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## บทคัดย่อ

กำหนดให้ $S$ เป็นแกมมากึ่งกรุป และ $\alpha$ เป็นสมาชิกที่ถูกกำหนดใน $\Gamma$ นิยาม $a b=$ $a \alpha b$ สำหรับทุก $a, b \in S$ เห็นได้ชัดว่า $S$ เป็นกึ่งกรุป และเราแทนกึ่งกรุปนี้ด้วยสัญลักษณ์ $S_{\alpha}$ ความสัมพันธ์ของกรีน $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ และ $\mathcal{D}$ บนแกมมากึ่งกรุป $S$ ถูกนิยามโดย เอ็น เค ซาฮา ในปี ค.ศ. 1987 คลาส $\mathcal{L}$, คลาส $\mathcal{R}$, คลาส $\mathcal{H}$ และ คลาส $\mathcal{D}$ ที่บรรจุสมาชิก $a$ ของแกมมากึ่งกรุป $S$ ถูกแทนด้วยสัญลักษณ์ $L_{a}, R_{a}, H_{a}$ และ $D_{a}$ ตามลำดับ

เราศึกษาความสัมพันธ์ของกรีนสำหรับแกมมากึ่งกรุปและให้สมบัติบางประการที่น่าสนใจ ดังตัวอย่าง เราพิสูจน์ว่า ถ้า $a$ และ $b$ เป็นสมาชิกในแกมมากึ่งกรุป $S$ โดยที่ $a \mathcal{D} b$ แล้ว $\left|L_{a}\right|=\left|L_{b}\right|$, $\left|R_{a}\right|=\left|R_{b}\right|$ และ $\left|H_{a}\right|=\left|H_{b}\right|$ อีกทั้งเราพบว่า ถ้า $a$ เป็นสมาชิกในแกมมากึ่งกรุป $S$ และ $\alpha \in \Gamma$ แล้ว $H_{a} \alpha H_{a} \cap H_{a}=\emptyset$ หรือ $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$ ในกรณีที่ $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$ แล้ว $H_{a}$ เป็นกึ่งกรุปของ $S_{\alpha}$

ยิ่งไปกว่านั้นเราศึกษาสมภาคสำหรับแกมมากึ่งกรุปและสร้างความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างสมภาค และเซตผลหารของสมภาคเหล่านั้นบนความสัมพันธ์ของกรีน เรานิยามสมภาค $\rho_{r}$ และ $\rho_{l}$ บนแกมมากึ่งกรุป $S$ ดังนี้

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{r} & =\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a \gamma t=b \gamma t \text { สำหรับทุก } t \in S \text { และสำหรับทุก } \gamma \in \Gamma\}, \\
\rho_{l} & =\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid t \gamma a=t \gamma b \text { สำหรับทุก } t \in S \text { และสำหรับทุก } \gamma \in \Gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

ถ้า $S$ เป็นแกมมากึ่งกรุปปกติ เราพบว่า $\rho_{r}$ และ $\rho_{l}$ เป็นสมภาคขวาลดรูปและสมภาคซ้ายลดรูปที่เล็กที่สุด ตามลำดับ
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#### Abstract

Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\alpha$ a fixed element in $\Gamma$. Define $a b=a \alpha b$ for all $a, b \in S$. Then $S$ is a semigroup and we denote this semigroup by $S_{\alpha}$. Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on a $\Gamma$-semigroups $S$ were defined by N. K. Saha in the year 1987. The $\mathcal{L}$-class, $\mathcal{R}$-class, $\mathcal{H}$-class and $\mathcal{D}$-class containing the element $a$ of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ will be written as $L_{a}, R_{a}, H_{a}$ and $D_{a}$, respectively.

We study Green's relations for $\Gamma$-semigroups and give some interesting properties. For example, we prove that if $a$ and $b$ are elements in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{D} b$, then $\left|L_{a}\right|=\left|L_{b}\right|,\left|R_{a}\right|=\left|R_{b}\right|$ and $\left|H_{a}\right|=\left|H_{b}\right|$. We also observe that if $a$ is an element in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $H_{a} \alpha H_{a} \cap H_{a}=\emptyset$ or $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$. Moreover, if $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$, then $H_{a}$ is a subsemigroup of $S_{\alpha}$.

Furthermore, we study congruences for $\Gamma$-semigroups and give some connections between congruences and their quotient sets on Green's relations. We also define two congruences $\rho_{r}$ and $\rho_{l}$ on a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ as follows:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{r}=\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a \gamma t=b \gamma t \text { for all } t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} ; \\
& \rho_{l}=\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid t \gamma a=t \gamma b \text { for all } t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $S$ is a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup, we obtain that $\rho_{r}$ and $\rho_{l}$ are the minimum right and left reductive congruences on $S$, respectively.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of $\Gamma$-semigroups was introduced by M. K. Sen in the year 1981. Since $\Gamma$-semigroups generalize semigroups, many classical notions of semigroups have been extended to $\Gamma$-semigroups. For example, in the year 1987, N. K. Saha introduced Green's relations for $\Gamma$-semigroups analogous to Green's relations for semigroups. In fact, any semigroup $S$ can be considered to be a $\Gamma$ semigroup, by define $a \alpha b=a b$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. On the other hand, let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\alpha$ a fixed element in $\Gamma$. We define $a b=a \alpha b$ for all $a, b \in S$, then we can show that $S$ is a semigroup and we denote this semigroup by $S_{\alpha}$.

In this thesis, we study Green's relations and congruences for $\Gamma$ semigroups, and also give characterizations for reductive congruences and reductive $\Gamma$-semigroups. Moreover, we give some connections between Green's relations and simple $\Gamma$-semigroups.

### 1.1 Semigroups

We will use the notation and terminology of Howie (1976) to introduce the notion of semigroups.

Definition 1.1. Let $S$ be a nonempty set and $*$ a binary operation on $S$. Then $(S, *)$ is called a semigroup if $*$ is associative, i.e.,

$$
(a * b) * c=a *(b * c) \quad \text { for all } a, b, c \in S
$$

We give some examples of semigroups.

Example 1.1. $(\mathbb{N},+),(\mathbb{Z},+),(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \times)$ are semigroups where + is the usual addition and $\times$ is the usual multiplication.

Example 1.2. $(\mathbb{Z},-)$ is not a semigroup since for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c \neq 0$, we have

$$
a-(b-c)=a-b+c \neq a-b-c=(a-b)-c .
$$

Example 1.3. Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $T(X)$ the set of all mappings from $X$ into $X$. Define a composition of mappings in $T(X)$ by

$$
(x)(\alpha \circ \beta)=((x) \alpha) \beta \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

Thus for $\alpha, \beta \in T(X)$, we have $\alpha \circ \beta \in T_{x}$. Clearly,

$$
(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma=\alpha \circ(\beta \circ \gamma) \quad \text { for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in T(X)
$$

So o is associative. Hence $(T(X), \circ)$ is a semigroup. The semigroup $T(X)$ is called a full transformation semigroup on $X$.

Definition 1.2. Let $S$ be a semigroup. A nonempty subset $T$ of $S$ is called a subsemigroup of $S$ if it is closed under the binary operation of $S$, i.e. if

$$
a b \in T \quad \text { for all } a, b \in T
$$

Example 1.4. $(\mathbb{N},+)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, \times)$ where + is the usual addition and $\times$ is the usual multiplication.

Definition 1.3. Let $S$ be a semigroup. An element $a \in S$ is called regular if there exists $x \in S$ such that $a x a=a$. The semigroup $S$ is called regular if every element of $S$ is regular.

Example 1.5. Consider the semigroup $(T(X), \circ)$ in Example 1.3. To show that $(T(X), \circ)$ is a regular semigroup, let $\alpha \in T(X)$. Then for each $x \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha)$ there exists $a_{x} \in X$ such that $\left(a_{x}\right) \alpha=x$.

Define $\beta: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
(x) \beta=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a_{x} & \text { if } & x \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha), \\
x & \text { if } & x \notin \operatorname{ran}(\alpha) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Claim that $\alpha=\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha$. We must show that $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha)=\operatorname{dom}(\alpha \circ$ $\beta \circ \alpha)$ and $(a)(\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha)=(a) \alpha$ for all $a \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$. Obviously, dom $(\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$. Let $y \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$. We have $(y)(\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha)=(((y) \alpha) \beta) \alpha=\left(a_{(y) \alpha}\right) \alpha=(y) \alpha$, from which it follows that $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha)$ and $(y)(\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha)=(y) \alpha$ for all $y \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$. Hence $\alpha=\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha$, as claimed.

We have $(T(X), \circ)$ is a regular semigroup since for $\alpha \in T(X)$, there exists $\beta \in T(X)$ such that

$$
\alpha=\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha .
$$

Definition 1.4. Let $S$ be a semigroup and $a \in S$. An element $a^{\prime} \in S$ is called an inverse of $a$ if

$$
a=a a^{\prime} a \text { and } a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} a a^{\prime} .
$$

The set of all inverses of $a$ is denoted by $V(a)$.

Definition 1.5. Let $S$ be a semigroup. An element $e \in S$ is said to be an idempotent if $e^{2}=e$. The set of all idempotents is denoted by $E(S)$. A semigroup $S$ is called a band if $S=E(S)$.

Definition 1.6. Let $A$ be a nonempty set. A relation on $A$ we mean an arbitrary subset of $A \times A$.

Definition 1.7. Let $A$ be a nonempty set and $\rho$ a relation on $A$. Then $\rho$ is called reflexive if $(a, a) \in \rho$ for all $a \in A$; $\rho$ is called symmetric if for $a, b \in A,(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(b, a) \in \rho$; $\rho$ is called transitive if for $a, b, c \in A,(a, b) \in \rho$ and $(b, c) \in \rho \Rightarrow$ $(a, c) \in \rho$.

Definition 1.8. Let $A$ be a nonempty set. A relation $\rho$ on $A$ is called an equivalence relation on $A$ if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Example 1.6. Let $\rho$ be a relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow 4 \mid a-b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

We have $\rho$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ since
$\rho$ is reflexive: for $a \in \mathbb{Z}, 4 \mid a-a \Rightarrow(a, a) \in \rho$; $\rho$ is symmetric: for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4(-x)=b-a \quad \text { because }-x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid b-a \\
& \Rightarrow(b, a) \in \rho ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho$ is transitive: for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho \text { and }(b, c) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \text { and } 4 \mid b-c \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \text { and } 4 y=b-c \quad \text { for some } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x+4 y=(a-b)+(b-c) \\
& \Rightarrow 4(x+y)=a-c \quad \text { because } x+y \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-c \\
& \Rightarrow(a, c) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.9. The relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on a semigroup $S$ were introduced by J. A. Green (1951) as the following rules:
(i) $a \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if $S^{1} a=S^{1} b$, where $S^{1} a=S a \cup\{a\}$;
(ii) $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if $a S^{1}=b S^{1}$, where $a S^{1}=a S \cup\{a\}$;
(iii) $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$;
(iv) $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$.

We have the relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on a semigroup $S$ are equivalence relations. The equivalence relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are called Green's relations. An alternative characterization is given in the following remark:

Remark 1.1. Let $a, b$ be elements of a semigroup $S$. We have
(i) $a \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in S^{1}$ such that $x a=b$ and $y b=a ;$
(ii) $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in S^{1}$ such that $a x=b$ and $b y=a ;$
(iii) $a \mathcal{H} b$ if and only if $a \mathcal{L} b$ and $a \mathcal{R} b$;
(iv) $a \mathcal{D} b$ if and only if there exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$; where

$$
S^{1}= \begin{cases}S & \text { if } S \text { has an identity element } \\ S \cup\{1\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The following theorem shows that the relations $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ commute.

Theorem 1.1. $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$.

Again, we will use the notation and terminology of Howie (1976) to introduce a congruence for semigroups as follows:

Definition 1.10. Let $S$ be a semigroup. An equivalence relation $\rho$ on $S$ is called a right congruence on $S$ if

$$
(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a t, b t) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S,
$$

and a left congruence on $S$ if

$$
(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(t a, t b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S
$$

An equivalence relation $\rho$ on $S$ is called a congruence on $S$ if it is both a right and a left congruence on $S$.

Example 1.7. Let $\rho$ be an equivalence relation on a semigroup $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ defined by

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow 4 \mid a-b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

We have $\rho$ is a right congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=(a+t)-(b+t) \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid(a+t)-(b+t) \\
& \Rightarrow(a+t, b+t) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is a left congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is a congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.8. Let $\rho$ be an equivalence relation on a semigroup $(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ defined by

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow 4 \mid a-b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

We have $\rho$ is a right congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x t=(a-b) t \\
& \Rightarrow 4(x t)=a t-b t \quad \text { because } x t \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid a t-b t \\
& \Rightarrow(a t, b t) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is a left congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is a congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$.

In 1955, G. Thierrin introduced the notions of a reductive congruence and a reductive semigroup (Fattahi and Vishki, 2004: 262) as the following.

Definition 1.11. Let $S$ be a semigroup. A congruence $\rho$ is called right reductive on $S$ if

$$
(a t, b t) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S,
$$

and left reductive on $S$ if

$$
(t a, t b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S
$$

A congruence $\rho$ on $S$ is called reductive on $S$ if it is both right and left reductive on $S$.

Example 1.9. Consider the congruence $\rho$ on $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ in Example 1.7. We have $\rho$ is right reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+t, b+t) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid(a+t)-(b+t) \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=(a+t)-(b+t) \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is left reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.10. Consider the congruence $\rho$ on $(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ in Example 1.8. We have $\rho$ is not right and left reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.12. A semigroup $S$ is called right (resp. left) reductive if equality on $S$ is a right (resp. left) reductive congruence. In other words, $S$ is called right reductive if

$$
a t=b t \Rightarrow a=b \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S,
$$

and left reductive if

$$
t a=t b \Rightarrow a=b \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S
$$

A semigroup $S$ is called reductive if it is both right and left reductive.

Example 1.11. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{Z},+)$. We have $(\mathbb{Z},+)$ is a reductive
semigroup since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
a+t=b+t \Rightarrow a=b
$$

and

$$
t+a=t+b \Rightarrow a=b
$$

Example 1.12. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$. We have $(\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ is not a right and a left reductive semigroup.

Definition 1.13. Let $A$ be a set of all right (resp. left) reductive congruence on a semigroup $S$. A congruence $\rho$ on $S$ is called the minimum right (resp. left) reductive if $\rho \subseteq \rho^{\prime}$ for all $\rho^{\prime} \in A$.

## 1.2 $\Gamma$-semigroups

We first recall some definitions and examples from Sen and Saha (1986), and Saha (1987).

Definition 1.14. Let $S$ and $\Gamma$ be nonempty sets. Then $S$ is called a $\Gamma$-semigroup if it satisfies $a \alpha b \in S$ and $(a \alpha b) \beta c=a \alpha(b \beta c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

We give some examples of $\Gamma$-semigroups.

Example 1.13. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers and $\Gamma=\{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define $a \alpha b=a+\alpha+b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where + is the usual addition. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Example 1.14. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers and $\Gamma=\{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define $a \alpha b=a \times \alpha \times b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where $\times$ is the usual multiplication. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Example 1.15. Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of all real numbers and $\Gamma=\left\{\left.\frac{1}{n} \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Define $a \alpha b=a \times \alpha \times b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where $\times$ is the usual multiplication. We have $\mathbb{R}$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Example 1.16. Let $S$ be a set of all negative rational numbers and $\Gamma=\left\{\left.-\frac{1}{p} \right\rvert\, p\right.$ is prime $\}$. Define $a \alpha b=a \times \alpha \times b$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where $\times$ is the usual multiplication. We have $S$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Example 1.17. Let $S=\{4 z+3 \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\Gamma=\{4 n+1 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define $a \alpha b=a+\alpha+b$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where + is the usual addition. We have $S$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Example 1.18. For nonempty sets $X$ and $Y$, let $T(X, Y)$ denote the set of all mappings from $X$ to $Y$. Let $\Gamma$ be a nonempty subset of $T(Y, X)$. Define a mapping $T(X, Y) \times \Gamma \times T(X, Y) \rightarrow T(X, Y)$ by $\alpha \gamma \beta=\alpha \circ \gamma \circ \beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ where $\circ$ is the composition of functions. We have $T(X, Y)$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Definition 1.15. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. A nonempty subset $T$ of $S$ is said to be a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup of $S$ if $T \Gamma T \subseteq T$ where $T \Gamma T=\{a \alpha b \mid a, b \in T$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma\}$.

Example 1.19. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroups in Example 1.13. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all natural numbers. We have $\mathbb{N}$ is a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ since $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N} \Gamma \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1.20. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ in Example 1.17. Let $T=$ $\{4 n-1 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We have $T$ is a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup of $S$ since $T \subseteq S$ and $T \Gamma T \subseteq T$.

Definition 1.16. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. An element $a \in S$ is said to be regular if there exist $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$. The $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is said to be a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup if every element of $S$ is regular.

Example 1.21. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.13. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup since for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $\alpha=m$ and $\beta=n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $x=-m-n-a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \alpha x \beta a=a+m+x+n+a=$ $a+m+(-m-n-a)+n+a=a$.

Example 1.22. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ in Example 1.16. Without loss of generality, let $a=\frac{m}{n} \in S$ where $m>0$ and $n<0$.

$$
\text { If } m=1 \text {, then } a=\frac{1}{n} \text {. Now } \frac{1}{n}=\frac{1}{n} \times\left(-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right) \times \frac{n p_{1} p_{2}}{1} \times\left(-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \times \frac{1}{n}
$$ where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are prime. Thus taking $x=\frac{n p_{1} p_{2}}{1}, \alpha=-\frac{1}{p_{1}}$ and $\beta=-\frac{1}{p_{2}}$. Then $a=a \alpha x \beta a$.

If $m \neq 1$, then $m=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}$ where $p_{i}$ 's are prime. Now $\frac{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}}{n}=$ $\frac{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}}{n} \times\left(-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right) \times \frac{n}{p_{2} p_{3} \ldots p_{k-1}} \times\left(-\frac{1}{p_{k}}\right) \times \frac{p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}}{n}$. Thus taking $x=$ $\frac{n}{p_{2} p_{3} \ldots p_{k-1}}, \alpha=-\frac{1}{p_{1}}$ and $\beta=-\frac{1}{p_{k}}$. Then $a=a \alpha x \beta a$.

Hence $S$ is a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup.
Definition 1.17. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $a \in S$. Let $b \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. An element $b$ of $S$ is called an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of $a$ if $a=a \alpha b \beta a$ and $b=b \beta a \alpha b$.

Definition 1.18. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. An element $e \in S$ is said to be an $\alpha$-idempotent if $e \alpha e=e$. The set of all $\alpha$-idempotents is denoted by $E_{\alpha}(S)$. We denote $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E_{\alpha}(S)$ by $E(S)$. Any element of $E(S)$ is called an idempotent element of $S$. A $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is called an idempotent $\Gamma$-semigroup if $S=E(S)$.

Definition 1.19. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. A nonempty subset $A$ of $S$ is called a left ideal of $S$ if $S \Gamma A \subseteq A$, a right ideal of $S$ if $A \Gamma S \subseteq A$, and an ideal of $S$ if it is both a left and a right ideal of $S$.

Example 1.23. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroups $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.14. Let $A=\{0\} \subseteq$ $\mathbb{Z}$. We have $A$ is a left and a right ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ since $\mathbb{Z} \Gamma A \subseteq A$ and $A \Gamma \mathbb{Z} \subseteq A$, respectively. Therefore $A$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.20. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. A $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is called left simple if $S$ is the unique left ideal of $S$, right simple if $S$ is the unique right ideal of $S$, and simple if $S$ is the unique ideal of $S$.

Example 1.24. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroups $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.13. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is left and right simple since $\mathbb{Z}$ is the unique left and right ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively. Also $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ is simple since $\mathbb{Z}$ is the unique ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.25. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroups $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.14. By Example 1.23, we see that $\mathbb{Z}$ is not left and right simple. Also $\mathbb{Z}$ is not simple.

## CHAPTER 2

## Green's relations for $\Gamma$-semigroups

Green's relations for semigroups were introduced by J. A. Green in the year 1951. They are equivalence relations that characterize the element of a semigroup in term of the principal ideals they generate. They have played a fundamental role in the development of semigroup theory. In this chapter, we study Green's relations for $\Gamma$-semigroups and also investigate some interesting properties of these relations.

We demonstrate this chapter in four sections. In the first section, we introduce the notions of Green's relations for $\Gamma$-semigroups and present some results which will be used in the next sections. Next, we point out the structure of $\mathcal{D}$-classes and generalize Green's Theorem for semigroups to Green's Theorem for $\Gamma$-semigroups. In the third section, we focus regular $\mathcal{D}$-classes. In the last section, ideals of $\Gamma$-semigroups and simple $\Gamma$-semigroups are studied.

### 2.1 The equivalences $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$

Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ were defined by N. K. Saha (1987) as the following rules:
(i) $a \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$, where $S^{1} \Gamma a=S \Gamma a \cup\{a\}$;
(ii) $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if $a \Gamma S^{1}=b \Gamma S^{1}$, where $a \Gamma S^{1}=a \Gamma S \cup\{a\}$;
(iii) $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$;
(iv) $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L} o \mathcal{R}$.

An alternative characterization, making the aspect of these relations more explicit, is given in the following remark:

Remark 2.1. Let $a, b$ be elements of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. We have
(i) $a \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if $a=b$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=x \alpha b$ and $b=y \beta a$;
(ii) $a \mathcal{R} b$ if and only if $a=b$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=b \alpha x$ and $b=a \beta y$;
(iii) $a \mathcal{H} b$ if and only if $a \mathcal{L} b$ and $a \mathcal{R} b$;
(iv) $a \mathcal{D} b$ if and only if there exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$.

Another immediate properties of $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ are as the following:

Proposition 2.1. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. We have $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ are equivalence relations on $S$.

Proof. Let $a \in S$. Obviously, we have $(a, a) \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is reflexive.
Let $a, b \in S$. If $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$, then $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$. It is easy to see that $(b, a) \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric.

Let $a, b, c \in S$. If $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathcal{L}$, then $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$ and $S^{1} \Gamma b=S^{1} \Gamma c$. We have $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma c$. It follows that $(a, c) \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive.

Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is an equivalence relation on $S$. The relation $\mathcal{R}$ is proved in an analogous way.

Since the intersection of two equivalence relations is again an equivalence relation, $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation on $S$.

For the proof of $\mathcal{D}$, we must use the following theorem:
Theorem 2.2. $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$.
Proof. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Then there exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$.
Case 1. $a=c$. Then $a \mathcal{R} b$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $b \mathcal{L} b,(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$.
Case 2. $b=c$. Then $a \mathcal{L} b$. Since $a \mathcal{R} a$ and $a \mathcal{L} b,(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$.
Case 3. $a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$. Since $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$, there exist $x, y, u, v \in$ $S$ and $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \mu \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
x \alpha a=c, \quad y \beta c=a, \quad c \eta u=b, \quad b \mu v=c .
$$

Let $d=y \beta c \eta u$. Then

$$
a \eta u=y \beta c \eta u=d
$$

and

$$
d \mu v=y \beta c \eta u \mu v=y \beta b \mu v=y \beta c=a,
$$

from which it follows $a \mathcal{R} d$. Also,

$$
y \beta b=y \beta c \eta u=d
$$

and

$$
x \alpha d=x \alpha y \beta c \eta u=x \alpha a \eta u=c \eta u=b,
$$

so $d \mathcal{L} b$. We deduce that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$.
Similarly, we can prove that $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$.
Now we show that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation on $S$.
Let $a \in S$. Since $(a, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $(a, a) \in \mathcal{R},(a, a) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is reflexive

Let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. It follows that $a \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} b$. Then there exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$. We have $b \mathcal{R} c$ and $c \mathcal{L} a$. Thus $b \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} a$. Since $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}, b \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} a$. It follows that $(b, a) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is symmetric.

Let $a, b, c \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} x, x \mathcal{R} b, b \mathcal{L} y$ and $y \mathcal{R} c$. Since $x \mathcal{R} b$ and $b \mathcal{L} y, x \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} y$. Again from $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$, thus $x \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} y$. Then there exists $z \in S$ such that $x \mathcal{L} z$ and $z \mathcal{R} y$. Since $a \mathcal{L} x$ and $x \mathcal{L} z, a \mathcal{L} z$. Since $z \mathcal{R} y$ and $y \mathcal{R} c, z \mathcal{R} c$. since $a \mathcal{L} z$ and $z \mathcal{R} c, a \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} c$. It follows that $(a, c) \in \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is transitive.

Therefore $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence relation on $S$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $S$ be $a \Gamma$-semigroup. The following statements hold.
(i) $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.
(ii) The relation $\mathcal{L}$ is a right congruence on $S$ and the relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a left congruence on $S$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in S$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \mathcal{H} & \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \text { and }(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \\
& \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \\
& \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \mathcal{L} \text { and }(b, b) \in \mathcal{R} \\
& \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \mathcal{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.
We can see the proof of (ii) in chapter 3 .
The $\mathcal{L}$-class (resp. $\mathcal{R}$-class, $\mathcal{H}$-class, $\mathcal{D}$-class) containing the element $a$ of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ will be written as $L_{a}\left(\operatorname{resp} . R_{a}, H_{a}, D_{a}\right)$.

Proposition 2.4. If $a$ and $b$ are elements of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $L_{a} \cap R_{b} \neq$ $\emptyset$, then $L_{a} \cap R_{b}=H_{x}$ for all $x \in L_{a} \cap R_{b}$.

Proof. Let $x \in L_{a} \cap R_{b}$. Then $L_{x}=L_{a}$ and $R_{x}=R_{b}$. We have $L_{a} \cap R_{b}=L_{x} \cap R_{x}=$ $H_{x}$.

Lemma 2.5. If $a$ is a regular element of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$, then $S^{1} \Gamma a=S \Gamma a$, $a \Gamma S^{1}=a \Gamma S$ and $S^{1} \Gamma a \Gamma S^{1}=S \Gamma a \Gamma S$.

Proof. Let $a \in S$. Then $a=a \alpha x \beta a$ for some $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. We have

$$
S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma a \alpha x \beta a=\left(S^{1} \Gamma a \alpha x\right) \beta a \subseteq S \Gamma a \subseteq S^{1} \Gamma a,
$$

from which it follows $S^{1} \Gamma a=S \Gamma a$. Similarly, we have $a \Gamma S^{1}=a \Gamma S$. Thus

$$
S^{1} \Gamma a \Gamma S^{1}=\left(S^{1} \Gamma a\right) \Gamma S^{1}=S \Gamma a \Gamma S^{1}=S \Gamma\left(a \Gamma S^{1}\right)=S \Gamma(a \Gamma S)=S \Gamma a \Gamma S .
$$

The following theorem will be used variously in this chapter.

Theorem 2.6. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and e an $\alpha$-idempotent. Then
（i）a⿱亠乂e $=a$ for all $a \in L_{e}$ ；
（ii）eax $=a$ for all $a \in R_{e}$ ；
（iii）a $\alpha e=a=e \alpha a$ for all $a \in H_{e}$ ；
（iv）For all $a \in S,\left|H_{a} \cap E_{\alpha}(S)\right| \leq 1$ ．
Proof．（i）Let $a \in L_{e}$ ．Then $a \mathcal{L} e$ ．It follows that $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma e$ ．Then $a=e$ or there exist $x \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $a=x \gamma e$ ．If $a=e$ ，then $a \alpha e=e \alpha e=e=a$ ． If $a=x \gamma e$ ，then $a \alpha e=(x \gamma e) \alpha e=x \gamma(e \alpha e)=x \gamma e=a$ ．
（ii）It is similar to（i）．
（iii）It follows from（i）and（ii）．
（iv）Let $e, f \in H_{a} \cap E_{\alpha}$ ．Then $e \mathcal{H} f$ ．So $e \mathcal{L} f$ and $e \mathcal{R} f$ ．Then $f \in L_{e}$ and $e \in R_{f}$ ．By（i）and（ii），respectively，we have $f \alpha e=f$ and $f \alpha e=e$ ．Therefore $e=f$ ．It follows that $\left|H_{a} \cap E_{\alpha}(S)\right| \leq 1$.

Lemma 2．7．If $a$ and $x$ are elements of $a \Gamma$－semigroup $S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$ ，then $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma x \beta a$ and $a \Gamma S^{1}=a \alpha x \Gamma S^{1}$.

Proof．Since $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma a \alpha x \beta a=\left(S^{1} \Gamma a\right) \alpha x \beta a \subseteq S^{1} \Gamma x \beta a=\left(S^{1} \Gamma x\right) \beta a \subseteq S^{1} \Gamma a$ ， $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma x \beta a$ ．Similarly，$a \Gamma S^{1}=a \alpha x \Gamma S^{1}$.

Theorem 2．8．Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$－semigroup and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ．The following statements hold．
（i）If $a, x \in S$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$ ，then $a \mathcal{L} x \beta a$ and $a \mathcal{R} a \alpha x$ ．
（ii）For $a \in S$ ，if $a^{\prime}$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$－inverse of $a$ ，then $R_{a} \cap L_{a^{\prime}}$ and $R_{a^{\prime}} \cap L_{a}$ contain a $\beta$－idempotent $a \alpha a^{\prime}$ and an $\alpha$－idempotent $a^{\prime} \beta$ a，respectively．

Proof．（i）It follows from Lemma 2.7 and definitions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ on $S$ ．
（ii）Let $a \in S$ and $a^{\prime}$ be an $(\alpha, \beta)$－inverse of $a$ ．Then $a=a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a$ and $a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime}$ ．By $a=a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a$ and（i），we have $a^{\prime} \beta a \in L_{a}$ and $a \alpha a^{\prime} \in R_{a}$ ．By $a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime}$ and（i），we have $a \alpha a^{\prime} \in L_{a^{\prime}}$ and $a^{\prime} \beta a \in R_{a^{\prime}}$ ．Thus $a \alpha a^{\prime} \in R_{a} \cap L_{a^{\prime}}$ and $a^{\prime} \beta a \in R_{a^{\prime}} \cap L_{a}$ ．Since $a=a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a, a^{\prime} \beta a=a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a$ and $a \alpha a^{\prime}=a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime}$ ． Therefore $a^{\prime} \beta a$ is an $\alpha$－idempotent and $a \alpha a^{\prime}$ is a $\beta$－idempotent．

Immediately by Proposition 2．4，Theorem 2.6 （iv）and Theorem 2.8 （ii），we have the following theorem：

Theorem 2.9. Let $a$ be an element of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. If $b$ and $c$ are $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverses of $a$ such that $b \mathcal{H} c$, then $b=c$.

Proof. Let $a \in S$. Assume that $b$ and $c$ are $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverses of $a$. By Theorem 2.8 (ii), we have $a \alpha b \in R_{a} \cap L_{b}, b \beta a \in R_{b} \cap L_{a}$, $a \alpha c \in R_{a} \cap L_{c}$ and $c \beta a \in R_{c} \cap L_{a}$. Since $b \mathcal{H} c$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}, L_{b}=L_{c}$ and $R_{b}=R_{c}$. Thus

$$
a \alpha b, a \alpha c \in R_{a} \cap L_{b}, b \beta a, c \beta a \in R_{b} \cap L_{a} .
$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have $H_{a \alpha b}=H_{a \alpha c}$ and $H_{b \beta a}=H_{c \beta a}$. Since $a \alpha b, a \alpha c \in E_{\beta}$ and $b \beta a, c \beta a \in E_{\alpha}$, by Theorem 2.6 (iv), we have $a \alpha b=a \alpha c$ and $b \beta a=c \beta a$. It follows that

$$
b=b \beta a \alpha b=b \beta(a \alpha b)=b \beta(a \alpha c)=b \beta a \alpha c=(b \beta a) \alpha c=c \beta a \alpha c=c .
$$

Lemma 2.10. If $a$ is an element of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma e$ or $a \Gamma S^{1}=e \Gamma S^{1}$ for some $e \in E_{\alpha}(S)$, then $a$ is a regular element of $S$.

Proof. Suppose that $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma e$. Then $a=e$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\beta, \eta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=x \beta e, e=y \eta a$. If $a=e$, then $a=e=e \alpha e \alpha e$. If $a=x \beta e$ and $e=y \eta a$, then $a=x \beta e=(x \beta e) \alpha e=a \alpha y \eta a$. Thus $a$ is a regular element of $S$.

Similarly, if $a \Gamma S^{1}=e \Gamma S^{1}$, then $a$ is a regular element of $S$.
Theorem 2.11. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. If $e \in E_{\alpha}(S)$ and

$$
G_{e}=\{x \in S \mid x \alpha e=e \alpha x=x \text { and } x \alpha y=y \alpha x=e \text { for some } y \in S\},
$$

then $G_{e}$ is a subgroup of $S_{\alpha}$ where $e$ is an identity and

$$
G_{e}=\{x \in S \mid x \in e \alpha S \cap S \alpha e \text { and } e \in x \alpha S \cap S \alpha x\} .
$$

Proof. Obviously, $G_{e}$ is a subsemigroup of $S_{\alpha}$ where $e$ is an identity. We will show that $G_{e}$ is a subgroup of $S_{\alpha}$ where $e$ is an identity. Let $x \in G_{e}$. Then
$e \alpha x=x \alpha e=x$ and $x \alpha y=y \alpha x=e$ for some $y \in S$. Since eay $\alpha e \in S$,

$$
e \alpha(e \alpha y \alpha e)=(e \alpha y \alpha e) \alpha e=e \alpha y \alpha e
$$

and

$$
x \alpha(e \alpha y \alpha e)=e=(e \alpha y \alpha e) \alpha x .
$$

Thus eayae $\in G_{e}$. Therefore $G_{e}$ is a subgroup of $S_{\alpha}$ where $e$ is an identity.
Let $H=\{x \in S \mid x \in e \alpha S \cap S \alpha e$ and $e \in x \alpha S \cap S \alpha x\}$. We will show that $G_{e}=H$. Clearly, $G_{e} \subseteq H$. Let $x \in H$. By the definition of $H$, there exist $a, b, c, d \in S$ such that

$$
x=e \alpha a=b \alpha e \text { and } e=x \alpha c=d \alpha x .
$$

Then

$$
x=e \alpha a=e \alpha(e \alpha a)=e \alpha x
$$

and

$$
x=b \alpha e=(b \alpha e) \alpha e=x \alpha e .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \alpha(e \alpha c \alpha e)=(x \alpha e) \alpha c \alpha e=x \alpha c \alpha e=e \alpha e=e \\
& (e \alpha d \alpha e) \alpha x=e \alpha d \alpha(e \alpha x)=e \alpha d \alpha x=e \alpha e=e
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
e \alpha c \alpha e=e \alpha e \alpha c \alpha e=e \alpha(d \alpha x) \alpha c \alpha e=e \alpha d \alpha(x \alpha c) \alpha e=e \alpha d \alpha e \alpha e=e \alpha d \alpha e .
$$

We can conclude that

$$
e \alpha x=x \alpha e=x \text { and } x \alpha(e \alpha c \alpha e)=(e \alpha c \alpha e) \alpha x=e .
$$

Thus $x \in G_{e}$. Hence $H \subseteq G_{e}$. Therefore $G_{e}=H$, as desired.

Theorem 2.12. If $S$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $G_{e} \subseteq H_{e}$ for all $e \in E_{\alpha}(S)$.

Proof. Let $x \in G_{e}$. Then $x \alpha e=e \alpha x=x$ and $x \alpha y=y \alpha x=e$ for some $y \in S$. Since $x=x \alpha e$ and $e=y \alpha x, x \mathcal{L} e$. Since $x=e \alpha x$ and $e=x \alpha y, x \mathcal{R} e$. Thus $x \in L_{e} \cap R_{e}=H_{e}$. Hence $G_{e} \subseteq H_{e}$.

Theorem 2.13. Let $S$ be a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup and $a, b \in S$. The following statements are equivalent.
(i) $a \in S \Gamma b \Gamma S$.
(ii) There exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{R} c$ and $c \in S \Gamma b$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that $a \in S \Gamma b \Gamma S$. Then $a=x \alpha b \beta y$ for some $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since $S$ is regular, there exist $z \in S$ and $\eta, \mu \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \eta z \mu a$. Let $c=(a \eta z \mu x) \alpha b$. Thus $c \in S \Gamma b$. Since $c=a \eta(z \mu x \alpha b)$ and $a=a \eta z \mu a=a \eta z \mu(x \alpha b \beta y)=(a \eta z \mu x \alpha b) \beta y=c \beta y, a \mathcal{R} c$.
$($ ii $) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$. Assume that there exists $c \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{R} c$ and $c \in S \Gamma b$. Since $S$ is regular and $a \mathcal{R} c, a \in a \Gamma S=c \Gamma S \subseteq S \Gamma b \Gamma S$.

### 2.2 The structure of $\mathcal{D}$-classes

Each $\mathcal{D}$-class in a $\Gamma$-semigroup is a union of $\mathcal{L}$-classes and also a union of $\mathcal{R}$-classes. The intersection of $\mathcal{L}$-classes and $\mathcal{R}$-classes is empty or is an $\mathcal{H}$-class. In fact, by the definition of $\mathcal{D}$,

$$
a \mathcal{D} b \Leftrightarrow R_{a} \cap L_{b} \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow L_{a} \cap R_{b} \neq \emptyset .
$$

It is convenient to visualize a $\mathcal{D}$-class as what Clifford and Preston (Howie, 1972: 42) have called an egg-box in which each row represents an $\mathcal{R}$-class, each column an $\mathcal{L}$-class and each cell an $\mathcal{H}$-class. We can see that as follows:

| $a$ |  | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c$ |  |  |
|  |  | $d, e$ |

From this, we have $R_{a}=R_{b}, R_{d}=R_{e}, L_{a}=L_{c}, L_{b}=L_{d}=L_{e}, H_{d}=$ $H_{e}$ and $D_{a}=D_{b}=D_{c}=D_{d}=D_{e}$.

Proposition 2.14. Let $a$ be an element in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. We have $L_{a} \subseteq D_{a}$ and $R_{a} \subseteq D_{a}$.

Proof. It follows from the definition of Green's relation $\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. We have $L_{a} \cap R_{b} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $D_{a}=D_{b}$.

Proof. Suppose that $L_{a} \cap R_{b} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in L_{a} \cap R_{b}$. Then $x \in L_{a} \subseteq D_{a}$ and $x \in R_{b} \subseteq D_{b}$. Thus $D_{a} \cap D_{b} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $D_{a}=D_{b}$.

Conversely, suppose that $D_{a}=D_{b}$. We have $a \mathcal{D} b$. Since $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{L} o \mathcal{R}$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} x$ and $x \mathcal{R} b$. Hence $x \in L_{a} \cap R_{b}$.

The following lemma is similar to Green's Lemma for semigroups.
Lemma 2.16. (Green's Lemma for $\Gamma$-semigroups) Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in $a$ $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{R} b$. Then $a=b$ or there exist $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a \alpha s=b$ and $b \beta s^{\prime}=a$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { If } a=b \text {, define } \varphi: L_{a} \rightarrow S \text { and } \psi: L_{b} \rightarrow S \text { by } \\
\varphi=\psi=1_{L_{a}}=1_{L_{b}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1_{L_{a}}$ and $1_{L_{b}}$ are identity maps on $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a \neq b \text {, define } \varphi: L_{a} \rightarrow S \text { and } \psi: L_{b} \rightarrow S \text { by } \\
& \qquad(x) \varphi=x \alpha s \quad \text { if } \quad x \in L_{a},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(y) \psi=y \beta s^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \quad y \in L_{b} .
$$

We have the following statements hold.
(i) $\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi=L_{b}$ and $\left(L_{b}\right) \psi=L_{a}$.
(ii) $\varphi \psi=1_{L_{a}}$ and $\psi \varphi=1_{L_{b}}$.
(iii) If $x \in L_{a}$, then $((x) \varphi) \mathcal{R} x$ and if $y \in L_{b}$, then $((y) \psi) \mathcal{R} y$.

Proof. (i) If $a=b$, then obviously $\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi=L_{b}$.
Assume $a \neq b$. Let $x \in L_{a}$. Then $x \mathcal{L} a$. Thus xasL $a \alpha s$. So $(x) \varphi=x \alpha s \in L_{a \alpha s}=L_{b}$. Hence $\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi \subseteq L_{b}$. Next, let $y \in L_{b}$. We have $y \mathcal{L} b$. Then $y=b$ or there exist $t, t^{\prime} \in S$ and $\nu, \eta \in \Gamma$ such that $t \nu y=b$ and $t^{\prime} \eta b=y$. If $y=b$, then $y=b=a \alpha s=(a) \varphi \in\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi$. If $y \neq b$, we have $a=b \beta s^{\prime}=t \nu y \beta s^{\prime}=$ $t \nu t^{\prime} \eta b \beta s^{\prime}=t \nu t^{\prime} \eta a$. Then $a \mathcal{L} t^{\prime} \eta a$. Thus $y=t^{\prime} \eta b=t^{\prime} \eta a \alpha s=\left(t^{\prime} \eta a\right) \varphi \in\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi$. Hence $L_{b} \subseteq\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi$. Therefore $\left(L_{a}\right) \varphi=L_{b}$.

Similarly, $\left(L_{b}\right) \psi=L_{a}$.
(ii) If $a=b$, then obviously $\varphi \psi=1_{L_{a}}$.

Assume $a \neq b$. Let $x \in L_{a}$. Then $x=a$ or there exist $t \in S$ and $\nu \in \Gamma$ such that $x=t \nu a$. If $x=a$, then $(x) \varphi \psi=x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=a \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=b \beta s^{\prime}=a=x$. If $x=t \nu a$, then $(x) \varphi \psi=x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=t \nu a \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=t \nu b \beta s^{\prime}=t \nu a=x$. Therefore $\varphi \psi=1_{L_{a}}$.

Similarly, $\psi \varphi=1_{L_{b}}$.
(iii) Let $x \in L_{a}$.

Case 1. $a=b$. Then obviously $((x) \varphi) \mathcal{R} x$.
Case 2. $a \neq b$. Then $(x) \varphi=x \alpha s$ and $x=(x) \varphi \psi=((x) \varphi) \beta s^{\prime}$. We have $((x) \varphi) \mathcal{R} x$.

Similarly, if $y \in L_{b}$, we have $((y) \psi) \mathcal{R} y$.
The left-right dual of Lemma 2.16 is proved in an analogous way.
Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{D} b$. By Theorem 2.15, there exists $c \in L_{a} \cap R_{b}$. Thus $L_{a}=L_{c}$ and $R_{c}=R_{b}$. Since $c \mathcal{R} b$, by Lemma 2.16, we have $\left|L_{c}\right|=\left|L_{b}\right|$. Hence $\left|L_{a}\right|=\left|L_{b}\right|$. Similarly, if $a \mathcal{L} c$, by the dual of Lemma 2.16, we have $\left|R_{a}\right|=\left|R_{b}\right|$. We have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.17. If $a$ and $b$ are elements in $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{D} b$, then $\left|L_{a}\right|=\left|L_{b}\right|$ and $\left|R_{a}\right|=\left|R_{b}\right|$.

Lemma 2.18. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{R} b$. If $s \in S$ such that $a \alpha s=b$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $H_{x} \alpha s=H_{x \alpha s}$ for all $x \in L_{a}$.

Proof. Let $x \in L_{a}$. By Lemma 2.16 (i) and (iii), we have $L_{a} \alpha s=L_{b}$ and $x \mathcal{R}(x \alpha s)$. Thus $x \alpha s \in L_{b}$. Hence $L_{x \alpha s}=L_{b}$.

To prove $H_{x} \alpha s \subseteq H_{x \alpha s}$ ，let $y \in H_{x}$ ．Then $y \in L_{x}=L_{a}$ ．By Lemma 2.16 （iii），we have $y \mathcal{R}(y \alpha s)$ ．Thus $y \alpha s \in R_{y}=R_{x}=R_{x \alpha s}$ ．Hence $H_{x} \alpha s \subseteq R_{x \alpha s}$ ．Since $H_{x} \subseteq L_{x}=L_{a}, H_{x} \alpha s \subseteq L_{a} \alpha s=L_{b}=L_{x \alpha s}$ ．Therefore $H_{x} \alpha s \subseteq R_{x \alpha s} \cap L_{x \alpha s}=H_{x \alpha s}$ ，this imples $H_{x} \alpha s \subseteq H_{x \alpha s}$ ．

Conversely，let $z \in H_{x \alpha s}$ ．Then $z \in L_{x \alpha s}=L_{b}$ ．Since $L_{a} \alpha s=L_{b}$ ， there exists $w \in L_{a}$ such that $w \alpha s=z$ ．By Lemma 2.16 （iii），we have $w \mathcal{R}(w \alpha s)$ ． Then $w \mathcal{R} z$ ．We have $w \in R_{z}=R_{x \alpha s}$ ．Thus $w \in L_{a} \cap R_{x \alpha s}=L_{x} \cap R_{x}=H_{x}$ ． Hence $z=w \alpha s \in H_{x} \alpha s$ ．Therefore $H_{x \alpha s} \subseteq H_{x} \alpha s$ ．

We can conclude that $H_{x} \alpha s=H_{x \alpha s}$ for all $x \in L_{a}$ ．
The left－right dual of Lemma 2.18 is proved in an analogous way．
Theorem 2．19．Let $a$ and $c$ be elements in a $\Gamma$－semigroup $S$ such that $a \mathcal{D} c$ ．Let $b \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $b \mathcal{L} c$ ．Then $a=b$ or there exist $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that a⿱亠乂s $=b, b \beta s^{\prime}=a$ and $b=c$ or there exist $t, t^{\prime} \in S$ and $\nu, \eta \in \Gamma$ such that $t \nu b=c, t^{\prime} \eta c=b$ ．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a=b \text { and } b=c \text {, define } \varphi: H_{a} \rightarrow S \text { and } \psi: H_{c} \rightarrow S \text { by } \\
& \qquad \varphi=\psi=1_{H_{a}}=1_{H_{c}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1_{H_{a}}$ and $1_{H_{c}}$ are identity maps on $H_{a}$ and $H_{c}$ ，respectively．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a=b \text { and } b \neq c \text {, define } \varphi: H_{a} \rightarrow S \text { and } \psi: H_{c} \rightarrow S \text { by } \\
& \qquad(x) \varphi=t \nu x \quad \text { if } \quad x \in H_{a},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(y) \psi=t^{\prime} \eta y \quad \text { if } \quad y \in H_{c} .
$$

If $a \neq b$ and $b=c$ ，define $\varphi: H_{a} \rightarrow S$ and $\psi: H_{c} \rightarrow S$ by

$$
(x) \varphi=x \alpha s \quad \text { if } \quad x \in H_{a}
$$

and

$$
(y) \psi=y \beta s^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \quad y \in H_{c} .
$$

If $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$, define $\varphi: H_{a} \rightarrow S$ and $\psi: H_{c} \rightarrow S$ by

$$
(x) \varphi=t \nu x \alpha s \quad \text { if } \quad x \in H_{a},
$$

and

$$
(y) \psi=t^{\prime} \eta y \beta s^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \quad y \in H_{c} .
$$

We have the following statements hold.
(i) $\left(H_{a}\right) \varphi=H_{c}$ and $\left(H_{c}\right) \psi=H_{a}$.
(ii) $\varphi \psi=1_{H_{a}}$ and $\psi \varphi=1_{H_{c}}$.
(iii) $\left|H_{a}\right|=\left|H_{c}\right|$.

Proof. (i) and (ii). Let $x \in H_{a}$.
Case 1. $a=b$ and $b=c$. Then $(x) \varphi=x \in H_{a}=H_{b}=H_{c}$.
Case 2. $a=b$ and $t \nu b=c, t^{\prime} \eta c=b$. Then $(x) \varphi=t \nu x$ and $H_{a}=H_{b}$. Since $b \mathcal{L} c$ and $t \nu b=c$, by the dual of Lemma 2.18, $t \nu H_{b}=H_{c}$. Thus $(x) \varphi=t \nu x \in t \nu H_{a}=t \nu H_{b}=H_{c}$.

Case 3. $a \alpha s=b, b \beta s^{\prime}=a$ and $b=c$. Then $(x) \varphi=x \alpha s$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $a \alpha s=b$, by Lemma 2.18, $H_{a} \alpha s=H_{b}$. Thus $(x) \varphi=x \alpha s \in H_{a} \alpha s=H_{b}=H_{c}$.

Case 4. $a \alpha s=b, b \beta s^{\prime}=a$ and $t \nu b=c, t^{\prime} \eta c=b$. Then $(x) \varphi=$ $t \nu x \alpha s$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $a \alpha s=b$, by Lemma 2.18, $H_{a} \alpha s=H_{b}$. Since $b \mathcal{L} c$ and $t \nu b=c$, by the dual of Lemma 2.18, $t \nu H_{b}=H_{c}$. Thus $(x) \varphi=t \nu x \alpha s \in t \nu H_{a} \alpha s=$ $t \nu\left(H_{a} \alpha s\right)=t \nu H_{b}=H_{c}$.

Hence $\left(H_{a}\right) \varphi \subseteq H_{c}$. Similarly, $\left(H_{c}\right) \psi \subseteq H_{a}$.
Next, we show that $\varphi \psi=1_{H_{a}}$.
Case 1. $a=b$ and $b=c$. Then $(x) \varphi \psi=x$.
Case 2. $a=b$ and $t \nu b=c, t^{\prime} \eta c=b$. Since $x \in H_{a}, x \in R_{a}=R_{b}$. Since $b \mathcal{L} c$ and $x \in R_{b}$, by the dual of Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have $t^{\prime} \eta t \nu x=x$. Thus $(x) \varphi \psi=t^{\prime} \eta t \nu x=x$.

Case 3. $a \alpha s=b, b \beta s^{\prime}=a$ and $b=c$. Since $x \in H_{a}, x \in L_{a}$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $x \in L_{a}$, by Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have $x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=x$. Thus $(x) \varphi \psi=$ $x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=x$.

Case 4. $a \alpha s=b, b \beta s^{\prime}=a$ and $t \nu b=c, t^{\prime} \eta c=b$. Since $x \in H_{a}$, $x \in L_{a}$ and $x \in R_{a}$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b, x \in R_{a}=R_{b}$. Since $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $x \in L_{a}$, by Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have $x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=x$. Since $b \mathcal{L} c$ and $x \in R_{b}$, by the dual of Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have $t^{\prime} \eta t \nu x=x$. Thus $(x) \varphi \psi=t^{\prime} \eta t \nu x \alpha s \beta s^{\prime}=x$.

Hence $\varphi \psi=1_{H_{a}}$. Similarly, $\psi \varphi=1_{H_{c}}$.
We have $\varphi$ maps $H_{a}$ onto $H_{c}$ and $\psi$ maps $H_{c}$ onto $H_{a}$. Hence $\left(H_{a}\right) \varphi=H_{c}$ and $\left(H_{c}\right) \psi=H_{a}$.
(iii) By (ii), we have $\varphi$ is one to one. Thus $\left|H_{a}\right|=\left|\left(H_{a}\right) \varphi\right|$. By (i), we have $\left(H_{a}\right) \varphi=H_{c}$, so $\left|H_{a}\right|=\left|H_{c}\right|$.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.20. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements in $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Then $a \alpha b \in R_{a} \cap L_{b}$ if and only if $\left(R_{b} \cap L_{a}\right) \cap E_{\alpha}(S) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $\left(R_{b} \cap L_{a}\right) \cap E_{\alpha}(S) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$
a \alpha H_{b}=H_{a} \alpha b=H_{a} \alpha H_{b}=R_{a} \cap L_{b} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $a \alpha b \in R_{a} \cap L_{b}$. We have $a \mathcal{R}(a \alpha b)$. Then $a=a \alpha b$ or there exist $c \in S$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=(a \alpha b) \beta c$. If $a=a \alpha b$, we have $L_{a}=L_{a \alpha b}$ By Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \alpha b=x \quad \text { for all } x \in L_{a} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a \alpha b \mathcal{L} b, L_{a \alpha b}=L_{b}$. Thus $L_{a}=L_{a \alpha b}=L_{b}$. Since $b \in L_{b}=L_{a}$, by (2.1), we have $b \alpha b=b \in E_{\alpha}(S)$. Thus $b \in\left(R_{b} \cap L_{a}\right) \cap E_{\alpha}(S)$. If $a=(a \alpha b) \beta c$, By Lemma 2.16 (i), we have $L_{a \alpha b} \beta c=L_{a}$. By Lemma 2.16 (ii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \alpha b \beta c=x \quad \text { for all } x \in L_{a} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a \alpha b \in L_{b}, b \in L_{a \alpha b}$. Hence $b \beta c \in L_{a \alpha b} \beta c=L_{a}$. By (2.2), we have $(b \beta c) \alpha(b \beta c)=b \beta c \in E_{\alpha}(S)$. Since $b \in L_{a \alpha b}$, by Lemma 2.16 (iii), $(b \beta c) \mathcal{R} b$. We have $b \beta c \in R_{b}$. Thus $b \beta c \in\left(R_{b} \cap L_{a}\right) \cap E_{\alpha}(S)$.

Conversely, Let $e \in R_{b} \cap L_{a} \cap E_{\alpha}(S)$. Since $b \in R_{e}$, by Theorem
2.6 (ii), we have $e \alpha b=b$. Since $e \mathcal{R} b$ and $e \alpha b=b$, By Lemma 2.16 (i) and (iii), $L_{e} \alpha b=L_{b}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \alpha b) \mathcal{R} x \quad \text { for all } x \in L_{e} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e \in L_{a}, a \in L_{e}$. By (2.3), we have $a \alpha b \in R_{a}$. Since $L_{e} \alpha b=L_{b}$ and $a \in L_{e}$, $a \alpha b \in L_{b}$. Thus $a \alpha b \in R_{a} \cap L_{b}$.

Let $x \in H_{a}$ and $y \in H_{b}$. Then $L_{x}=L_{a}, R_{x}=R_{a}, L_{y}=L_{b}$ and $R_{y}=R_{b}$. We have that $e \in\left(R_{y} \cap L_{x}\right) \cap E_{\alpha}(S)$. By the converse of this theorem, we have $x \alpha y \in R_{x} \cap L_{y}=R_{a} \cap L_{b}$, that is, $H_{a} \alpha H_{b} \subseteq R_{a} \cap L_{b}$. Since $a \alpha b \in H_{a} \alpha H_{b} \subseteq R_{a} \cap L_{b}, a \mathcal{R}(a \alpha b)$ and $b \mathcal{L}(a \alpha b)$. Since $a \mathcal{R}(a \alpha b)$, by Lemma 2.18, $H_{a} \alpha b=H_{a \alpha b}$. Since $b \mathcal{L}(a \alpha b)$, by the dual of Lemma 2.18, $a \alpha H_{b}=H_{a \alpha b}$. Thus

$$
a \alpha H_{b} \subseteq H_{a} \alpha H_{b} \subseteq R_{a} \cap L_{b}=R_{a \alpha b} \cap L_{a \alpha b}=H_{a \alpha b}=a \alpha H_{b}
$$

and

$$
H_{a} \alpha b \subseteq H_{a} \alpha H_{b} \subseteq R_{a} \cap L_{b}=R_{a \alpha b} \cap L_{a \alpha b}=H_{a \alpha b}=H_{a} \alpha b .
$$

Hence $a \alpha H_{b}=H_{a} \alpha b=H_{a} \alpha H_{b}=H_{a \alpha b}=R_{a} \cap L_{b}$.
The following theorem is similar to Green's Theorem for semigroups.

Theorem 2.21. (Green's Theorem for $\Gamma$-semigroups) Let a be an element in a $\Gamma$ semigroup $S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then $H_{a} \alpha H_{a} \cap H_{a}=\emptyset$ or $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$. If $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=H_{a}$, then $H_{a}$ is a subsemigroup of $S_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Suppose that $H_{a} \alpha H_{a} \cap H_{a} \neq \emptyset$. There exists $x \in H_{a}$ such that $x=y \alpha z$ for some $y, z \in H_{a}$. Thus $x \in L_{a} \cap R_{a}$, by Theorem 2.20, we have $H_{a} \alpha H_{a}=L_{a} \cap R_{a}=$ $H_{a}$.

Applying Theorem 2.21, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2.22. Let e be an $\alpha$-idempotent of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ where $\alpha \in \Gamma$. If $H_{e} \alpha H_{e}=H_{e}$, then $H_{e}$ is a subsemigroup of $S_{\alpha}$.

For this example, we characterize the egg-box of some $\Gamma$-semigroups.

Example 2.1. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $T(X, Y)$ in Example 1.18. Let $X=$ $\{a, b\}, Y=\{x, y, z\}$ and $\Gamma=\{\theta\}$ where $\theta=\left(\begin{array}{lll}x & y & z \\ a & b & b\end{array}\right) \in T(Y, X)$.

The $\Gamma$-semigroup $(T(X, Y), \theta)$ has three $\mathcal{D}$-classes. The three $\mathcal{D}$-classes $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ can be enumerated in the egg box fashion as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
x & x
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
y & y
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
z & z
\end{array}\right) \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& D_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are regular but $D_{3}$ is not regular.

$$
\text { Consider } H=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
x & y
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
y & x
\end{array}\right)\right\} \text {. We have } H \theta H=H \text {. Then } H
$$ is a subsemigroup of $(T(X, Y))_{\theta}$.

Example 2.2. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $T(X, Y)$ in Example 1.18. Let $X=$ $\{a, b\}, Y=\{x, y\}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right\}$ where $\theta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y \\ a & b\end{array}\right) \in T(Y, X)$ and $\theta_{2}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y \\ a & a\end{array}\right) \in T(Y, X)$.

The $\Gamma$-semigroup $(T(X, Y), \theta)$ has two $\mathcal{D}$-classes. The two $\mathcal{D}$-classes $D_{1}$, and $D_{2}$ can be enumerated in the egg box fashion as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \begin{array}{|cc|}
\hline\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
x & x
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
y & y
\end{array}\right) \\
D_{2} & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
x & y
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
y & x
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are regular.

$$
\text { Consider } H=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
x & y
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
y & x
\end{array}\right)\right\} \text {. We have } H \theta_{1} H=H \text {. Then } H
$$ is a subsemigroup of $(T(X, Y))_{\theta_{1}}$. However, we have $H \theta_{2} H \cap H=\emptyset$.

### 2.3 Regular $\mathcal{D}$-classes

In the year 1936, the concept of regularity was introduced by Von Neumann in ring theory (Howie, 1972: 44). In this section, we consider some interesting properties in regular $\mathcal{D}$-classes.

Our first theorem, we have the regularity is a property of $\mathcal{D}$-classes rather than of element as the following theorem:

Theorem 2.23. If $a$ is a regular element of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$, then every element of $D_{a}$ is regular.

Proof. Since $a$ is regular, there exist $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$. Let $b \in D_{a}$. So $a \mathcal{D} b$. Then $a \mathcal{L} c$ and $c \mathcal{R} b$ for some $c \in S$. Since $a \mathcal{L} c, a=c$ or there exist $u, v \in S$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
u \gamma a=c \text { and } v \mu c=a .
$$

Since $c \mathcal{R} b, b=c$ or there exist $z, t \in S$ and $\eta, \theta \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
c \eta z=b \text { and } b \theta t=c .
$$

Case 1. $a=c$ and $c=b$. Then $a=b$, so $b$ is regular.
Case 2. $a=c$ and $c \eta z=b$ and $b \theta t=c$. Then

$$
b \theta(t \alpha x) \beta b=c \alpha x \beta c \eta z=a \alpha x \beta a \eta z=a \eta z=c \eta z=b .
$$

Case 3. $u \gamma a=c$ and $v \mu c=a$, and $b=c$. Then

$$
b \alpha(x \beta v) \mu b=c \alpha x \beta v \mu b=u \gamma a \alpha x \beta a=u \gamma a=c=b .
$$

Case 4. $u \gamma a=c$ and $v \mu c=a$, and $c \eta z=b$ and $b \theta t=c$. Then

$$
b \theta(t \alpha x \beta v) \mu b=c \alpha x \beta v \mu c \eta z=u \gamma a \alpha x \beta a \eta z=u \gamma a \eta z=c \eta z=b .
$$

Therefore $b$ is a regular element.

Let $D$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-class. Then either every element of $D$ is regular or no element of $D$ is regular. We call the $\mathcal{D}$-class regular if all its elements are regular.

Since idempotents are regular, a $\mathcal{D}$-class containing an idempotent is regular. Conversely, we can show that a regular $\mathcal{D}$-class must contain at least one idempotent as follows:

Theorem 2.24. In a regular $\mathcal{D}$-class, each $\mathcal{L}$-class and each $\mathcal{R}$-class contains at least one idempotent.

Proof. Let $a$ be an element of a regular $\mathcal{D}$-class $D$ in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Then there exist $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$. Then $x \beta a=x \beta(a \alpha x \beta a)=$ $(x \beta a) \alpha(x \beta a)$. Thus $x \beta a$ is an $\alpha$-idempotent. Since $a=a \alpha(x \beta a), a \mathcal{L} x \beta a$. Similarly, $a \alpha x$ is a $\beta$-idempotent and $a \mathcal{R} a \alpha x$.

Theorem 2.25. Let a be an element of a regular $\mathcal{D}$-class $D$ in a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Then
(i) If $a^{\prime}$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of $a$, then $a^{\prime} \in D$ and the two $\mathcal{H}$-classes $R_{a} \cap L_{a^{\prime}}$ and $L_{a} \cap R_{a^{\prime}}$, contain a $\beta$-idempotent a $\alpha a^{\prime}$ and an $\alpha$-idempotent $a^{\prime} \beta a$, respectively;
(ii) If $b \in D$ is such that $R_{a} \cap L_{b}$ and $L_{a} \cap R_{b}$ contain a $\beta$-idempotent $e$ and an $\alpha$-idempotent $f$, respectively, then $H_{b}$ contains an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse $a^{*}$ of $a$ such that $a \alpha a^{*}=e$ and $a^{*} \beta a=f$;
(iii) No $\mathcal{H}$-class contains more than one $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of a for all ordered pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$.

Proof. (i) Let $a^{\prime}$ be an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of $a$. Then $a=a \alpha a^{\prime} \beta a$ and $a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.8 (i), we have

$$
a \mathcal{L} a^{\prime} \beta a, \quad a \alpha a^{\prime} \mathcal{R} a, \quad a^{\prime} \mathcal{L} a \alpha a^{\prime}, \quad a^{\prime} \beta a \mathcal{R} a^{\prime} .
$$

Thus $a^{\prime} \mathcal{D} a$, from which it follows that $a^{\prime} \in D$. By Theorem 2.8 (ii), we have $R_{a} \cap L_{a^{\prime}}$ and $L_{a} \cap R_{a^{\prime}}$ contain a $\beta$-idempotent $a \alpha a^{\prime}$ and an $\alpha$-idempotent $a^{\prime} \beta a$, respectively.
(ii) Since $a \mathcal{R} e$, by Theorem 2.6 (ii), $e \beta a=a$. Similarly, from $a \mathcal{L} f$ we deduce that $a \alpha f=a$ by Theorem 2.6 (i). Again from $a \mathcal{R} e$ it follows that $a=e$ or there exist $x \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $a \gamma x=e$.

Case 1. $a=e$. Let $a^{*}=f \beta e$. Then

$$
a \alpha a^{*} \beta a=a \alpha(f \beta e) \beta a=(a \alpha f) \beta(e \beta a)=a \beta a=e \beta a=a
$$

and
$a^{*} \beta a \alpha a^{*}=(f \beta e) \beta a \alpha(f \beta e)=f \beta(e \beta a) \alpha f \beta e=f \beta(a \alpha f) \beta e=f \beta(a \beta e)=f \beta e=a^{*}$.

Then $a^{*}$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of $a$. Moreover

$$
a \alpha a^{*}=a \alpha f \beta e=a \beta e=e \beta e=e .
$$

Further, since $a \mathcal{L} f, a=f$ or $f=y \theta a$ for some $y \in S$ and $\theta \in \Gamma$. If $a=f$, then $a^{*} \beta a=f \beta e \beta a=e \beta e \beta e=e=f$. If $f=y \theta a$, then $a^{*} \beta a=f \beta e \beta a=y \theta a \beta e \beta e=$ $y \theta a=f$. It now follows easily that $a^{*} \in L_{e} \cap R_{f}=L_{b} \cap R_{b}=H_{b}$.

Case 2. $a \gamma x=e$. Let $a^{*}=f \gamma x \beta e$. Then

$$
a \alpha a^{*} \beta a=a \alpha(f \gamma x \beta e) \beta a=(a \alpha f) \gamma x \beta(e \beta a)=a \gamma x \beta a=e \beta a=a
$$

and
$a^{*} \beta a \alpha a^{*}=(f \gamma x \beta e) \beta a \alpha(f \gamma x \beta e)=f \gamma x \beta(e \beta a) \alpha f \gamma x \beta e=f \gamma x \beta(a \alpha f) \gamma x \beta e=$ $f \gamma x \beta(a \gamma x) \beta e=f \gamma x \beta e \beta e=f \gamma x \beta e=a^{*}$.

Then $a^{*}$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverse of $a$. Moreover

$$
a \alpha a^{*}=a \alpha f \gamma x \beta e=a \gamma x \beta e=e \beta e=e .
$$

Since $a \mathcal{L} f, a=f$ or there exist $y \in S$ and $\theta \in \Gamma$ such that $f=y \theta a$. If $a=f$, then $a^{*} \beta a=f \gamma x \beta e \beta a=a \gamma x \beta e \beta a=e \beta e \beta a=e \beta a=a=f$. If $f=y \theta a$, then $a^{*} \beta a=f \gamma x \beta e \beta a=y \theta(a \gamma x) \beta e \beta a=y \theta(e \beta e) \beta a=y \theta(e \beta a)=y \theta a=f$. It now follows easily that $a^{*} \in L_{e} \cap R_{f}=L_{b} \cap R_{b}=H_{b}$.
(iii) Suppose that $a^{\prime}$ and $a^{*}$ are both $(\alpha, \beta)$-inverses of $a$ inside the single $\mathcal{H}$-class $H_{b}$. Since $a \alpha a^{\prime}$ and $a \alpha a^{*}$ are $\beta$-idempotents in the $\mathcal{H}$-class $R_{a} \cap L_{b}$, $a \alpha a^{\prime}=a \alpha a^{*}$ by Theorem 2.6(iv). Similarly, $a^{\prime} \beta a=a^{*} \beta a$ because both are $\alpha-$ idempotents in the $\mathcal{H}$-class $L_{a} \cap R_{b}$. Then $a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \beta a \alpha a^{\prime}=a^{*} \beta a \alpha a^{*}=a^{*}$.

### 2.4 Ideals of $\Gamma$-semigroups and simple $\Gamma$-semigroups

In this section, we give characterizations for ideals and simple $\Gamma$ semigroups. Moreover, we consider some connections between Green's relations, ideals and simple $\Gamma$-semigroups.

Theorem 2.26. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $A$ a nonempty subset of $S$. The following statements hold.
(i) $S \Gamma A$ is a left ideal of $S$.
(ii) $A \Gamma S$ is a right ideal of $S$.
(iii) $S \Gamma A \Gamma S$ is an ideal of $S$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in S, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $y \in S \Gamma A$. Then $y=z \alpha a$ for some $z \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$. We have $x \gamma y=x \gamma(z \alpha a)=(x \gamma z) \alpha a \in S \Gamma A$. It follows that $S \Gamma(S \Gamma A) \subseteq$ $S \Gamma A$. Hence $S \Gamma A$ is a left ideal of $S$.
(ii) It is similar to (i).
(iii) Let $x \in S, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $y \in S \Gamma A \Gamma S$. Then $y=w \alpha a \beta z$ for some $w, z \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$. We have $x \gamma y=x \gamma(w \alpha a \beta z)=(x \gamma w) \alpha a \beta z \in$ $S \Gamma A \Gamma S$ and $y \gamma x=(w \alpha a \beta z) \gamma x=w \alpha a \beta(z \gamma x) \in S \Gamma A \Gamma S$. It follows that $S \Gamma(S \Gamma A \Gamma S)$ $\subseteq S \Gamma A \Gamma S$ and $(S \Gamma A \Gamma S) \Gamma S \subseteq S \Gamma A \Gamma S$. Therefore $S \Gamma A \Gamma S$ is an ideal of $S$.

Theorem 2.27. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. The following statements hold.
(i) A $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is left simple if and only if $S \Gamma x=S$ for all $x \in S$.
(ii) $A \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is right simple if and only if $x \Gamma S=S$ for all $x \in S$.
(iii) A $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is simple if and only if $S \Gamma x \Gamma S=S$ for all $x \in S$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $S$ is a left simple $\Gamma$-semigroup. Let $x \in S$. By Theorem 2.26 (i), we have $S \Gamma x$ is a left ideal of $S$. Since $S$ is left simple, $S \Gamma x=S$.

Conversely, assume that $S \Gamma x=S$ for all $x \in S$. Let $L$ be any left ideal of $S$. Then $L \subseteq S$. Let $y \in L$. We have $S=S \Gamma y \subseteq S \Gamma L \subseteq L$. Hence $L=S$. Therefore $S$ is a left simple $\Gamma$-semigroup.
(ii) It is similar to (i).
(iii) It is similar to (i).

Theorem 2.28. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. The following statements hold.
(i) $S$ is a left simple $\Gamma$-semigroup if and only if $\mathcal{L}=S \times S$.
(ii) $S$ is a right simple $\Gamma$-semigroup if and only if $\mathcal{R}=S \times S$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $S$ is left simple. Let $a, b \in S$. Similar to Theorem 2.26 (i), we can show that $S^{1} \Gamma a$ and $S^{1} \Gamma b$ are left ideals of $S$. Thus $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$ since $S$ is left simple. Hence $a \mathcal{L} b$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}=S \times S$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{L}=S \times S$. Let $A$ be any left ideal of $S$. Obviously, $A \subseteq S$. Let $x \in S$ and $a \in A$. Then $(x, a) \in S \times S=\mathcal{L}$. Thus $x \mathcal{L} a$. It follows that $S^{1} \Gamma x=S^{1} \Gamma a$. We have $x \in S^{1} \Gamma x=S^{1} \Gamma a \subseteq A$ since $a \in A$. Hence $S=A$. Therefore $S$ is a left simple $\Gamma$-semigroup.
(ii) It is similar to (i).

Theorem 2.29. If $S$ is a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup such that every left and right ideal of $S$ is an ideal of $S$, then $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Let $a \in S$. Then there exist $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=a \alpha x \beta a$. We have $S \Gamma a=S \Gamma a \alpha x \beta a=S \Gamma a \alpha(x \beta a) \subseteq S \Gamma a \Gamma S$ and $a \Gamma S=a \alpha x \beta a \Gamma S=$ $(a \alpha x) \beta a \Gamma S \subseteq S \Gamma a \Gamma S$. Since $S \Gamma a$ and $a \Gamma S$ are left ideal and right ideal of $S$, respectively, $S \Gamma a$ and $a \Gamma S$ are ideals of $S$. Thus $S \Gamma a \Gamma S \subseteq S \Gamma a$ and $S \Gamma a \Gamma S \subseteq a \Gamma S$. Hence $S \Gamma a=S \Gamma a \Gamma S=a \Gamma S$. Since $a$ is a regular element of $S$, by Lemma 2.5,
$S^{1} \Gamma a=S \Gamma a$ and $a \Gamma S^{1}=a \Gamma S$. Therefore $S^{1} \Gamma a=a \Gamma S^{1}$. We can conclude that $S^{1} \Gamma x=x \Gamma S^{1}$ for all $x \in S$. By definition of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$, we have $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{R}$.

## CHAPTER 3

## Congruences for $\Gamma$-semigroups

Congruences have been widely studied in semigroup theory. They have played an important role in the concept of reductive semigroups, introduced by G. Thierrin in the year 1955. Subsequently, regular reductive semigroups were studied by A. Fattahi and H. R. E. Vishki (2004).

In this chapter, we recall from Example 1.13 and Example 1.14 that $\mathbb{Z}$ under $\Gamma=\{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the usual addition and multiplication are $\Gamma$-semigroups, respectively. We separate into three sections. In the first section, we introduce the notion of congruences for $\Gamma$-semigroups. Next, we give a characterization for quotient $\Gamma$-semigroups and also we present some of its properties. In the last section, reductive $\Gamma$-semigroups are considered.

### 3.1 Congruences for $\Gamma$-semigroups

Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. An equivalence relation $\rho$ on $S$ is called a right congruence on $S$ if

$$
(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

and a left congruence on $S$ if

$$
(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(t \gamma a, t \gamma b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

An equivalence relation $\rho$ on $S$ is called a congruence on $S$ if it is both a right and left congruence on $S$.

We give some examples of congruences for $\Gamma$-semigroups.

Example 3.1. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.13. Let $\rho$ be an equiv-
alence relation on a $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow 4 \mid a-b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

We have that $\rho$ is a right congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=(a+\gamma+t)-(b+\gamma+t) \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid(a+\gamma+t)-(b+\gamma+t) \\
& \Rightarrow(a+\gamma+t, b+\gamma+t) \in \rho \\
& \Rightarrow(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is a left congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is a congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 3.2. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.14. Let $\rho$ be an equivalence relation on a $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow 4 \mid a-b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

We have that $\rho$ is a right congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b) \in \rho & \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x(\gamma t)=(a-b)(\gamma t) \\
& \Rightarrow 4(x \gamma t)=(a \gamma t-b \gamma t) \quad \text { because } x \gamma t \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid(a \gamma t-b \gamma t) \\
& \Rightarrow(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is a left congruence on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is a congruence
on $\mathbb{Z}$.
Now we are ready to show that the relation $\mathcal{L}$ is a right congruence on $S$.

Let $a, b, t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Assume that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$. We have $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$. Then $a=b$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=x \alpha b$ and $b=y \beta a$. If $a=b$, then $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$. We have $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \mathcal{L}$ since $\mathcal{L}$ is reflexive. If $a=x \alpha b$ and $b=y \beta a$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a=x \alpha b \text { and } b=y \beta a & \Rightarrow a \gamma t=(x \alpha b) \gamma t \text { and } b \gamma t=(y \beta a) \gamma t \\
& \Rightarrow a \gamma t=x \alpha(b \gamma t) \text { and } b \gamma t=y \beta(a \gamma t) \\
& \Rightarrow a \gamma t \in S \Gamma b \gamma t \text { and } b \gamma t \in S \Gamma a \gamma t \\
& \Rightarrow S \Gamma a \gamma t \subseteq S \Gamma b \gamma t \text { and } S \Gamma b \gamma t \subseteq S \Gamma a \gamma t \\
& \Rightarrow S \Gamma a \gamma t=S \Gamma b \gamma t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a \gamma t=(x \alpha b) \gamma t=(x \alpha y) \beta a \gamma t \in S \Gamma a \gamma t, S^{1} \Gamma a \gamma t=S \Gamma a \gamma t$. Similarly, $S^{1} \Gamma b \gamma t=$ $S \Gamma b \gamma t$. Thus $S^{1} \Gamma a \gamma t=S^{1} \Gamma b \gamma t$. Hence $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \mathcal{L}$.

Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is a right congruence on $S$.
A similarly argument shows that the relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a left congruence on $S$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\rho$ an equivalence relation on $S$. Then $\rho$ is a congruence on $S$ if and only if $a \rho b$ and $c \rho d \Leftrightarrow(a \gamma c) \rho(b \gamma d)$ for all $a, b, c, d \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Assume that $\rho$ is a congruences on $S$. Let $a, b, c, d \in S$ such that $a \rho b, c \rho d$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\rho$ is a right congruence on $S$ and $a \rho b,(a \gamma c) \rho(b \gamma c)$. Since $\rho$ is a left congruence on $S$ and $c \rho d,(b \gamma c) \rho(b \gamma d)$. We have $(a \gamma c) \rho(b \gamma d)$ since $\rho$ is transitive.

Conversely, assume that $a \rho b$ and $c \rho d \Leftrightarrow(a \gamma c) \rho(b \gamma d)$ for all $a, b, c, d \in$ $S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $x, y, z \in S$ such that $x \rho y$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Since $\rho$ is reflexive, $z \rho z$. By assumption, we have $(x \alpha z) \rho(y \alpha z)$ and $(z \alpha x) \rho(z \alpha y)$. Thus $\rho$ is a congruence on S .

### 3.2 Quotient $\Gamma$-semigroups

Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\rho$ a congruence on $S$. For $a \rho, b \rho \in S / \rho$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $(a \rho) \gamma(b \rho)=(a \gamma b) \rho$. This is well-defined, since for all $a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime} \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \rho=a^{\prime} \rho \text { and } b \rho=b^{\prime} \rho & \Rightarrow\left(a, a^{\prime}\right),\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \in \rho \\
& \Rightarrow\left(a \gamma b, a^{\prime} \gamma b\right),\left(a^{\prime} \gamma b, a^{\prime} \gamma b^{\prime}\right) \in \rho \\
& \Rightarrow\left(a \gamma b, a^{\prime} \gamma b^{\prime}\right) \in \rho \\
& \Rightarrow(a \gamma b) \rho=\left(a^{\prime} \gamma b^{\prime}\right) \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $a, b, c \in S$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$. We have
$(a \rho \gamma b \rho) \mu c \rho=((a \gamma b) \rho) \mu c \rho=((a \gamma b) \mu c) \rho=(a \gamma(b \mu c)) \rho=a \rho \gamma(b \mu c) \rho=a \rho \gamma(b \rho \mu c \rho)$.

Then the quotient set $S / \rho$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup. The $\Gamma$-semigroup $S / \rho$ is called a quotient $\Gamma$-semigroup of $S$ by $\rho$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\rho$ a congruence on $S$. Then
(i) If $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, then for all $a, b \in S, a \mathcal{L} b$ if and only if a $\rho \mathcal{L}$ b in $S / \rho$;
(ii) If $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, then for all $a, b \in S$, a $\mathcal{R} b$ if and only if $a \rho \mathcal{R}$ b $\rho$ in $S / \rho ;$
(iii) If $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, then for all $a, b \in S, a \mathcal{H} b$ if and only if $a \rho \mathcal{H} b \rho$ in $S / \rho$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{L} b$. Then $a=b$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a=x \alpha b$ and $b=y \beta a$.

Case 1. $a=b$. Then $a \rho=b \rho$.
Case 2. $a=x \alpha b$ and $b=y \beta a$. Then $a \rho=(x \alpha b) \rho=(x \rho) \alpha(b \rho)$ and $b \rho=(y \beta a) \rho=(y \rho) \beta(a \rho)$. Therefore $a \rho \mathcal{L} b \rho$.

Conversely, let $a, b \in S$. Assume that $a \rho \mathcal{L} b \rho$. Then $a \rho=b \rho$ or there exist $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that $a \rho=(x \rho) \alpha(b \rho)$ and $b \rho=(y \rho) \beta(a \rho)$.

Case 1. $a \rho=b \rho$. Then $(a, b) \in \rho$. Since $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L},(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$. So $a \mathcal{L} b$.

Case 2. $a \rho=(x \rho) \alpha(b \rho)$ and $b \rho=(y \rho) \beta(a \rho)$. Then $a \rho=(x \alpha b) \rho$ and $b \rho=(y \beta a) \rho$. Then $(a, x \alpha b) \in \rho$ and $(b, y \beta a) \in \rho$. Since $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L},(a, x \alpha b) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $(b, y \beta a) \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $a \in S^{1} \Gamma(x \alpha b)$ and $b \in S^{1} \Gamma(y \beta a)$. Thus $S^{1} \Gamma a=S^{1} \Gamma b$. Hence $a \mathcal{L} b$.
(ii) It is similar to (i).
(iii) It follows from (i) and (ii).

### 3.3 Reductive $\Gamma$-semigroups

In the year 1955, the notion of reductive semigroups was introduced by G. Thierrin. Subsequently, A. Fattahi and H. R. E. Vishki (2004) have given a characterization for regular reductive semigroups.

In this section, we introduced the notion of reductive $\Gamma$-semigroups and also present some connections between Green's relations and reductive $\Gamma$ semigroups.

Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. A congruence $\rho$ on $S$ is called right reductive on $S$ if

$$
(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

and left reductive on $S$ if

$$
(t \gamma a, t \gamma b) \in \rho \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

A congruence $\rho$ on $S$ is called reductive on $S$ if it is both a right and left reductive on $S$.

We give some examples of reductive congruences.
Example 3.3. Consider the congruence $\rho$ in Example 3.1. We have $\rho$ is right
reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$ since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho & \Rightarrow(a+\gamma+t, b+\gamma+t) \in \rho \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid(a+\gamma+t)-(b+\gamma+t) \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=(a+\gamma+t)-(b+\gamma+t) \quad \text { for some } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 x=a-b \\
& \Rightarrow 4 \mid a-b \\
& \Rightarrow(a, b) \in \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument shows that $\rho$ is left reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\rho$ is reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 3.4. Consider the congruence $\rho$ in Example 3.2. We have $\rho$ is not right and left reductive on $\mathbb{Z}$.

A $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is called right reductive if

$$
a \gamma t=b \gamma t \Rightarrow a=b \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

and left reductive if

$$
t \gamma a=t \gamma b \Rightarrow a=b \quad \text { for all } a, b, t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

A $\Gamma$-semigroup is called reductive if it is both right and left reductive.
We give some examples of reductive $\Gamma$-semigroups.
Example 3.5. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.13. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is a reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup since for $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
a+\gamma+t=b+\gamma+t \Rightarrow a=b,
$$

and

$$
t+\gamma+a=t+\gamma+b \Rightarrow a=b
$$

Example 3.6. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in Example 1.14. We have $\mathbb{Z}$ is not a right and a left reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Theorem 3.3. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup and $\rho$ a congruence on $S$. The following statements are true.
(i) $\rho$ is a right reductive congruence on $S$ if and only if $S / \rho$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup.
(ii) $\rho$ is a left reductive congruence on $S$ if and only if $S / \rho$ is a left reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup.
(iii) $\rho$ is a reductive congruence on $S$ if and only if $S / \rho$ is a reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup.

Proof. (i) Let $\rho$ be a right reductive congruence on $S$. Let $a \rho, b \rho \in S / \rho$ such that $(a \rho) \gamma(t \rho)=(b \rho) \gamma(t \rho)$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\rho$ is right reductive, $(a, b) \in \rho$. Hence $a \rho=b \rho$.

Conversely, suppose that $S / \rho$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $(a \gamma t) \rho=(b \gamma t) \rho$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus $(a \rho) \gamma(t \rho)=(b \rho) \gamma(t \rho)$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $S / \rho$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup, $a \rho=b \rho$. Therefore $(a, b) \in \rho$.
(ii) It is similar to (i).
(iii) It follows from (i) and (ii).

Proposition 3.4. Define the equivalence relations $\rho_{r}$ and $\rho_{l}$ on a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{r} & =\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid \text { a } t=b \gamma t \text { for all } t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} ; \\
\rho_{l} & =\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid t \gamma a=t \gamma b \text { for all } t \in S \text { and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\rho_{r}$ and $\rho_{l}$ are congruences on $S$.
Proof. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Then $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\rho_{r}$ is reflexive, $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho_{r}$. Thus $\rho_{r}$ is a right congruence on $S$.

Next, we show that $\rho_{r}$ is a left congruence on $S$. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Then $a \beta c=b \beta c$ for all $c \in S$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. We have
$t \gamma(a \beta c)=t \gamma(b \beta c)$ for all $c, t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It follows that $(t \gamma a) \beta c=(t \gamma b) \beta c$ for all $c \in S$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Thus $(t \gamma a, t \gamma b) \in \rho_{r}$. Hence $\rho_{r}$ is a left congruence on $S$.

A similar argument shows that $\rho_{l}$ is a left congruence on $S$.
The three following theorems hold.
Theorem 3.5. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. Then
(i) $S$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup if and only if $\rho_{r}=1_{S}$;
(ii) $S$ is a left reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup if and only if $\rho_{l}=1_{S}$.

Proof. (i) Assume $S$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a \rho_{r} b$. Then $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $S$ is right reductive, $a=b$.

Conversely, suppose $\rho_{r}=1_{S}$. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Since $\rho_{r}=1_{S}, a=b$. Hence $S$ is a right reductive $\Gamma$-semigroup.
(ii) It is similar to (i).

Theorem 3.6. Let $S$ be a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup. Then
(i) $\rho_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$;
(ii) $\rho_{l} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. (i) Let $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Then $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. So $a \Gamma S=b \Gamma S$. Since $a \in a \Gamma S$ and $b \in b \Gamma S$ because $S$ is regular, $a \Gamma S^{1}=b \Gamma S^{1}$. Therefore $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus $\rho_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.
(ii) It is similar to (i).

If $A$ is a set of all right (resp. left) reductive congruence on a $\Gamma$ semigroup $S$. A congruence $\rho$ on $S$ is called the minimum right (resp. left) reductive if $\rho \subseteq \rho^{\prime}$ for all $\rho^{\prime} \in A$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $S$ be a regular $\Gamma$-semigroup. Then
(i) $\rho_{r}$ is the minimum right reductive congruence on $S$;
(ii) $\rho_{l}$ is the minimum left reductive congruence on $S$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in S$. Assume that $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho_{r}$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $a \gamma t \beta t^{\prime}=b \gamma t \beta t^{\prime}$ for all $t, t^{\prime} \in S$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Thus $a \alpha t^{\prime \prime}=b \alpha t^{\prime \prime}$ for all $t^{\prime \prime} \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ because $S$ is regular. So $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Therefore $\rho_{r}$ is a right reductive congruence on $S$.

Next, let $\rho$ be any right reductive congruence on $S$. Let $(a, b) \in \rho_{r}$. Then $a \gamma t=b \gamma t$ for all $t \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\rho$ is reflexive, $(a \gamma t, b \gamma t) \in \rho$. Therefore $(a, b) \in \rho$ because $\rho$ is right reductive.
(ii) It is similar to (i).
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