

CONTENTS

	Page
Contents	x
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
Chapter	
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Rationale	1
1.2 Food-borne pathogen	4
1.3 Salmonella	6
1.4 Conventional determination methods	8
1.4.1 Dry weight method	8
1.4.2 Viable count method	8
1.4.3 Turbidity	9
1.4.4 Microscopy	9
1.4.5 Bacterial identification	10
1.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	10
1.6 Instrumental methods	11
1.6.1 Infrared (IR) spectroscopy	12
1.6.2 Bioluminescence	12
1.6.3 Flow cytometry	13
1.7 Biosensors	13
1.7.1 Biocatalytic biosensor	14
1.7.2 Affinity biosensor	15
1.7.3 Labeled immunosensors	16
1.7.4 Label-free immunosensor for Salmonella	17
1.7.5 Impedimetric Immunosensor	18
1.7.6 Immobilization	24
1.8 Objective of the research	25
1.9 Benefits	25
1.10 Outlines of the research	25
2. Research Methodology	26
2.1 Materials	
2.1.1 Biosensor	26
2.1.2 AOAC Official Method 967.25-967.27	27
2.2 Equipments	28
2.2.1 Biosensor	28
2.2.2 AOAC Official Method 967.25-967.27	28
2.3 Impedimetric immunosensor detection principle	28
2.4 Modified working electrode	31
2.4.1 Preparation of gold electrode	31
2.4.2 Immobilization	31
2.5 Microbiology method	33
2.5.1 Preparation of media	33

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
2.5.1.1 Tryptic Soy broth.....	33
2.5.1.2 Plate count agar.....	34
2.5.1.3 Buffered peptone water broth	34
2.5.1.4 Selenite cystine broth.....	34
2.5.1.5 Bismuth sulfite agar.....	34
2.5.1.6 Triple sugar iron slant.....	34
2.5.1.7 MacConkey agar.....	35
2.5.1.8 Urea broth.....	35
2.5.1.9 Phenol red lactose broth	35
2.5.1.10 Phenol red sucrose broth.....	35
2.5.1.11 Simmons citrate	36
2.5.1.12 Phenol red ducitol broth	36
2.5.1.13 Potassium cyanide broth.....	36
2.5.1.14 Kovacs' reagent	36
2.5.1.15 Malonate broth.....	37
2.5.1.16 MR-VP broth.....	37
2.5.2 Microbiology technique.....	37
2.5.2.1 Aseptic Technique	37
2.5.2.2 Streak plate	38
2.5.2.3 Pour plate.....	39
2.6 Bacteria and culture plating method	39
2.7 Impedimetric measurement	40
2.8 Optimization of operational conditions	42
2.8.1 Type of regeneration solution.....	43
2.8.2 Concentration of regeneration solution	44
2.8.3 Sample volume	45
2.8.4 Flow rate	45
2.8.5 Type of buffer.....	45
2.8.6 pH of buffer	45
2.8.7 Concentration of buffer.....	46
2.9 System performance	46
2.9.1 Limit of detection	47
2.9.2 Linearity.....	47
2.9.3 Stability of immobilized electrode.....	48
2.9.4 Selectivity	48
2.9.5 Activity of homogenized cell.....	49
2.10 Determination of Salmonella in juices	49
2.10.1 Impedimetric biosensor system	49
2.10.2 Impedimetric biosensor system using standard addition method	50
2.10.3 AOAC Official Method 967.26	50

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
3. Results and Discussion	52
3.1 Electrochemical performance of the immobilization process	52
3.2 Impedimetric measurement	53
3.3 Optimization of operational conditions	53
3.3.1 Type of regeneration solution	56
3.3.2 Concentration of regeneration solution	58
3.3.3 Sample volume	59
3.3.4 Flow rate	61
3.3.5 Type of buffer	62
3.3.6 pH of buffer	64
3.3.7 Concentration of buffer.....	65
3.4 System	67
3.4.1 Linearity.....	67
3.4.2 Limit of detection	69
3.4.3 Stability of immobilized electrode.....	71
3.4.4 Selectivity	73
3.4.5 Effect of homogenized cell.....	76
3.5 Matrix interference	78
3.6 Determination of Salmonella in beverages.....	89
3.6.1 Impedimetric biosensor system	90
3.6.2 AOAC Official Method 967.26	91
3.7 Recovery	93
4. Conclusions	99
References	
Vitae	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Limits for growth of salmonellae when temperature, pH, aw are near optimum	7
2 Starting operational conditions.	43
3 Optimized parameters and values of the operational conditions	46
4 Biochemical reactions of <i>Salmonella</i>	51
5 Response of the modified electrode to various concentration of <i>Salmonella</i> .	55
6 Percentage residual activity using different types of regeneration solutions	57
7 Percentage residual activity at different concentrations of NaOH regeneration solution	58
8 Response of the biosensor system at different sample volume	60
9 Response of the biosensor system at different flow rate	61
10 Response of the biosensor system for different type of buffer solution	63
11 Response of the biosensor system at different pH of sodium phosphate buffer saline	64
12 Response of the biosensor system at different concentrations of sodium phosphate buffer saline	66
13 Optimum operational conditions	67
14 Response of the biosensor system under the optimum conditions in the determination of linearity	68
15 Response of the biosensor system under the optimum conditions	70
16 Percentage residual activity of the modified electrode after regeneration	72
17 Response of impedimetric biosensor to <i>Salmonella</i> , <i>E.coli</i> and <i>Shigella sonnei</i> and sodium phosphate buffer saline (blank)	75
18 Response of whole cell and homogenized cell	77
19 Response of the mixed cell	77
20 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in drinking water (Commercial 1 and Commercial 2)	79
21 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in orange juice (Local 1)	80
22 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 1 st sampling)	81
23 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 2 nd sampling)	82
24 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 3 rd sampling)	83
25 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 4 th sampling)	84
26 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 3 and Commercial 4)	85
27 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 4; 1 st sampling)	86
28 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 4; 2 nd sampling)	87

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table	Page
29 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 4; 3 rd sampling)	88
30 Response of the modified electrode to various types of samples	89
31 Determination of <i>Salmonella</i> in sample using impedimetric biosensor system	90
32 The detection of <i>Salmonella</i> in the real samples using standard method compare with biosensor system	92
33 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in Water (Commercial 1) using impedimetric biosensor system.	93
34 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in Water (Commercial 2) using impedimetric biosensor system.	94
35 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Local 1) using impedimetric biosensor system.	94
36 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in coconut juice (Local 2; 1 st sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	94
37 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in coconut juice (Local 2; 2 nd sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	95
38 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in coconut juice (Local 2; 3 rd sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	95
39 Percentage Recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in coconut juice (Local 2; 4 th sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	95
40 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Commercial 3) using impedimetric biosensor system.	96
41 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Commercial 4; 1 st sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	96
42 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Commercial 4; 2 nd sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	96
43 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Commercial 4; 3 rd sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	97
44 Percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in orange juice (Commercial 4; 4 th sampling) using impedimetric biosensor system.	97
45 Summary of percentage recovery of <i>Salmonella</i> spiked in sample.	98
46 Summary of the optimum values in the flow injection impedimetric biosensor system.	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 The principle of biosensor (Nakamura and Karube, 2003)	14
2 Randles' equivalent circuit (Guan et al., 2004)	19
3 A simple electrified interface (Modified from Park and Yoo, 2003)	20
4 Nyquist plot arising from a Randles' circuit (Dijksma, 2001)	21
5 Bode plot, the plot between the log of impedance and phase angle against the log of frequency	23
6 The real-time monitoring of antigen and antibody binding	24
7 Schematic diagram showing reaction flow cell.	29
8 Schematic diagram showing the impedemetric immunosensor system.	30
9 Reaction mechanism of the immobilization steps of anti- <i>Salmonella</i> on a self-assemble thioctic acid monolayer (Modified from Limbut, 2006)	32
10 Schematic diagram showing the cyclic voltammetry system.	33
11 Steps in the aseptic transfer of bacteria	38
12 Steps in the streak plate technique	38
13 The steps of pour plate technique	39
14 Schematic diagram of the Bode plot	41
15 Schematic diagram showing the change in impedance ($\Delta Z''$) as a function of time caused by binding between antigen and antibody	42
16 Schematic diagram showing the change in impedance ($\Delta Z''$) as a function of time causes by binding between antigen and antibody with subsequent signal decrease due to dissociation under regeneration conditions.	44
17 Cyclic voltammograms of a gold electrode obtained in 5 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ containing 0.1 M KCl, (a) bare electrode, (b) thioctic acid covered gold, (c) anti- <i>Salmonella</i> modified thioctic acid couple gold, and (d) as in (c) but after 1-dodecanethiol treatment	52
18 A bode plot obtained from an anti- <i>Salmonella</i> modified electrode in the flow system between log impedance (+) and phase angle (\diamond) with log frequency to determine the optimum frequency	53
19 Response of the modified electrode to various concentration of <i>Salmonella</i>	56
20 Percentage residual activity using different types of regeneration solutions	57
21 Percentage residual activity at different concentrations of NaOH regeneration solution	59
22 Response of the biosensor system at different sample volume	60
23 Response of the biosensor system at different flow rate	62
24 Response of the biosensor system for different type of buffer solution	63
25 Response of the biosensor system at different pH of sodium phosphate buffer saline	65
26 Response of the biosensor system at different concentrations of sodium phosphate buffer saline	66
27 Response of the biosensor system under the optimum conditions in the determination of linearity	69
27 Response of the biosensor system under the optimum conditions	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
30 Cyclic voltammograms of a gold electrode obtained in a 5 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ containing 0.1 M KCl, (a) the electrode was blocked by 1-dodecanethiol before use and (b) after reused for more than 50 times.	73
31 Response of impedimetric biosensor to <i>Salmonella</i> , <i>E.coli</i> and <i>Shigella sonnei</i> and sodium phosphate buffer saline (blank)	76
32 Response of whole cell and homogenized cell	78
33 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in drinking water (Commercial 1 and Commercial 2)	79
34 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in orange juice (Local 1)	80
35 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 1 st sampling)	81
36 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 2 nd sampling)	82
37 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 3 rd sampling)	83
38 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in coconut juice (Local 2; 4 th sampling)	84
39 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 3 and Commercial 4)	85
40 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice from (Commercial 4; 1 st sampling)	86
41 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 4; 2 nd sampling)	87
42 Effect of matrix on the response of <i>Salmonella</i> in Orange juice (Commercial 4; 3 rd sampling)	88