

A Comparative Study of Using Discourse Connectors in Thai and English College Students' Academic Compositions

Pansa Prommas

Prince of Songkla University, Trang
2014
Copyright of Prince of Songkla University

Research Title A Comparative Study of Using Discourse Connectors in Thai

and English College Students' Academic Compositions

Author Miss Pansa Prommas

Department Languages

Academic Year 2014

ABSTRACT

The aim of this comparative study was to investigate the use of discourse connectors (DCs) in non-native speakers' writing corpus (NNSW) and native English speakers' writing corpus. Particular analysis was given to (1) the frequency of DC occurrences, (2) most frequent types of individual DCs found in the two corpora, (3) similarities and dissimilarities in the DC use in terms of semantic functions and syntactic distribution, and (4) grammatical problems when using DCs in academic writing. 40 argumentative compositions were examined in this study. The NNSW corpus was collected from second- and third-year English major students from Prince of Songkla University, Trang Campus; Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya, Trang Campus; Songkhla Rajabhat University; Thaksin University; Suratthani Rajabhat University, whereas the NSW corpus was retrieved from the Louvain Corpus of Native English Essays (LOCNESS). Following the taxonomy adopted from Halliday and Hasan (1976), Biber et al. (1999), and Cowan (2008), 140 DCs were classified into eight semantic categories. Findings revealed that the frequency of DC occurrences in the NNSW corpus was significantly higher than that in the NSW corpus. However, the non-native speakers employed a narrow range of individual DC types. Some DCs (i.e., and, but, because) were predominantly preferable by the two groups. Regarding DC semantic functions, both non- and native speakers used and to denote additive, causal, temporal senses. The adversative sense of and appeared only in the NSW, never in the NNSW. Like the native speakers, but was used by the non-native speakers to mark contrastive facts, contrastive stances, concession, and addition. Because was also used to mark a cause-effect and a reason.

In terms of syntactic distribution, but as well as and were restrictedly used by the nonnative speakers interclausally as coordinators, rather than clause-initially as
conjunctive adverbials. Other DCs which can be syntactically distributable in clauseinitial, medial, and final positions (e.g., moreover, furthermore, for example,
therefore) were used by the non-native speakers as conjunctive adverbials solely in
the clause-initial position. Although both groups used these DCs in similar functions
in different syntactic positions, findings suggested that the non-native speakers still
had problems in areas of superfluous use of and, fragmentization with some DCs,
inappropriate punctuation, and writing choppy sentences. These can be owing to the
influence of their L1 language, more familiarity with colloquial language, and
limitation of DC prior knowledge.