

CONTENTS

	Page
CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of chromium	1
1.3 Literature reviews	10
1.4 Objectives	14
2 EXPERIMENTAL	15
2.1 Chemicals and materials	15
2.2 Instruments and apparatus	16
2.3 Methodology	17
2.4 Chromium (VI) removal by using iron oxide-coated sand (IOCS)	21
2.5 Removal of chromium (VI) spiked in wastewater samples by IOCS	25
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	27
3.1 Optimization of graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometer (GFAAS)	27
3.1.1 Pyrolysis temperature	27
3.1.2 Atomization temperature	29
3.1.3 Detection limit	30
3.1.4 Linear dynamic range (Linearity)	32
3.1.5 Accuracy and precision	34

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
3.2 Chromium (VI) removal by using iron oxide-coated sand (IOCS)	35
3.2.1 Effect of sand size on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	35
3.2.2 Comparison between uncoated and FeCl ₃ coated sand	36
3.2.3 Effect of pH on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	37
3.2.4 Effect of concentration of FeCl ₃ on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	38
3.2.5 Effect of flow rate through IOCS on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	39
3.2.6 Effect of time for coating FeCl ₃ on sand on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	41
3.2.7 Effect of weight of sand on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	42
3.2.8 Effect of anion on removal Cr (VI) by IOCS	43
3.2.9 The comparison between the calibration and standard addition method for determination of Cr (VI) spiked in wastewater samples	45
3.2.10 Removal of Cr (VI) spiked in wastewater samples by IOCS	46
4 CONCLUSION	47
BIBLIOGRAPHY	48
APPENDIX	50
VITAE	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1-1	Chemical and physical property of chromium	1
1-2	Chromium concentration in industries effluent standards	5
1-3	Techniques for determination of chromium	6
2-1	Graphite furnace program	16
2-2	The pH of wastewater samples	25
3-1	The absorbance of the pyrolysis temperature at $30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ Cr (VI), 20 μL	28
3-2	The effect of the atomization temperature on the absorbance of 30 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ Cr (VI), 20 μL	29
3-3	The data of the blank measurements of Cr (VI), n = 10	31
3-4	The relationship between the peak area and the various Cr (VI) standard concentration ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	32
3-5	The percent recovery of Cr (VI) at concentration of $30.0 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	34
3-6	Summarises the percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at the difference sizes of sand	35
3-7	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by uncoated and FeCl_3 coated sand	36
3-8	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at different pHs	37
3-9	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at different concentrations of FeCl_3	38
3-10	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at various flow rates	40
3-11	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at various times for coating FeCl_3 on sand	41
3-12	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at various weights of sand	42
3-13	The percentage removal of 10 mg L^{-1} Cr (VI) by IOCS at various types of anion	44

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table		Page
3-14	The comparison of peak area between calibration and standard addition method for determination of Cr (VI) spiked in wastewater	45
3-15	The percentage of removal Cr (VI) in four Cr (VI) spike in wastewater samples	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1-1 pE-pH diagram Cr in aquatic systems	3
1-2 Possible forms of chromium (VI) in solution in the presence of iron particles. Cr (VI) can be in solution (soluble), Cr (VI) can stick (sorb) to the surface of iron oxide particles, or Cr (VI) can be held deep inside the iron in a form of “fixed” Cr	4
1-3 HGA and THGA graphite tubes with integrated L’vov platform for larger sample volumes	8
1-4 The transversely heated graphite tube provides a uniform temperature profile	9
3-1 The relationship between absorbance of $30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ standard working solution and the pyrolysis temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	28
3-2 The relationship between absorbance of $30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ standard working solution and the atomization temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	29
3-3 Peak shape of $30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ standard working solution at optimum temperature	30
3-4 The calibration curve of Cr (VI)	31
3-5 The linear dynamic range of Cr (VI) standard concentration at $1\text{-}300 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	33
3-6 The linear dynamic range of Cr (VI) standard concentration at $1\text{-}100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	33
3-7 The relationship between size of sand and the percentage of removal $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ by IOCS	35
3-8 The percentage removal of $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ by uncoated and FeCl_3 coated sand	36
3-9 The relationship between pH of solution and the percentage of removal $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ by IOCS	37
3-10 The relationship between concentration of FeCl_3 and the percentage of removal $10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}\text{Cr (VI)}$ by IOCS	39

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure		Page
3-11	The relationship between flow rate and the percentage of removal 10 mg L ⁻¹ Cr (VI) by IOCS	40
3-12	The relationship between time for coating FeCl ₃ on sand and the percentage of removal 10 mg L ⁻¹ Cr (VI) by IOCS	41
3-13	The relationship between weight of sand and the percentage of removal 10 mg L ⁻¹ Cr (VI) by IOCS	43
3-14	The relationship between type of anion and the percentage of removal 10 mg L ⁻¹ Cr (VI) by IOCS, 1 = NO ₃ ⁻ , 2 = SO ₄ ²⁻ , 3 = PO ₄ ³⁻	44
3-15	The comparison of calibration curve and standard addition for Cr (VI) determination in wastewater	45