## CONTENTS


| | Page |
|---|---|
| Contents | (vi) |
| List of Tables | (vii) |
| List of Figures | (viii) |
| Chapter | |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Review of Literatures | 1 |
| 1.2 Objective | 24 |
| 2 Research Methodology | 25 |
| 2.1 Material | 25 |
| 2.2 Equipment | 26 |
| 2.3 Method | 29 |
| 3 Results | 51 |
| 3.1 Elevation determined by altimeter | 51 |
| 3.2 Density of rock samples | 51 |
| 3.3 Bouguer anomaly map of study area | 55 |
| 3.4 Subsurface geological structures | 59 |
| 4 Conclusions and Discussion | 82 |
| Bibliography | 84 |
| Appendix | 91 |
| A Observed gravity data in the study area | 92 |
| B Density of rock sample | 102 |
| C The parameters for gravity models | 104 |
| D Observed resistivity data | 123 |
| E Chemical analyses of water from thermal springs in Surat Thani Province | 124 |
| F Publication | 125 |
| Vitae | 139 |

## LIST OF TABLE


| Table | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | Lithostratigraphic classifications of rocks in the Surat Thani. | 8 |
| 2.1 | Sample of recording data for terrain corrections in B to E zone, ALT., DIF., and COR. denoted the altitude, altitude difference, and correction respectively | 33 |
| 2.2 | Details of zone B, C, D, and E of Hammer chart for terrain correction | 33 |
| 2.3 | A sample of gravity and elevation data recorded in the field | 34 |
| 2.4 | An example of Resistivity sounding data sheet | 37 |
| 2.5 | An example of temperature and drift correction for altimeter data | 41 |
| 2.6 | Conversion factors of LaCoste-Romberg gravimeter model G-565 | 42 |
| 2.7 | An example of drift correction and absolute gravity calculation within a loop of gravity measurement | 44 |
| 2.8 | Terrain correction | 47 |
| 2.9 | Sample of recording table for terrain correction in F to J zone | 48 |
| 2.10 | Sample of Bouguer anomaly calculation | 50 |
| 3.1 | Average density of rocks in the study area | 53 |
| 3.2 | The present and previous study of density on rocks in southern Thailand | 54 |
| 3.3 | The interpreted resistivity model of sounding points of different groups | 74 |
| A.1 | Observed gravity data and correction in study area | 92 |
| B.1 | Density of rock sample in the study area | 102 |
| D.1 | Observed resistivity data, around the SR7 and SR8 hot springs, AB/2 and MN/2 in m, resistivity in ohm-m. | 123 |
| E.1 | Chemical analyses of water from thermal springs in Surat Thani Province, constituents in mg/l, TDS = Total dissolved solid, T°C geothermometer refer to the equation from Fournier (1981) (Chaturongkawanich, 2001). *Taken from Department of Health, 1998 | 124 |

## LIST OF FIGURE


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | Location of the study area. Available from: <http:// www.nectec.or.th /users/htk/graphic/1998.html>atlas571.jpg, [Accessed 6 July 2007]. | 4 |
| 1.2 | Location of the study area – regional overview. Available from: <http://www.thai-tour.com/thai-tour/South/> Suratthani/data/map.htm, [Accessed 9 July 2007]. | 5 |
| 1.3 | Location and topographical features of study area in Surat Thani Province. Available from: <http://www.dmr.go.th/eng/tgp/Project-HTMLs> /SRT-maps/Maps%20-%20Surat%20Thani%20-%20 City.htm, [Accessed 9 July 2007]. | 6 |
| 1.4 | The geological map of the study area in Surat Thani Province (Department of Mineral Resources, 2004) | 7 |
| 1.5 | The Indochina (I) and Shan Thai (ST) terrains including fold belts and major fault zones (Mantajit, 1997) | 8 |
| 1.6 | Distribution patterns of granites in Thailand (Putthapiban, 2002). The dash line denoted the fault zones. | 12 |
| 1.7 | Mineral resources map of Surat Thani Province. Available from: <http://www.dmr.go.th> [Accessed 5 September 2007]. | 13 |
| 1.8 | Plate tectonic history of Thailand, consisting of Shan-Thai (west) and Indochina (east) also with their associated mineral (Bunopas, 1981) | 15 |
| 1.9 | Tectonic map of S.E Asia and South Chaina showing main fault patterns and relative movement of crustal blocks in response to the collision of India with Asia. SFS (Sumatran Fault System); MFZ (Mergui Fault Zone); SFZ (Sagaing Fault Zone); RKFZ (Ranong and Klong Marui Fault Zone); TPFZ (Tree Pagodas Fault Zone); MPFZ (Mae Ping Fault Zone); UFZ (Uttaradit Fault Zone); NTFZ (Northern Thailand Fault Zone) and RRFZ (Red River Fault Zone). (Polachan and Sattayarak, 1989) | 16 |

## LIST OF FIGURE (CONTINUED)


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1.10 | Structural map of Gulf of Thailand, showing relationship between conjugate strike-slip faults and the development of N-S trending pull apart basins. 1. Sakhon; 2. Paknam; 3. Hua Hin; 4. N.Western; 5. Prachuap; 6. Western; 7. Kra; 8. Pattani; 9.Chumpon; 10. Nakhon; 11 Songkla and 12. Malay. (Polachan and Sattayarak, 1989) | 17 |
| 1.11 | Schematic geological cross section though the southern part of the study area (taken from Talong, et al., 2001). | 18 |
| 1.12 | Temperatures in the Earth. Available from: <http://www.ist.cmu.ac.th /riseat/teenet/sci/documents.php> [Accessed 12 July 2007] | 19 |
| 1.13 | World pattern of plates, oceanic ridges, oceanic trenches, subduction zones, and geothermal fields that currently generate electricity. Arrows show the direction of movement of the plates towards the subduction zones. 1) Geothermal fields under exploitation; 2) Fields not yet exploited; 3) Mid-oceanic ridges crossed by transform faults (long transversal fractures); 4) Subduction zones, where the subducting plate bends downwards and melts in the asthenosphere (Barbier, 2002) | 20 |
| 1.14 | The basic concept of plate tectonics. Plates of rigid lithosphere (which include the oceanic or the continental crust, and the uppermost mantle), 70-125 km thick, overlie a layer of relatively low strength called asthenosphere. Mantle material rises between diverging plate boundaries (oceanic ridges), and plate material descends into the mantle at converging plate boundaries (oceanic trenches) (Barbier, 2002). | 20 |
| 1.15 | A geothermal steam field with its elements: recharge area, impermeable cover, reservoir, and heat source (Barbier, 2002). | 21 |
| 1.16 | Hot springs in Thailand. Available from: <http://www.dmr.go.th /HOTSPRING> /LOCATION, [Accessed 11 October 2005] | 23 |

## LIST OF FIGURE (CONTINUED)


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 2.1 | LaCoste-Romberg gravity meter model G-565 | 26 |
| 2.2 | Trimble Basic Pathfinder GPS | 27 |
| 2.3 | American Paulin System altimeter model MDM-5 | 27 |
| 2.4 | ABEM TERRAMETER SAS 1000 | 28 |
| 2.5 | Location of gravity and elevation measuring stations | 31 |
| 2.6 | Locations of rock sample (solid rhombus) | 32 |
| 2.7 | Leap-frog Loops, * is Base station. | 32 |
| 2.8 | Schlumberger electrode configuration array | 35 |
| 2.9 | Locations of electrical resistivity soundings (solid triangle) | 36 |
| 2.10 | (a) The free-air correction for an observation at a height *h* above datum. (b) The Bouguer correction. The shaded region corresponds to a slab of rock of thickness *h* extending to infinity in both horizontal directions. (c) The terrain correction. Kearey, et al., 2002) | 45 |
| 2.11 | A typical graticule used in the calculation of terrain corrections. A series of such graticules with zones varying in radius from 2 m to 21.9 km is used with topographic maps of varying scale. (Kearey, et al., 2002) | 47 |
| 3.1 | The elevation contour maps, (a) data from barometric altimeter measurement, (b) data from topographical map, (a) terrain map of Surat Thani Province of which topography is less than 200 meter above mean sea level. | 52 |
| 3.2 | (a) Geological map of study area, (b) Locations of each type of rock samples | 53 |
| 3.3 | Density distributions of rock samples | 54 |
| 3.4 | Bouguer anomaly superimposed on the geological map of the study area with a contour interval of 50 g.u. | 56 |
| 3.5 | (a) Bouguer anomaly map of the study area with a contour interval of 50 g.u. (b) Geological map of the study area | 57 |

## LIST OF FIGURE (CONTINUED)


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 3.6 | Bouguer anomalies superimposed on the elevation map of the study area with a contour interval of 50 g.u. | 59 |
| 3.7 | The forming of rranitic plume when Shan-Thai terrance collided with Indochina terrance (taken from Moores and Fairbridge, 1997) | 60 |
| 3.8 | Locations of gravity profiles, vertical resistivity sounding points (triangle), and available groundwater wells (circle) on geological map of the study area. | 61 |
| 3.9 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile AA′ | 62 |
| 3.10 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile BB′ | 63 |
| 3.11 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile CC′ | 64 |
| 3.12 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile DD′ | 65 |
| 3.13 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile EE′ | 66 |
| 3.14 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile FF′ | 67 |
| 3.15 | (a) Observed and calculated Bouguer anomalies, (b) geological model obtained from gravity interpretation on profile GG′ | 68 |
| 3.16 | (a) Bouguer anomaly superimposed on geological map, (b) Locations of sounding points, groundwater wells, geoelectric profiles, and groups of sounding points (I, II, and III represent the west group, the central group, and the east group respectively) | 70 |
| 3.17 | The sounding curves of the west group; (a) S01, (b) S02, (c) S06, (d) S07, (e) S08, (f) S11, (g) S13, (h) S14, (i) S19, and (j) S20. | 71 |

## LIST OF FIGURE (CONTINUED)


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 3.18 | The sounding curves of the central group; (a) S03, (b) S04, (c) S12, (d) S15, (e) S16, (f) S21, and (g) S22. | 72 |
| 3.19 | The sounding curves of the east group; (a) S05, (b) S10, (c) S17, and (d) S18. | 73 |
| 3.20 | A comparison between resistivity model of sounding point S10 and geological log of groundwater well no. 6753 in the study area. | 75 |
| 3.21 | Contour maps of resistivity modeled at difference penetration depths around SR7 and SR8 hot-spring (a.1) 1.5 m., (a.2) 50 m., (a.3) 100 m., (a.4) 150 m., (a.5) 200 m., (a.6) 250 m. (b.1) Depth of Permian rock map with a contour interval of 150 m. (b.2) Bouguer anomaly map with a contour interval of 50 g.u.. ♈ denoted the hot-springs. | 77 |
| 3.22 | Bouguer anomaly and river courses superimposed on contour maps of modeled resistivity at difference penetration depths around SR7 and SR8 hot-spring. (a) 1.5 m., (b) 50 m., (c) 100 m., (d) 150 m., (e) 200 m., and (f) 250 m. ♈ denoted the hot-springs. The solid lines in black color and dash lines in red color are river and faults respectively. | 78 |
| 3.23 | Resistivity and gravity models along the profiles; (a) JJ', (b) KK', (c) LL', and (d) MM'. Values in ohm-m. ♈ denoted the hot springs. | 80 |
| 3.24 | The schematic cross-section of the study area along east-west profile. | 81 |