | 2,4,6 | Jul, Aug, Sep, Nov, Dec, Jun |
| Tetraedron spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4,6 | All months |
| Tetralantos sp. | 1 | 2,4 | Oct, Nov, Jan |
| Treubaria sp. | 3 | 4 | Mar, Jun |
| Ulothrix sp. | 1 | - | Jul |
| Zygnema spp. | 1,3,5 | 2,4 | Aug, Sep, Jan, Feb, Apr, May, Jun |

## Division Pyrrophyta

Ceratium sp. $5 \quad 2,4,6$

All months
All months

Table 4. (continued)

## Division Bacillariophyta

| Caloneis sp. | - | $2,4,6$ | Sep, Dec, Jan, Feb, May, Jun |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cymbella sp. | 1,3 | 2 | Nov, Dec, Mar, Apr |
| Diatomella sp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | Jul, Aug, Sep, Jan, Feb, Mar, May |
| Fragilaria sp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | All months |
| Gomphonema spp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | All months |
| Gyrosigma sp./Pleurosigma sp. | 5 | 2 | Sep, Oct, Mar |
| Navicula spp. | $1,3,5$ | All months |  |
| Nitzchia spp. | $1,3,5$ | All months |  |
| Pinnularia sp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | All months exc Nov, Jan |
| Surirella spp. | $1,3,5$ | Aug, Sep, Dec, Feb, Mar |  |

## Division Euglenophyta

Euglena spp.

Lepocinclis sp .
Phacus spp.
Trachelomonas spp.

2,4,6
2,4,6
2,4,6
2,4,6

All months
All months exc Nov
All months
All months

## Division Chrysophyta

| Centritractus sp. | $1,3,5$ | 2 | All months exc Jul, Oct |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dinobryon spp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | All months |
| Isthmochloron sp. | $1,3,5$ | $2,4,6$ | All months |



Figure 18. The percentage of the genera numbers of phytoplankton in each class detected in six water ponds designated as arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.


Figure 19. Phytoplankton genera numbers in HACP and LACP waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand. HACP = high arsenic contaminated ponds, $L A C P=$ low arsenic contaminated ponds.


Figure 20. Genera numbers of phytoplankton communities in each arsenic contaminated water pond in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.


Figure 21. Seasonal occurrence of genera numbers of phytoplankton communities in six arsenic contaminated sampling ponds in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.


Figure 22. Genera numbers in each class of six water ponds in arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand from July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 23. Genera numbers recorded in each seasonal period in arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.

Table 5. Genera numbers recorded in each class during the heavy rainy period, dry period and light rainy period in arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.

| Class | heavy rainy period | dry period | light rainy period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyanophyceae | 13 | 17 | 13 |
| Chlorophyceae | 27 | 29 | 40 |
| Pyrrophyceae | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Bacillariophyceae | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Euglenophyceae | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Chrysophyceae | 3 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 3 |
| Total (taxa) | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |  |

a)

b)

c)


Figure 24. The percentage of the phytoplankton genera numbers in each class during the heavy rainy period, dry period and light rainy period in arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.

## Chlorophyceae

Chlorophytes dominated in the numbers of taxons, comprised more than half of the total number of genera recorded and were by far the most genera-rich group in the phytoplankton community. The most frequent phytoplankton genera isolated from all sampling locations were chlorococcacean and desmids. Desmids were more important in location 4 than in other locations. The desmids most frequently found were Staurastrum spp. and Cosmarium spp.

## Cyanophyceae

Generally, the blue-greens (cyanophyceae) dominated the community. Cyanophytes were also numerous in analysed samples (coccal and filamentous genera). In this study, the most frequent genera isolated throughout the year such as Chroococcus spp., Gloeocapsa sp., Microcystis spp., Merismopedia spp., but some of the taxa were only found in one month such as Anacystis sp.

## Pyrrophyceae

Taxons in the Pyrrophyceae were less frequently isolated from sampling locations. During the study period, the genera of pyrrophyceae isolated changed little at all sampling location. Peridinium spp. were detected at all sampling locations. However, Ceratium sp. was commonly found in four locations (locations 2, 4, 5, and 6), but were absent in locations 1 and 3 . The armoured algal genera were frequently found (and often together). There were some minor significant groups in the studied ecosystem due to their high biomass and abundance.

## Bacillariophyceae

Bacillariophyceae were not very significant in all sampling locations in terms of genera number. They were comprised of almost a quarter of the total number of genera. Fragilaria sp. Gomphonema spp., Navicula spp. and Nitzchia spp. were presented all through the year and other genera were found at least a few months that is Gyrosigma $\mathrm{sp} . /$ Pleurosigma sp .

## Euglenophyceae

Although, euglenophytes genera comprised only $5.0 \%$ of the total number of genera, they are very important in the sampling locations in terms of being a bioindicative parameter. For example, Euglena spp., Phacus spp. and Trachelomonas spp. were present all year round.

## Chrysophyceae

This phylum also showed a very low number of genera. However, chrysophytes were consistently found at all sampling locations, especially in Dinobryon spp. and Isthmochloron sp.

### 3.3 Species richness, evenness indices and Shannon-Weiner diversity of phytoplankton flora in arsenic contaminated waters

The algal abundance data of each sampling location and each sampling time were analyzed using diversity, evenness and richness values. A summary of the values for these indices can be found in Table 6. Species richness over the study period was the highest in June at location 2 with 41 genera and the lowest was in November at location 1 (11). Comparison of species richness values between HACP and LACP indicated that HACP had higher variation in species richness than LACP. Wide variation in species richness among the samples was found at location 1. The number varied widely from 11 to 40 between November to April.

In general, evenness values were greater in all sampling locations during some months of the rainy period (November and December). Furthermore, Shannan-Weiner's diversity index was also taken into consideration during the rainy period because of its relatively high value compared to other seasonal periods. These represent values between 0.053 and 1.165 , with a mean value of $\quad 0.531 \pm 0.03$. However, species richness generally had lower numbers within the same sampling period. The results indicated that the rainy period generally increased the diversity of phytoplankton due to increasing evenness values. Overall there are quite a few differences in the diversity, evenness and richness values for the six sampling locations. Also, the results of these
values were more pronounced seasonally than spatially. The maximum value of Shannon-Weiner diversity and evenness indices was recorded in June, at location $4(H=1.165, J=0.781$, respectively $)$ and the lowest was recorded in November at location $1(\mathrm{H}=0.053, \mathrm{~J}=0.051$, respectively).

Table 6. Summary of species richness (R), equitability or evenness (J) and Shannon-Wiener diversity $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ (bits/ind) indices for July 2004 to June 2005 in arsenic contaminated waters.

| Location 1 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 35 | 0.322 | 0.497 |
| Aug | 35 | 0.275 | 0.424 |
| Sep | 36 | 0.290 | 0.452 |
| Oct | 22 | 0.082 | 0.110 |
| Nov | 11 | 0.051 | 0.053 |
| Dec | 18 | 0.710 | 0.892 |
| Jan | 32 | 0.430 | 0.647 |
| Feb | 25 | 0.370 | 0.517 |
| Mar | 32 | 0.366 | 0.551 |
| Apr | 39 | 0.569 | 0.906 |
| May | 24 | 0.057 | 0.078 |
| Jun | 19 | 0.097 | 0.124 |
| Average | 27 | 0.302 | 0.438 |


| Location 3 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 31 | 0.415 | 0.619 |
| Aug | 20 | 0.361 | 0.470 |
| Sep | 18 | 0.479 | 0.601 |
| Oct | 26 | 0.261 | 0.369 |
| Nov | 17 | 0.523 | 0.643 |
| Dec | 13 | 0.316 | 0.352 |
| Jan | 30 | 0.261 | 0.385 |
| Feb | 34 | 0.098 | 0.150 |
| Mar | 26 | 0.133 | 0.188 |
| Apr | 28 | 0.221 | 0.320 |
| May | 29 | 0.441 | 0.645 |
| Jun | 30 | 0.478 | 0.705 |
| Average | 25 | 0.332 | 0.454 |


| Location 2 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 34 | 0.580 | 0.888 |
| Aug | 32 | 0.205 | 0.308 |
| Sep | 40 | 0.272 | 0.436 |
| Oct | 30 | 0.305 | 0.450 |
| Nov | 33 | 0.053 | 0.080 |
| Dec | 25 | 0.672 | 0.939 |
| Jan | 32 | 0.079 | 0.118 |
| Feb | 33 | 0.553 | 0.839 |
| Mar | 30 | 0.256 | 0.379 |
| Apr | 34 | 0.353 | 0.540 |
| May | 31 | 0.177 | 0.265 |
| Jun | 41 | 0.630 | 1.017 |
| Mean | 33 | 0.345 | 0.522 |


| Location 4 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 25 | 0.612 | 0.856 |
| Aug | 32 | 0.253 | 0.382 |
| Sep | 33 | 0.644 | 0.977 |
| Oct | 29 | 0.517 | 0.756 |
| Nov | 25 | 0.610 | 0.853 |
| Dec | 17 | 0.609 | 0.750 |
| Jan | 24 | 0.593 | 0.818 |
| Feb | 31 | 0.235 | 0.350 |
| Mar | 26 | 0.572 | 0.809 |
| Apr | 33 | 0.524 | 0.796 |
| May | 23 | 0.776 | 1.056 |
| Jun | 31 | 0.781 | 1.165 |
| Mean | 27 | 0.561 | 0.797 |


| Location 5 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 27 | 0.513 | 0.735 |
| Aug | 23 | 0.156 | 0.213 |
| Sep | 35 | 0.404 | 0.623 |
| Oct | 21 | 0.206 | 0.272 |
| Nov | 12 | 0.327 | 0.353 |
| Dec | 14 | 0.576 | 0.660 |
| Jan | 18 | 0.288 | 0.361 |
| Feb | 14 | 0.303 | 0.348 |
| Mar | 23 | 0.253 | 0.345 |
| Apr | 24 | 0.381 | 0.526 |
| May | 25 | 0.336 | 0.469 |
| Jun | 17 | 0.374 | 0.460 |
| Mean | 21 | 0.343 | 0.447 |


| Location 6 | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul | 33 | 0.268 | 0.406 |
| Aug | 28 | 0.091 | 0.131 |
| Sep | 25 | 0.648 | 0.906 |
| Oct | 24 | 0.377 | 0.520 |
| Nov | 25 | 0.662 | 0.926 |
| Dec | 19 | 0.663 | 0.848 |
| Jan | 31 | 0.424 | 0.632 |
| Feb | 23 | 0.126 | 0.172 |
| Mar | 26 | 0.234 | 0.332 |
| Apr | 22 | 0.360 | 0.483 |
| May | 21 | 0.331 | 0.438 |
| Jun | 22 | 0.441 | 0.592 |
| Mean | 25 | 0.385 | 0.532 |

### 3.4 Relative abundance and density of phytoplankton

The relative abundance of phytoplankton assemblages in each sampling location studied was variable. It varied from $0 \%$ to $99.66 \%$, among cyanophytes, from $0.04 \%$ to $98.65 \%$ for chlorophytes, from $0 \%$ to $59.94 \%$ for pyrrophytes, from $0 \%$ to $91.85 \%$ for bacillariophytes, from $0 \%$ to $18.63 \%$ for euglenophytes, and from $0 \%$ to $98.18 \%$ for chrysophytes. In most of the samples taken, cyanophytes were the most abundant group, representing $77.55 \%$ of the total phytoplankton assemblages.

Cyanophytes contributed relatively high proportions at all sampling locations and sampling times, except location 4 and during the rainy period. In location 4, chlorophytes generally were found as the most abundant group in several months (except October, November, and June), representing more than $50 \%$ of the total. Also, note that the changes in relative abundance occasionally occurred during the rainy period (mainly from November to December). During the rainy period, several algal groups were recorded with highly relative abundance such as chrysophytes in location 1 ( $98.18 \%$ in November), chlorophytes in locations 2, 4, and $5(98.65 \%$ in November, $72.57 \%$ in December and $79.55 \%$ in November, respectively), and bacillariophytes in location 3 ( $91.85 \%$ in December).

Mean total phytoplankton density ranged from $8.08 \times 10^{4}$ to $1.24 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L. The overall mean numbers per litre of phytoplankton collected throughout the study period were $1.24 \times 10^{6} ; 7.89 \times 10^{5} ; 5.16 \times 10^{5} ; 4.92 \times 10^{5} ; 9.87 \times 10^{4}$ and $8.08 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L in locations $3,6,1$, 2, 4 and 5, respectively (Figure 25). Comparison of phytoplankton communities at each location indicated that the highest density varied depending on seasonal effect. It was apparent that the mean density of phytoplankton recorded in all locations generally were lower during the rainy period (November to December). Density also showed monthly variations, with high values and peaks sometime above $1.2 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L in September, March and May at location 1, and higher than $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L in July and August at location 6. The highest density occurred in March at location $3\left(5.59 \times 10^{6}\right.$ cells/L), whilst the lowest occurred in December at location 1 ( 976 cells $/ \mathrm{L}$ ). In addition, the highest density occurred in May ( $1.58 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L) for location 1, January $\left(1.84 \times 10^{6}\right.$ cells/L) for location 2, and August ( $4.87 \times 10^{5} ; 2.50 \times 10^{5} ; 2.49 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{L}$ ) for locations 4,5 and 6 , respectively.

Cyanophytes made up the highest density group, accounting for $89.58,25.88$, 84.73, 28.49, 81.99 and $95.6 \%$ of the total densities at each location (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively). The $2^{\text {nd }}$ highest density group were chlorophytes which accounted for $1.01,60.49$, $5.85,66.06,1.01$ and $0.75 \%$ of the total density at each location (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively). The $3^{\text {rd }}$ highest density group were chrysophytes which accounted for $3.61,12.57$, $5.50,1.27,14.97$ and $0.42 \%$ of the total density at each location (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively). The $4^{\text {th }}$ highest density group were pyrrophytes which accounted for $2.35,0.57,1.73$, 3.92, 1.20 and $2.73 \%$ of the total density at each location (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively). The $5^{\text {th }}$ highest density group was bacillariophytes which accounted for $2.01,0.16$, $1.70,0.21,0.53$ and $0.10 \%$ of the total density at each locations (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively). The $6^{\text {th }}$ highest density group were euglenophytes which accounted for $1.44,0.34$, $0.49,0.05,0.30$ and $0.41 \%$ of the total density at each location (locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively) (Figure 26).


Figure 25. Chart of the phytoplankton densities of six sampling locations in the arsenic contaminated waters at the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.
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Figure 26. The percentage of phytoplankton densities in each sampling locations of arsenic contaminated waters in the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand.

## Chlorophyceae

Chlorophytes were very significant in the sampling ponds in terms of genera number. However, in terms of density, chlorophytes were second in order of phytoplankton density during this study period. The highest density of chlorophytes was recorded in January ( $1.76 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L) at location 2, of which Botryococcus sp. ( $1.75 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L), contributed $99.28 \%$ of the total chorophytes, respectively.

The most predominant chlorophytes genera with each sampling locations were Scenedesmus spp. ( $1.09 \times 10^{3}$ cells/L) and Coelastrum spp. ( $6.58 \times 10^{2}$ cells/L) for location 1 , Botryococcus sp. ( $2.88 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) for the location 2, Botryococcus sp. ( $4.78 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) and Staurastrum spp. ( $1.81 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) for location 3, Botryococcus sp. ( $4.76 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) for location 4, Botryococcus sp. ( $4.63 \times 10^{2}$ cells/L) for location 5, and Staurastrum spp. $\left(1.53 \times 10^{3}\right.$ cells/L) for location 6 .

## Cyanophyceae

Cyanophytes contributed considerably to the overall cell number. At certain times of the sampling period, they dominated the total phytoplankton cell number. In terms of species number, cyanophytes were not the most significant in all sampling locations. The highest density of cyanophytes was recorded in March $\left(5.59 \times 10^{6}\right.$ cells/L) at location 3. These high density were due in the most part to the cyanophytes assemblage represented by Oscillatoria spp. ( $4.91 \times 10^{6}$ cells/L), accounting for $88.91 \%$ of the cyanophytes by number.

The most predominant phytoplankton genera at each water location were Raphidiopsis sp. ( $1.39 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) and Cylindrospermum sp. ( $1.33 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) for location 1, Cylindrospermopsis sp. ( $5.26 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) and Oscillatoria spp. ( $6.20 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) for location 2, Oscillatoria spp. ( $4.83 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) and Raphidiopsis sp . ( $3.45 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) for location 3, Oscillatoria spp. ( $7.58 \times 10^{3}$ cells/L) and Gloeocapsa sp. ( $4.94 \times 10^{3}$ cells $/ \mathrm{L}$ ) for location 4, Cylindrospermopsis sp. ( $2.49 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) and Oscillatoria spp. ( $2.92 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) for location 5, Cylindrospermopsis sp. $\left(4.92 \times 10^{5}\right.$ cells/L) and Oscillatoria spp. $\left(1.96 \times 10^{5}\right.$ cells/L) for location 6.

## Pyrrophyceae

Throughout the study period, pyrrophytes were poorly diversified. However, species composition and dinoflagellate densities were one of the most important phytoplankton assemblage along the arsenic contaminated waters. Pyrrophyceae were a frequent algal group in many sampling locations during the study period. They consisted mainly of two genera, Peridinium and Ceratium. These genera made up $1.95 \%$ of the total phytoplankton density. The highest mean value of dinoflagellate density occurred in July at location $6\left(2.01 \times 10^{5}\right.$ cells/L). The highest density of dinoflagellates corresponded with Peridinium spp., which is common in the arsenic contaminated waters and was found in $100 \%$ of all dinoflagellate densities.

The most predominant pyrrophytes genera within all sampling locations were Peridinium spp. The mean density of Peridinium spp. was $1.22 \times 10^{4} ; 8.37 \times 10^{3} ; 2.15 \times 10^{4} ; 3.51 \times$ $10^{3} ; 7.00 \times 10^{2}$ and $2.15 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L for locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively.

## Bacillariophyceae

The bacillariophytes were considerable in number throughout most of the study period. Incidences of bacillariophytes densities were low for all species, except Fragilaria sp. and Navicula sp. During the study period, cell densities showed the highest value of $2.21 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{L}$ in October at location 3. The high contribution of bacillariophytes made by Fragilaria sp. was 98.95 \%.

The most predominant bacillariophytes genera within each sampling locations were Navicula spp. $\left(8.86 \times 10^{3}\right.$ cells/L) for location 1 , Navicula spp. ( $3.34 \times 10^{2}$ cells/L) for location 2, Fragilaria sp. ( $2.04 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) for location 3 , Navicula spp. $\left(6.70 \times 10^{1}\right.$ cells/L) for location 4, Fragilaria sp. ( $1.64 \times 10^{2}$ cells/L) for location 5, and Navicula spp. (5.97 $\times 10^{2}$ cells/L) for location 6.

## Euglenophyceae

In general, euglenophytes were found in relatively low density during the study period, but they are a very important algal group in terms of indicative parameter along contaminated waters. The highest density was observed in September ( $5.57 \times 10^{4}$ cells/L) at location 1. Fewer but appreciable numbers were collected of Trachelomonas spp. at $98.56 \%$ of the total euglenophytes density. These taxa are characteristic of contaminated waters.

The most predominant euglenophytes genera within all sampling location were Trachelomona spp. The mean density of Trachelomona spp. was $6.81 \times 10^{3} ; 1.07 \times 10^{3}, 4.79 \times 10^{3}$; $2.50 \times 10^{1} ; 1.13 \times 10^{2}$ and $2.47 \times 10^{3}$ cells/L for locations $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 , respectively.

## Chrysophyceae

Chrysophytes is one of the minor groups in terms of density. They were dominated numerically by Dinobryon spp. which collectively represented approximately $4.87 \%$ of the total densities. The highest density of chrysophytes within the period of investigation was registered in January ( $8.02 \times 10^{5}$ cells/L) at location 3. Chrysophytes highest density due to Dinobryon spp. comprising $79.05 \%$ of the total chrysophytes density.

The most predominant chrysophytes genera within all sampling locations were Dinobryon spp. The mean density of Dinobryon was $1.20 \times 10^{4} ; 6.16 \times 10^{4} ; 6.81 \times 10^{4} ; 1.13 \times 10^{3}$ $; 1.20 \times 10^{4}$ and $3.26 \times 10^{3}$ for locations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 , respectively.

Tables 7 and 8 show the dominant phytoplankton genera along arsenic contaminated waters at each location and each sampling period. Cyanophytes were the most abundant of algal flora. In addition, chlorophytes seem to be an important algal group in location 4, whilst chrysophytes were also presented as a dominant algal group during some months of the sampling period, particularly in locations 2 and 5. Changes in phytoplankton abundance were more pronounced seasonally than spatially. The diminished growth of the algal flora was noticed during the rainy period. Detailed changed in the main populations are presented separately for each sampling location as follows:

## Location 1

In location 1, cyanophytes generally were the dominant group in all sampling periods, except in November (Figure 27). The highest total abundance was attained in May with growth of Raphidiopsis sp. comprising $97.16 \%$ of the total. Minor peaks occurred in September and March, due to a large number of Cylindrospermum sp. in those periods, constituting about $62.77 \%$ and $53.37 \%$ of the total, respectively. However, the pattern in the rainy period (November and December) was very different. A significant number of phytoplankton flora was detected during the rainy period. In subsequent periods, the total abundance decreased with a fall in the numbers of mostly phytoplankton assemblages. During November, chrysophytes in the genus Dinobryon spp. were most conspicuous with a relatively high abundance of $98.14 \%$ of the total. In December, phytoplankton assemblages seemed to decrease distinctly as the rain intensity decreased slightly. The population of dinoflagellates dominated with only small quantities of Peridinium spp., found ( $21.41 \%$ ). When rain intensity sharply increased, the phytoplankton identified was mostly dominated by cyanophytes. Phytoplankton rich waters in those periods were generally dominated by Oscillatoria spp.

## Location 2

In location 2, different phytoplankton groups alternated dominance in each period. Cyanophytes were dominant in July, August, February, April and May, and a small number were also found in December. Chlorophytes were dominant in November, January and March and chrysophytes in September (Figure 28). The investigations showed that the lowest density w $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{\$}$ observed in December. Microcystis spp. dominated but with only small quantities, or $37.67 \%$ of the total. In the following month, January, the highest numbers were observed with Botryococcus sp. achieving $61.17 \%$ of the total.

In general, all sampling locations had their highest cell density in the early rainy period. However, it was found that the highest cell density occurred in January, the rainy period. The Meteorological Department of Thailand has reported that the annual rain intensity was not high during the study period, when compared with previous investigations.

Table 7. Dominant phytoplankton genera in each location of arsenic contaminated waters at the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand during July to December 2004.

| Location | Year 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| 1 | Cylindrospermopsis sp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp. | Cylindrospermum sp., <br> Oscillatoria spp. | Raphidiopsis sp. | Dinobryon spp. | Peridinium spp., <br> Dinobryon spp., <br> Oscillatoria spp. |
| 2 | Cylindrospermopsis sp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp . | Dinobryon spp., Botryococcus sp. | Botryococcus sp., <br> Dinobryon spp. | Botryococcus sp. | Microcystis spp. |
| 3 | Cylindrospermopsis sp., <br> Microcystis spp. <br> Raphidiopsis sp. | Microcystis spp. <br> Raphidiopsis sp., <br> Oscillatoria spp. | Staurastrum spp. | Raphidiopsis sp., <br> Fragilaria sp., <br> Peridinium spp. | Anabaena spp. | Fragilaria sp. |
| 4 | Cosmarium spp. | Botryococcus sp. | Cosmarium spp., Staurastrum spp., <br> Gloeocapsa sp., Chroococcus spp. | Raphidiopsis sp., <br> Gloeocapsa sp. | Microcystis spp. <br> Oscillatoria spp., <br> Botryococcus sp. | Staurastrum spp., <br> Ankistrodesmus spp. |
| 5 | Raphidiopsis sp., <br> Oscillatoria spp., <br> Anabaena spp., <br> Chroococcus sp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp . | Cylindrospermopsis sp., <br> Oscillatoria spp., <br> Dinobryon spp. | Oscillatoria spp. | Botryococcus sp. | Phormidium spp., <br> Fragilaria sp. |
| 6 | Cylindrospermopsis sp., <br> Peridinium spp., <br> Oscillatoria spp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp., | Cylindrospermopsis sp., <br> Phormidium spp., Chroococcus spp., <br> Cylindrospermum sp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp., | Peridinium spp., Oscillatoria spp. | Chlorella sp., <br> Gomphonema sp., <br> Trachelomonas spp. |

Table 8. Dominant phytoplankton genera in each location of arsenic contaminated waters at the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province, Thailand during January to June 2005.

| Location | Year 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January | Febuary | March | April | May | June |
| 1 | Oscillatoria spp. | Oscillatoria spp. | Cylindrospermum sp., Oscillatoria spp., Phormidium spp. | Oscillatoria spp., Phormidium spp. | Raphidiopsis sp., <br> Phormidium spp. | Oscillatoria spp. |
| 2 | Botryococcus sp. | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Cylindrospermopsis sp. | Botryococcus sp. | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Cylindrospermopsis sp . | Oscillatoria spp. | Dinobryon spp., Oscillatoria spp. |
| 3 | Dinobryon spp. | Oscillatoria spp. | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Botryococcus sp. | Raphidiopsis sp., Oscillatoria spp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp., Oscillatoria spp. | Peridinium spp., Oscillatoria spp. |
| 4 | Peridinium spp., <br> Cosmarium spp. | Botryococcus sp. | Ankistrodesmus spp., Oscillatoria spp. | Botryococcus sp. | Chroococcus sp., <br> Ankistrodesmus spp. | Oscillatoria spp., Anabaenopsis sp. |
| 5 | Dinobryon spp. | Dinobryon spp., Oscillatoria spp. | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Dinobryon spp., | Oscillatoria spp., Raphidiopsis sp., Dinobryon spp., | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Peridinium spp., | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Dinobryon spp., Raphidiopsis sp. |
| 6 | Cylindrospermopsis sp., Oscillatoria spp. | Oscillatoria spp. | Oscillatoria spp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp., <br> Cylindrospermum sp. | Oscillatoria spp., <br> Cylindrospermopsis sp. | Cylindrospermopsis sp. |
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Figure 27. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 1 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 28. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 2 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.

## Location 3

Cyanophytes were the most abundant group of phytoplankton in location 3 in August, October and March, whereas chlorophytes were the most abundant in January (Figure 29). Each sampling periods above had one dominant genera of phytoplankton with the highest peak observed in March when Oscillatoria spp. accounted for $88.91 \%$ of the total. Other genera dominated at other times. Thus, Raphidiopsis sp. was dominant in October and Microcystis spp. was dominant in August, whereas Dinobryon spp. was dominant in January. In addition, there was a noticeable decrease in the total cell densities of phytoplankton assemblages during the rainy period (November and December). In December, cell densities were at their lowest level when Fragilaria sp., which was dominant at $79.94 \%$ of the total.

## Location 4

Compared with the other sampling locations, location 4 had a dominant algal group that differed from the other locations. Generally, chlorophytes were the dominant algal group at all sampling periods, except for October and November (Figure 30). In October and November, cyanophytes were occasionally dominant and they alternated in dominance during the following months. The highest peak of phytoplankton abundance was in August, with Botryococcus sp. making up $79.82 \%$ of the total. A small peak of the same genus was found in Febuary, with $79.82 \%$ of the total. The high total abundance levels (above $1.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{L}$ ) only occurred in those periods. In December, again this had the lowest total population. At that time, the phytoplankton was dominated by Staurastrum spp., accounting for $40.12 \%$ of the total. In January pyrrophytes in the genus Peridinium spp. and chlorophytes in the genus Cosmarium spp. had small increases. In particular, the phytoplankton present during the remaining months in 2005 was frequently characterized by the presence of chlorophytes and cyanophytes such as Botryococcus sp., Ankistrodesmus spp. and Oscillatoria spp.


Figure 29. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 3 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.
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Figure 30. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 4 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.

## Location 5

During the early rainy period in location 5, except for February, cyanophytes play an important role in the phytoplankton population (Figure 31). In August Cylindrospermopsis sp. accounted for $90.90 \%$ of the total, whilst Oscillatoria spp. and Dinobryon spp. were dominant in October. Cell density declined distinctly during the rainy period (November and December) and then seemed to increased when the rain intensity decreased slightly in January. In those sampling periods, many different groups were found to be dominant such as chlorophytes in November (mainly Botryococcus sp.), cyanophytes in December (mainly Phormidium spp.) and chrysophytes in January (mainly Dinobryon spp.). In addition, Dinobryon spp. was also the dominant phytoplankton in February during the early part of the rainy period. During the dry period, in which there was a considerable increase in the total amount of arsenic, the cell density of phytoplankton increased compared to the previous months and cyanophytes were the dominant group. Generally, filamentous cyanobacteria and chrysophytes were the abundant organisms during dry periods such as Oscillatoria spp. and Dinobryon spp.

## Location 6

In location 6, cyanophytes were always the dominant group with filamentous cyanobacteria being the major genera (Figure 32). At the beginning of the sampling period, phytoplankton abundance steadily increased from July to August and then decreased immediately in September. However, phytoplankton abundance increased again slightly in October. The main genera found in those periods was Cylindrospermopsis sp. with the highest peak occurring with $94.98 \%$ of the total population. A later peak was found in May and the present study also shows filamentous cyanobacteria still being the dominant genera with Oscillatoria spp. and Cylindrospermopsis spp. constituting $46.10 \%$ and $44.43 \%$ of the total respectively. During November and December, the proportion of phytoplankton assemblages seemed to have changed considerably and the phytoplankton density also declined, compared with other months. Many other genera were encountered during November and December in small numbers such as
pyrrophytes, chlorophytes, cyanophytes and euglenophytes. In the genus Peridinium spp. a member of the pyrrophytes were dominant in November, accounting for $31.87 \%$ of the total, whilst chlorophytes in the genus chlorella sp. were dominant in December, accounting for $30.19 \%$ of the total.


Figure 31. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 5 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.


Figure 32. Changes in phytoplankton densities (a) and relative abundance (b) of phytoplankton assemblages in location 6 at the arsenic contaminated waters, during the period July 2004 to June 2005.

### 3.5 The classification of six water bodies based on phytoplankton communities

The analysis of the phytoplankton abundance by Unweighted Pair Group Method Algorithm (UPGMA), clustering, and application of percent similarity, failed to show any significant group with $50 \%$ of similarity (Figure 33). Cluster analysis of phytoplankton density averaged for each sampling location showed distinct cluster groupings, indicating dissimilar phytoplankton communities among the locations. The results demonstrated that location 2 was most similar to location 3 in phytoplankton communities at a $33.15 \%$ similarity level while those two locations were $28.08 \%$ similar to location 6. Location 6 was similar to location 2 at a level of $25.10 \%$. In addition, location 4 had a $23.21 \%$ similarity level to location 5 and those two locations were $15.95 \%$ similar to location 2 . Accordingly, all sampling locations showed dissimilarity levels and indicated that those locations were not grouped together. However, such dissimilarities could be categorized into two common groups; locations $1,2,3 \& 6$ (cluster I) and 4 and 5 (cluster II). This was believed to be the density level that identified two distinct categories. Cluster I is represented mainly by locations 1, 2, 3 and 6 . Seventysix genera were identified and they were composed of chlorophytes and bacillariophytes as characteristic groups. Specific genera were characterized by Anacystis sp., Euastrum spp. and Cymbella sp . The dominant phytoplankton in this cluster consisted of members of the Cylindrospermopsis sp. and Oscillatoria spp. A second cluster contained mainly samples from locations 4 and 5, characterized by chlorophytes. Specific genera in cluster II included Elakatothrix sp . and Netrium sp. This cluster showed a lower number of phytoplankton species with 65 genera.

Additionally, the cluster analysis of the mean environmental variables data set for all sampling locations gave the dendrogram as shown in figure 34. Cluster analysis of the environmental variables averaged for each sampling locations did not form distinct cluster groupings, indicating similar limnological behaviour among the locations (Figure 34). That is those locations clustered together will share characteristics, and the results exhibit some resemblance from this cluster being related to cluster grouping of phytoplankton abundance above. The first one includes the samples in locations $1,2,3$ and 6 , whereas the second belongs to the remaining samples from other locations (locations 4 and 5). Accordingly, locations 1, 2, 3 and 6 were quite similar to one another in environmental variables and as a result categorized in cluster I due to their adjacent similarity level. In addition, the outcome indicated that locations 4 and 5 were obviously the same and were grouped as
cluster II. The mean environmental variables from cluster I were as follows: $\mathrm{pH} 6.32 \pm 0.15, \mathrm{DO}$ $5.26 \pm 0.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, BOD $2.09 \pm 0.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, total arsenic $34.26 \pm 3.94 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, nitrate-nitrogen $0.06 \pm 0.01$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, dissolved phosphorus $0.03 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, conductivity $88.30 \pm 9.84 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{TSS} 8.31 \pm 0.63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, ammonia-nitrogen $0.02 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. In the meantime, the mean of environmental variables in cluster II is shown as follows: $\mathrm{pH} 6.43 \pm 0.26$, DO $5.90 \pm 0.27 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, BOD $1.96 \pm 0.30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, total arsenic $40.58 \pm 15.48 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, nitrate-nitrogen $0.05 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, dissolved phosphorus $0.02 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, conductivity $99.74 \pm 16.78 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$, TSS $37.87 \pm 22.93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, ammonia-nitrogen $0.02 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Of 11 environmental variables, TSS values differ considerably between cluster I and II.


Figure 33. Cluster of dissimilarity (Percent similarity) among phytoplankton samples averaged for each sampling locations obtained by UPGMA: Lo = location.


Figure 34. Cluster of dissimilarity (Percent similarity) among environmental variables averaged for each sampling locations obtained by UPGMA: Lo = location.

### 3.6 Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA)

Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) is used to produce bi-plots for sample scores and was performed on the selected environmental and phytoplankton species datasets. Eigenvalues of axes 1 and 2 were 0.61 and 0.54 , respectively. The CCA explained a small proportion of the variance in the genera data (Table 9). The first two dimensions of the CCA accounted for 29.29 $\%$ of the total variance of phytoplankton species and environmental data. The first axis accounted for $15.23 \%$ of the total variance and the second axis for $14.07 \%$ of the total variation in the data set. This low percentage is typical for noisy datasets containing many zero values. Specie and environmental correlations showed 0.88 and 0.83 explained by axes 1 and 2 , respectively. The outcome from CCA analysis showed that $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{BOD}$, total arsenic, nitrate-nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus, conductivity, TSS and ammonia-nitrogen were found to correlate with phytoplankton flora in arsenic contaminated waters (Figure 35).

In the CCA diagram of environmental variables, conductivity and BOD were strongly associated with Axis 1 (right hand side of ordination). pH , total arsenic, nitrate-nitrogen, TSS, and dissolved phosphorus were moderately associated. Ammonia-nitrogen was weakly associated. Dissolved oxygen had moderate negative associations with this axis. The variables with positive loading on Axis 2 (left hand side of ordination) were dissolved phosphorus (strongly associated), total arsenic (moderately associated), nitrate-nitrogen and ammonia-nitrogen (weakly associated). In addition, pH and conductivity had moderately negative associations and DO, BOD and TSS had weak negative associations with this axis (Table 10).

Many phytoplankton assemblages were reported to coincide with the following environmental variables as per below:

1. Group I consisting of cyanophytes (e.g. Raphidiopsi sp., Microcystis spp.), coincided with high dissolved phosphorus, total arsenic, ammonia-nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen and TSS.
2. Group II consisting of cyanophytes (e.g. Cylindrospermopsis sp., Cylindrospermum sp., Oscillatoria spp.) and Pyrrophytes (e.g. Peridinium spp.) associated with high conductivity, BOD and pH .
3. Group III was situated at the higher part of the centre on the left hand side, characterized by lower conductivity and more acid. This group consisted of chrysophytes (i.e. Dinobryon spp.).
4. Group IV consisted of chlorophytes (i.e. Botryococcus sp.). It seemed to prefer an environment with more dissolved oxygen.

Comparing phytoplankton genera with the spatial and temporal dynamics of phytoplankton communities, all groups seemed to indicate both spatial and temporal effects with those phytoplankton assemblages.

Table 9. Summary of the results from the CCA (Canonical Correspondence Analysis).
Eigenvalues, \% of variance explained and species environmental correlation.

|  | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 | Axis 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eigenvalues | 0.579 | 0.535 | 0.230 | 0.192 |
| Percentage | 15.225 | 14.069 | 6.060 | 5.036 |
| Cum. Percentage | 15.225 | 29.294 | 35.353 | 40.390 |
| Cum.Constr.Percentage | 36.156 | 69.568 | 83.959 | 95.919 |
| Spec.-env. correlations | 0.867 | 0.829 | 0.676 | 0.595 |

Table 10. Biplot scores for environmental variables.

|  | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 | Axis 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | 0.324 | -0.498 | 0.687 | -0.192 |
| DO | -0.401 | -0.228 | 0.005 | -0.342 |
| BOD | 0.671 | -0.024 | -0.122 | 0.443 |
| Arsenic | 0.268 | 0.470 | 0.096 | -0.482 |
| Nitrate | 0.386 | 0.116 | 0.076 | 0.004 |
| Phosphorus | 0.381 | 0.624 | 0.305 | -0.426 |
| Cond | 0.715 | -0.434 | -0.307 | 0.092 |
| TSS | 0.421 | 0.005 | 0.230 | 0.420 |
| Ammonia | 0.095 | 0.062 | -0.240 | 0.307 |



Axis 1
Vector scaling: 2.10

Figure 35. Ordination biplot of phytoplankton genera and environmental variables in the arsenic contaminated waters. The codes for the genera are shown in Table 11.

Table 11. Genera code of phytoplankton communities in arsenic contaminated waters at the Ron Phibun district of Nakhon Si Thammarat province.

## Taxa

## Division Cyanophyta

Cylindrospermopsis sp. Cyl
Cylindrospermum sp. Cym
Microcystis spp.
Mic
Oscillatoria spp.
Osc
Phormidium spp.
Pho
Raphidiopsis sp.
Rap

## Division Chlorophyta

Botryococcus sp.
Bot

## Division Pyrrophyta

Peridinium spp.
Per

## Division Chrysophyta

Dinobryon spp.
Din

