

CONTENT

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Content | viii |
| List of Tables | x |
| List of Figures | xiii |
| Chapter | |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Statement of the Problem | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 3 |
| 1.3 Significance of the Study | 4 |
| 1.4 Scope of the Study | 4 |
| 1.5 Definition of Key Terms | 6 |
| 2. Related Literature | 7 |
| 2.1 Sustainable Tourism | 8 |
| 2.2 Community and Tourism | 14 |
| 2.3 Participation | 25 |
| 2.4 Motivation | 32 |
| 2.5 Related Research | 36 |
| 3. Methodology | 40 |
| 3.1 Sample Selection | 40 |
| 3.2 Research Design | 42 |
| 3.3 Research Instrument | 42 |
| 3.4 Data Collection | 44 |
| 3.5 Data Analysis | 45 |
| 4. Result | 47 |
| 4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents | 47 |
| 4.2 Classified Group of Respondents | 51 |
| 4.3 Ecotourism and Sustainable Tourism Knowledge among Classified Groups of Respondents | 52 |
| 4.4 Interest Levels of Community Participation | 54 |
| 4.5 Important Levels of Community Motivation | 83 |
| 4.6 Recommendations from Respondents | 106 |

CONTENT (CONTINUED)

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 5. Conclusion and Suggestions | 114 |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 114 |
| 5.2 Discussion | 118 |
| 5.2 Suggestions | 131 |
| References | 134 |
| Appendix | 140 |
| A: Yao Noi Island's general information | 141 |
| B: House numbers of the selected dwellings | 145 |
| C: Questionnaire (Thai Version and Translated Version) | 146 |
| D: Principal Components Analysis and Example | 160 |
| Vitae | 162 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1 A Possible Allocation of Responsibility in Sustainable Tourism Development | 10 |
| 2.2 Benefits and Costs of Tourism | 11 |
| 2.3 Pretty's Typology of Participation | 28 |
| 2.4 Normative Typologies of Community Participation | 32 |
| 2.5 Benefits that Communities and Tourists obtained from CBST Activities | 35 |
| 3.1 Calculation of Sample Size in Each Village | 41 |
| 4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents | 49 |
| 4.2 Classification of Respondents | 52 |
| 4.3 Degree in Ecotourism and Sustainable Tourism among Classified Group of Respondents | 54 |
| 4.4 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among Classified Group of Respondents | 57 |
| 4.5 Demographic Characteristics of Significant Groups of Respondent | 58 |
| 4.6 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" between "Gender" of "Group 1" Respondents | 60 |
| 4.7 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" between "Gender" of "Group 2" Respondents | 62 |
| 4.8 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Age Groups" of "Group 1" Respondents | 64 |
| 4.9 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Age Groups" of "Group 2" Respondents | 66 |
| 4.10 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Education Levels" of "Group 1" Respondents | 68 |
| 4.11 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Education Levels" of "Group 2" Respondents | 70 |
| 4.12 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Monthly Income" of "Group 1" Respondents | 72 |
| 4.13 Statistical Comparisons of "Key Participative Indicators" among "Monthly Income" of "Group 2" Respondents | 74 |

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

| Table | Page |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4.14 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Participative Indicators” between “Social Roles” of “Group 1” Respondents | 76 |
| 4.15 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Participative Indicators” between “Social Roles” of “Group 2” Respondents | 78 |
| 4.16 Statistical Test of “Independency” between “Classified Groups of Respondents” and “Appropriate Time” Participated for Sustainable Tourism Development | 80 |
| 4.17 Statistical Test of “Independency” between “Demographic Characteristics” and “Appropriate Time” Participated for Sustainable Tourism Development | 81 |
| 4.18 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among “Classified Groups of Respondent” | 85 |
| 4.19 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” between “Gender” of “Group 1” Respondents | 87 |
| 4.20 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” between “Gender” of “Group 2” Respondents | 89 |
| 4.21 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Age Groups” of “Group 1” Respondents | 91 |
| 4.22 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Age Groups” of “Group 2” Respondents | 93 |
| 4.23 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Education Levels” of “Group 1” Respondents | 95 |
| 4.24 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Education Levels” of “Group 2” Respondents | 97 |
| 4.25 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Monthly Income” of “Group 1” Respondents | 99 |
| 4.26 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” among 3 “Monthly Income” of “Group 2” Respondents | 101 |
| 4.27 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” between “Social Role” of “Group 1” Respondents | 103 |

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

| Table | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4.28 Statistical Comparisons of “Key Motivational Indicators” between “Social Role” of “Group 2” Respondents | 105 |
| 4.29 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons of Recommendations in “Planning” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 107 |
| 4.30 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons of Recommendations in “Decision-making” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 108 |
| 4.31 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons of Recommendations in “Problem Solving” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 109 |
| 4.32 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons of Recommendations in “Implementation” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 110 |
| 4.33 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons of Recommendations in “Evaluation” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 111 |
| 4.34 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons among Recommendations in “Investment and Benefits Gaining” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 112 |
| 4.35 Displaying the Statistical Comparisons among Recommendations in “Reasons for Minor or no Participation” between “Group 1” and “Group 2” Respondents | 113 |
| A Population of Koh Yao Noi | 142 |
| B The House Number of the Selected Households | 145 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1 Conceptual Framework | 5 |
| 2.1 Major Components for a Community-Oriented Tourism Strategy | 15 |
| 2.2 Community-Based Tourism Planning Process Model | 18 |
| 2.3 Host Community controlled Community Based Sustainable Tourism (CBST) | 19 |
| 2.4 Normative Typologies of Community Participation | 30 |
| 5.1 The proposed Model of Community Participation in Tourism Plan for Sustainable Tourism Development at Koh Yao Noi | 125 |
| A Map of Yao Noi Island | 141 |