

## CONTENT

	<b>Page</b>
Content	vi
List of tables	vii
List of illustrations	viii
1. Introduction	1
Review of literature	5
Objective	14
2. Methodology	15
3. Result	24
4. Discussion	40
References	48
Appendix	62
Vitae	64

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. List of algal species and their abundance in each experiment between dry and rainy seasons. Ch: Chlorophyta; Ph: Phaeophyta; Rh: Rhodophyta. C: Common occurrence more than 10% in percent cover at least 1 sample; R: Rare occurrence less than 10%; -: No occurrence.	30
2. Effects of herbivory and season of clearing on recolonization of total algae in each season.	31
3. Effects of herbivory and season of clearing on recolonization of 4 dominant algae, <i>Cladophora prolifera</i> , <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> , <i>Dictyosphaeridia</i> stage of <i>Padina</i> and <i>Polysiphonia sphaerocarpa</i> .	32
4. Effects of different shore levels and two seasons on sediment.	38

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Illustration	Page
1. Map of sampling site at Koh Pling, Phuket Province, Thailand.	16
2. Homogeneity substrate at the intertidal shore	17
3. Many herbivorous fishes are at the study site when the tide comes in	17
4. Flow chart of the experimental design	21
5. Cage was used to exclude herbivores.	22
6. The percent cover of algal species in unmanipulated plots without cage for 18 months. Data shown are from plots in the dry season (January 2004). data are mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates.	25
7. Percent cover of algal species in unmanipulated plots without cage for 12 months. Data shown are from plots in the rainy season (July 2004). Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates.	25
8. Temporal patterns of species diversity of A) Dry and B) Rainy season	27
9. <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> was a dominant species in a manipulated plot and it colonized rapidly in this plot.	28
10. Cover of abundant algae in manipulated plots without cage for 18 months. Data shown are from plots cleared in the dry season (January 2004). Data are mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates.	29

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTINUED)

Illustration	Page
11. The cover of abundant algae in manipulated plots without caged for 12 months. Data shown are from plots cleared in the rainy season (July 2004). Data are mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates.	29
12. Seasonal changes of alga percent cover, <i>Cladophora prolifera</i> , <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> , <i>Dictyosphaeridia</i> stage of <i>Padina</i> and <i>Polysiphonia sphaerocarpa</i> in 20 $\times$ 20 cm of clearing. Data shown are from dry season (January 2004) plots. Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates for each experiment. Comparisons between cages were made using independent <i>t</i> -test: * 0.05 $\geq$ p >0.01; ** 0.01 $\geq$ p >0.001; *** p <0.001.	33
13. Seasonal changes of algal percent cover, <i>Cladophora prolifera</i> , <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> , <i>Dictyosphaeridia</i> stage of <i>Padina</i> and <i>Polysiphonia sphaerocarpa</i> in 20 $\times$ 20 cm of clearing. Data shown are from rainy season (July 2004) plots. Data are the mean $\pm$ SE of 5 replicates for each experiment. Comparisons between cages were made using independent <i>t</i> -test: * 0.05 $\geq$ p >0.01; ** 0.01 $\geq$ p >0.001; *** p <0.001.	34
14. Effects of clearing time on total algae and two dominant species, <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> and <i>Polysiphonia sphaerocarpa</i> , in manipulated plots without cage. Comparisons between clearing time were made using independent <i>t</i> -test: * 0.05 $\geq$ p >0.01; ** 0.01 $\geq$ p >0.001; *** p <0.001.	35

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTINUED)

Illustration	Page
15. Effects of clearing time on total algae and two dominant species, <i>Ulva paradoxa</i> and <i>Polysiphonia sphaerocarpa</i> in manipulated plots with cage.  Comparisons between clearing time were made using independent t-test: * $0.05 \geq p > 0.01$ ; ** $0.01 \geq p > 0.001$ ; *** $p < 0.001$ .	37
16. Total amount of sediment were trapped during the experiment.	39